

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#1 State	4	
#2 Report Date (mo) (year)	4	
#3 Local Agency (County or Equivalent Jurisdiction)	2 3	<p>The program code maps missing data to “Honolulu.” The State needs to modify the code to map missing FIPS codes to “blank.”</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The State modified the program code to now map missing information to “blank.” Line Number (LN) 4377.</p>
#4 Record Number	4	<p>State uses an AFCARS client number that remains the child’s number regardless of where the child lives and for the child’s lifetime. The AFCARS client number ties together all other case numbers of the child.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#5 Date of Most Recent Periodic Review (if applicable)</p> <p>____(mo) ____ (day)____(year)</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: Critical Dates by Child; Field name: Legal event/Action date and Review type</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): 1999 = 1; 2000 = 2; 2001 = 5; 2002 = 47; 2003 = 1,664; 2004 = 1,120; Not reported = 1,380</p> <p>The options the worker may select from are: “administrative reviews,” “continuance dates,” “12-month permanency hearing,” “6-month judicial periodic review,” and “18-month judicial dispositional review.” The State indicated it no longer conducts “administrative reviews.” Only court reviews are conducted.</p> <p>The program code selects a periodic review within the current removal episode.</p> <p>The program code extracts the most recent date associated with the review type codes. The program code should only extract the code types of “JD12, 12-month permanency hearing,” “JD18, 18-month judicial dispositional hearing,” and “JP06, 6-month judicial periodic review.” The option of “administrative review” should be removed from the options list since the State does not conduct them.</p> <p>The State staff indicated this is one of the areas that they have to remind workers to enter and keep up-to-date.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The code “administrative review” has been disabled (end-dated 12/31/1999). An indicator was added to identify if the screen options can be used for AFCARS. The program code checks for these “AFCARS indicators” and extracts the most recent review. (LN: 7035).</p>
<p>#6 Child Birth Date</p> <p>____(mo) ____ (day)____(year)</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>The date of birth is extracted directly from the screen.</p> <p>The program code will set a missing day of birth to 15 but the screen does not allow a blank for the day. Workers are trained to enter 01 for a missing day of birth.</p>
<p>#7 Child Sex</p> <p>1 = Male 2 = Female</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#8 Child's Race</p> <p>a. American Indian or Alaska Native</p> <p>b. Asian</p> <p>c. Black or African American</p> <p>d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</p> <p>e. White</p> <p>f. Unable to Determine</p>	4	Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Race
<p>#9 Hispanic/Latino Origin</p> <p>1 = Yes</p> <p>2 = No</p> <p>3 = Unable to Determine</p>	4	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Ethnic</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Yes = 97 (2%) No = 3,794 (90%); Not reported = 247 (6%)</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#10 Has the child been clinically diagnosed as having a disability(ies)?</p> <p>1=Yes 2=No 3=Not yet Determined</p> <p>If yes, indicate each type of a disability with a "1."</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Disabilities</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Yes = 324 (8%); No = 109 (3%); Not yet determined = 3,786 (90%)</p> <p>Within 45 days of removal, a child is to have a comprehensive physical exam.</p> <p>There are selection options of "N" (no), "Y" (yes), and "U" (undetermined). The program code checks if a disability category was selected. If one is selected, this response is "yes," If the items have a "U," it is mapped to "not yet determined." Otherwise, this element is mapped to "no."</p> <p>Currently the screen pre-fills with a "U." The State will correct the screen to not pre-fill.</p> <p>The State will need to ensure workers are trained to update this screen.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The State modified the screen to not pre-fill with any response. If the fields are left blank, a message is displayed prompting the workers to enter information. If the child has been diagnosed with disabilities the worker enters a "?" in any field and another screen with medical conditions/disabilities are listed. The workers are to indicate all that apply with an "x."</p> <p>The program code was modified to map missing information to blank. (N 3666).</p>
<p>#11 - 15 Diagnosed Disability Information</p>		<p>Post-site visit analysis: For elements #11 – 15, the program code will map them to "does not apply" if the response to element #10 is "N, no" or "U, undetermined." This is incorrect. Elements #11 – 15 should be blank if the response to element #10 is "undetermined" (LN 3717).</p> <p>Review of the State's mapping for disability information is correct.</p>
<p>#11 Mental Retardation</p> <p>[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Mental retardation</p> <p>Frequencies (n=4,219): Does not apply = 4,196 (99.45%); Applies = 23 (.55%)</p> <p>The State staff indicated that the frequencies appear to be underreported.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#12 Visually/Hearing Impaired</p> <p>[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Visually/Hearing Impaired</p> <p>Frequencies (n=4,219): Does not apply = 4,197 (99.48%); Applies = 22 (.52%)</p> <p>The State staff indicated that the frequencies appear to be underreported.</p>
<p>#13 Physically Disabled</p> <p>[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Physically Disabled</p> <p>Frequencies (n=4,219): Does not apply = 4,175 (99%); Applies = 44 (1%)</p> <p>The State staff indicated that the frequencies appear to be underreported.</p>
<p>#14 Emotionally Disturbed</p> <p>[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Emotionally Disturbed</p> <p>Frequencies (n=4,219): Does not apply = 4,088 (97%); Applies = 131 (3%)</p> <p>The State staff indicated that the frequencies appear to be underreported.</p>
<p>#15 Other Diagnosed Condition</p> <p>[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Other Medical Condition</p> <p>Frequencies (n=4,219): Does not apply = 4,031 (96%); Applies = 188 (4%)</p> <p>The State staff indicated that the frequencies appear to be underreported.</p> <p>There is a text field for the worker to identify the “other diagnosed conditions.” The State needs to review the types of conditions that workers are entering because they may actually be a condition related to one of the categories in #11-14.</p> <p>The code book provides options of what to enter for the “other diagnosed condition.”</p> <p>Test case: “Other” was selected and “ADD” was entered into the text field. Attention deficit disorder should be mapped to “emotionally disturbed.”</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#16 Has this child ever been adopted?</p> <p>1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Adopted IND.</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Yes = 57 (1%); No = 4141 (98%); Unable to determine = 21 (1%)</p> <p>The program code contains an edit check to assess if the date of the adoption is not later than the date of latest removal.</p> <p>Workers are trained that this question applies to any prior adoption, regardless of location or type of agency.</p> <p>The program code looks to see if there is an adoption outcome on the screen.</p>
<p>#17 If yes, how old was the child when the adoption was legalized?</p> <p>[0 = Not Applicable] 1 = less than 2 years old 2 = 2-5 years old 3 = 6-12 years old 4 = 13 years or older 5 = Unable to Determine</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field Name: Adopted Data, DOB; and Child Data screen and DOB field.</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Not applicable = 4162 (99%); Unable to determine = 0</p> <p>If the adoption indicator is “unable to determine,” the program code maps this element to “not applicable.” It should be mapped to “unable to determine.”</p> <p>If there is no date of birth, this element should be mapped to blank not “unable to determine”, regardless if the adoption indicator is “yes.”</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The program code was modified. If foster care element #16 is “U, unable to determine,” this element is mapped to “unable to determine.”</p> <p>The program code was also modified so that if the adoption indicator is “yes,” and the age at the time of adoption is missing, this element is left blank. LN 3786 and 3933</p>
<p>#18 Date of First Removal from Home</p> <p>(mo) (day) (year)</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: Critical Dates by Child, Field name: Start Date of Current Episode; AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary (display only), Field name: Foster Care Removal History - Enter Care; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode (display only), Field name: Removal Date.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#19 Total Number of Removals from Home	4	Screen: Critical Dates by Child, Field name: Start Date of Current Episode, End Date of current Episode; AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary (display only), Field name: Foster Care Removal History - Enter Care, Exit Care; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode (display only), Field name: Removal Date, Discharge Date. One day removals are correctly excluded.
#20 Date Child was Discharged from last foster care episode (if applicable) __(mo)__(day)__(year)	4	Screen: Critical Dates by Child, Field name: End Date of Current Episode; AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary (display only), Field name: Foster Care Removal History - Exit Care; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode (display only), Field name: Discharge Date.
#21 Date of Latest Removal __(mo)__(day)__(year)	4	Screen: Critical Dates by Child, Field name: Start Date of Current Episode; AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary (display only), Field name: Foster Care Removal History - Enter Care; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode (display only), Field name: Removal Date.
#22 Date of Latest Removal Transaction Date __(mo)__(day)__(year)	2 4	The State implemented transaction dates in 1997. In the program code, line number 2910 should be commented out. It checks if the transaction date is before the date of latest removal. Since transaction dates are to be non-modifiable, this program code should be removed. Post-site visit analysis: The program code noted above has been commented out.
#23 Date of Placement in Current Foster Care Setting __(mo)__(day)__(year)	4	Screen: Service Data; field name: Initiation Date This information is extracted directly from the screen based on the service type.
#24 Number of Previous Placement Settings in This Episode	4	Screen: Service Data; Field name: Service Action Code, Initiation Date, Serv Term Date, Serv Term Code

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#25 Manner of Removal From Home for Current placement Episode</p> <p>1 = Voluntary 2 = Court Ordered 3 = Not Yet Determined</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: Critical Dates by Child; Field name: Manner of removal for episode; Foster Care - Review Summary, Foster care removal history, removal type; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode, Removal Manner</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Voluntary = 1,191 (28%); Court ordered = 3,028 (72%); Not yet determined = 0</p> <p>The police must remove a child, the agency cannot.</p> <p>The State must obtain a court order for removal within 72 hours of the child being removed from his/her home.</p> <p>“Ohana Conference Case” is an intensive family decision-making model meeting that involves all principal family members to assist in preventing the removal of a child and to develop a family plan. The State is mapping these to “voluntary.”</p> <p>Workers are trained to only use “Voluntary Ohana Conference” when a “voluntary placement agreement” is signed.</p> <p>If the decision from the conference planning is a removal in which a court order is required, the worker enters “court order.”</p> <p>“Not yet determined” is not an option for the workers to enter. The system does not allow a record to be opened without a “manner of removal” being entered.</p> <p>The program code defaults to “not yet determined.” This must be modified to map missing information to blank.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The State has modified the program code and removed the default of missing data to “not yet determined” from the program code. Missing data will now be mapped to blank. (LN: 2994).</p> <p>Based on the State’s statute which addresses “police protective custody,” the police must immediately transfer physical custody of the child to the agency. The agency must go to court</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#26 – 40: Actions or Conditions Associated With Child’s Removal (Indicate all that apply with a “1”.) [0-Does not Apply] 1-Applies		Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode Frequencies: (n = 4219): There are 176 records for each of #26 - 40 that are missing data. Initialized to “no.” The program code loops through all the indicators to search for the options and sets to “yes.” If no circumstances for removal are marked, these fields will be left blank.
#26 Physical Abuse	4	
#27 Sexual Abuse	4	
#28 Neglect	4	
#29 Parent Alcohol Abuse	4	
#30 Parent Drug Abuse	4	
#31 Child Alcohol Abuse	4	
#32 Child Drug Abuse	3	Frequencies: (n = 4219): Does not apply = 4,015 (95%); Applies = 28 (.66%); Not reported = 176 (4%) The State believes the frequency is low. Training is needed to address the inclusion of drug exposed babies.
#33 Child Disability	4	
#34 Child’s Behavior Problem	3	Frequencies: (n = 4219): Does not apply = 3,899 (92%); Applies = 144 (3%); Not reported = 176 (4%) Training: The State should train workers to use this element specifically for children that are court ordered into their care and placement directly from the juvenile justice agency, and the courts.
#25 Death of Parent	4	
#36 Incarceration of Parent	4	
#37 Caretaker Inability to Cope Due to Illness or Other Reasons	4	
#38 Abandonment	4	
#39 Relinquishment	4	
#40 Inadequate Housing	4	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#41 Current Placement Setting</p> <p>1 = Pre-Adoptive Home 2 = Foster Family Home-Relative 3 = Foster Family Home-Non-Relative 4 = Group Home 5 = Institution 6 = Supervised Independent Living 7 = Runaway 8 = Trial Home Visit</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: Service Data; Field name: Service Action Code</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Pre-adopt home = 117 (3%); Foster home/relative = 1,511 (36%); Foster home/non-relative = 2,240 (53%); Group home = 81 (2%); Institution = 113 (3%); Supervised Independent Living = 0; Runaway = 70 (2%); Trial home visit = 0; Not reported = 87 (2%)</p> <p>The State does not currently have supervised living arrangements.</p> <p>The number of “pre-adopt” homes appears underreported. The State needs to address this through training and supervisory oversight to ensure that once a family is identified as “adoptive parents,” and the child is living with them, this needs to reflect a “pre-adoptive” home.</p> <p>The State’s practice is for a child to live with the prospective parents.</p>
<p>#42 Is Current Placement Out-of-State?</p> <p>1=Yes (Out of State placement) 2=No (In-State placement)</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: Client Data; Field name: ST</p>
<p>#43 Most recent case plan goal</p> <p>1 = Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s) 2 = Live With Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Long Term Foster Care 5 = Emancipation 6 = Guardianship 7 = Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: Child Data and Goal Summary (display only); Field name: Goal Code</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Reunify = 2,799 (66%); Live with relative(s) = 158 (4%); Adoption = 580 (14%); Long-term foster care = 266 (6%); Emancipation = 2 (.05%); Guardianship = 167 (4%); Case plan goal not yet established = 185 (4%); Not reported = 62 (1%)</p> <p>There is an edit check to assess if there is a goal date within the current removal episode. If there is no goal date, the program code will default to “not yet established.” If the child has been in care for more than 60 days, the program code must map missing goals to blank.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The program code was revised to reflect that if the child is in care for 60 days or less, the goal will be “not yet established.” If the child has been in care for more than 60 days and there is no goal, this element will be left blank. LN 6333</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#44 Caretaker Family Structure</p> <p>1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 5 = Unable to Determine</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode; Field name: Family Structure</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Married = 960 (23%); Unmarried couple = 1,276 (30%); Single female = 1,760 (42%); Single male = 209 (5%); Unable to determine = 0; Not reported = 14 (.33%)</p> <p>Data are extracted directly from the screen.</p> <p>On the episode screen, there are fields to enter the caretakers from whom the child was removed. This information must be entered at the time of removal.</p> <p>If a person is separated, the State is reporting him or her as “single.” The State should report the family structure as “married.”</p> <p>The State should map the marital status of the primary caretaker.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The State modified the options list to clarify that “married” includes “voluntarily/involuntarily separated.”</p> <p>The “caretaker at time of removal” fields were re-named. The worker is now instructed to enter the family structure of the “primary” caretaker.</p> <p>Changes in the program code could not be found. References were found to foster family structure twice in the program code.</p>
<p>#45 1st Primary Caretaker’s Birth Year</p> <p>____(mo) ____ (day) ____ (year)</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode; Field name: 1st Principle Caretaker DOB</p>
<p>#46 2nd Primary Caretaker’s Birth Year (if applicable)</p> <p>____(mo) ____ (day) ____ (year)</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode; Field name: 2nd Principle Caretaker DOB</p> <p>The State needs to ensure that the date of birth for the second primary caretaker is entered in those circumstances where a “separated” individual is entered as the primary caretaker and is actually “married.”</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#47 Mother's Date of TPR (mo) (day) (year)	4	Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode; Field name: Mother's TPR Date Data are extracted directly from the screen
#48 Legal or Putative Father's TPR (mo) (day) (year)	4	Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Episode; Field name: Father's TPR Date The State's policy is to enter the TPR date of the legal custodial father.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#49 Foster Family Structure</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>Screen: Adult Data; Field name: Sex, Marital status and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Sex, Marital status</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Not applicable = 264 (6%); Married = 2,636 (63%); Unmarried couple = 54 (1%); Single female = 973 (23%); Single male = 112 (3%); Not reported = 180 (4%)</p> <p>The program code defaults to “unmarried couple” when there is no marital status for either person. This should be mapped to blank.</p> <p>There are four screens associated with the License Resource Facility (LRF) information. There is a need for training regarding all of the screens and the fields related to demographics.</p> <p>Additionally, some of the missing data reflects those foster parents associated with contracted child placing agencies. These agencies are not providing the caseworkers/State agency with the information on the foster parents in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State also noted that some of the missing data may represent records that the workers opened as a “removal”, when in fact it was a “family supervision” case and not a foster care case.</p> <p>The State utilizes error reports to identify missing foster parent information.</p> <p>Options the State may want to consider are: make the screen mandatory, training for LRF staff, put into the performance-based contract with the child placing agencies that they must provide this data.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The program code has been modified and the default to “unmarried couple” has been removed. The program code now checks for actual marital status.</p> <p>The default can only be “2” if both foster parents have a marital status code that does not indicate married. LN 5331 and 6015</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#50 1 st Foster Caretaker's Birth Year	3	Screen: Adult Data; Field name: DOB and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Owner's Birth Date The State needs to ensure that this data is entered into the system.
#51 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Birth Year	3	Screen: Adult Data; Field name: DOB and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Spouse's Birth Date The State needs to ensure that this data is entered into the system.
#52 1 st Foster Caretaker's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine	2 3	Screen: Adult Data; Field name: Race and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Race Program code: SP40 The program code is initialized to "does not apply" for those records in which the child is in a non-foster home setting. Post-site visit analysis: The program code was modified to initialize "race" to blank.
#53 1 st Foster Caretaker's Hispanic or Latino Origin 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	4	Screen: Adult Data; Field name: Ethnic and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Owner Ethnic Initialized to "does not apply" in SP40 for those records in which the child is in a non-foster home.
#54 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Race (if applicable) a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine	2 3	Screen: Adult Data; Field name: Race and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Spouse's Race Initialized to "does not apply" for those records in which the child is in a non-foster home setting. Post-site visit analysis: The program code was modified to initialize "race" to blank.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#55 2nd Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin</p> <p>[0 = Not Applicable] 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine</p>	4	<p>Screen: Adult Data; Field name: Ethnic and Screen: License Resource Owner; Field name: Spouse's Ethnic</p>
<p>#56 Date of Discharge from foster care</p> <p> (mo) (day) (year)</p>	4	<p>Screen: Critical Dates by Child; Field name: End Date of Current Episode; Foster Care - Review Summary, Foster care removal history, Exit Care; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode, Discharge Date</p>
<p>#57 Date of Discharge Transaction Date</p> <p> (mo) (day) (year)</p>	4	
<p>#58 Reason for Discharge</p> <p>[0 = Not Applicable] 1 = Reunification with Parent(s) or Primary Caretaker(s) 2 = Living with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Emancipation 5 = Guardianship 6 = Transfer to Another Agency 7 = Runaway 8 = Death of Child</p>	3	<p>Screen: Critical Dates by Child; Field name: Term Reason of Current Episode; Foster Care Review Summary, Foster care removal history - Reason; and AFCARS Foster Care - Episode, Discharge Reas</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Not reported = 3065 (73%); Not applicable = 0; Reunification = 689 (16%); Living with other relative(s) = 25 (1%); Adoption = 185 (4%); Emancipation = 72 (2%); Guardianship = 127 (3%); Transfer to another agency = 17 (.40%); Runaway = 8 (.19%); Death of child = 1 (.02%)</p> <p>State policy does not allow the agency to discharge a child to a relative without there being a TPR first, then there would be either a guardianship or adoption that would be the outcome. If this is the policy, the State should remove "live with other relative" as an option for discharge.</p> <p>Workers are entering discharges from foster care as adoption at the time of TPR. The record should not be reported as discharged until the adoption is finalized. The State utilizes monitoring reports to track instances of this occurring and are notifying workers in order for it to be corrected.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The screen and selection options have been modified. The option "living with other relative" has been disabled (end dated 9/30/2004). If the worker selects it, an error message appears on the screen.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#59 Title IV-E (Foster Care)</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: None</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 2,744 (65%); Applies = 1,475 (35%)</p> <p>Initialized to zero.</p> <p>The program code looks for claimed funds.</p>
<p>#60 Title IV-E (Adoption Subsidy)</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: None</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 4,153 (98%); Applies = 66 (2%)</p> <p>Initialized to zero.</p> <p>The program code looks for claimed funds.</p> <p>The State staff believe the frequencies are inaccurate.</p>
<p>#61 Title IV-A</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: None</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 4,214 (99.88%); Applies = 5 (.12%)</p> <p>Initialized to zero.</p> <p>The program code looks for claimed funds. If a relative placement, the State may make payments under title IV-A.</p> <p>The State staff believe that the frequencies are inaccurate.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#62 Title IV-D (Child Support)</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: Client Refund Maintenance</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 4,216 (99.93%); Applies = 3 (.07%)</p> <p>The State staff believe that the frequencies are inaccurate.</p> <p>The State intends to get the extract file from the CS office with the monthly payment and then upload to the CPSS.</p>
<p>#63 Title XIX (Medicaid)</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: AFCARS Foster Care - Review Summary; Field name: Medicaid ID</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 1,874 (44%); Applies = 2,345 (56%)</p> <p>The State’s policy is that all children in foster care receive Medicaid.</p> <p>The State now hard-codes Medicaid to “applies.” The data submitted for 2004A does not represent this change. ACF will review the frequencies for 2004B in order to determine if the data reflect the change.</p>
<p>#64 SSI or other Social Security Act Benefits</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p>Screen: Client Refund Maintenance; Field name: Refund Type</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 4,173 (99%); Applies = 46 (1%)</p> <p>The State staff believe that the frequencies are inaccurate.</p> <p>Payment information does not go to the unit. The State intends to do a batch processing to upload the data they obtain from the Social Security Administration..</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: FOSTER CARE DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

AFCARS Report Period: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#65 None of the Above</p> <p>0-Does not apply 1-Applies</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>Screen: None</p> <p>Frequencies (n = 4,219): Does not apply = 2,884 (68%); Applies = 1,335 (32%)</p> <p>The State needs to determine if emergency assistance funds are used for foster care. The State will check and let ACF know. If EA money is used, it is to be mapped to #65.</p> <p>The program code needs to be added to assess this element independently of elements #59 - 64.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The State's modification is not correct because if there are other sources of income the program code will not pick it up. LN 6750</p>
<p>#66. Amount Of Monthly Foster Care Payment (regardless of source)</p>	<p align="center">4</p>	<p>Screen: None</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#1 State FIPS Code	4	
#2 Report Period End Date	4	
#3 Record Number	4	
#4 State Agency Involvement 1 = Yes 2 = No	4	Hard-coded to "yes." The State will not report adoptions in which it has no involvement.
#5 Child Date of Birth	4	Extracted directly from child record.
#6 Child Sex 1 = Male 2 = Female	4	
#7 Child's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine	4	
#8 Child Hispanic Origin 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	4	
#9 Has Agency Determined Special Needs? 1 = Yes 2 = No	4	This question is on the screen.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
<p>#10 Primary Basis for Determining Special Needs</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1 = Racial/Original Background 2 = Age 3 = Membership in a Sibling Group 4 = Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities 5 = Other</p>	<p align="center">2 3</p>	<p>The program code stores the data directly from the database.</p> <p>If the field is left blank, this element is mapped to blank. This includes responses of “no” to element #9. Modify the program code. If element #9 is “no,” set this element to “not applicable.”</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: The program code was modified to map “not applicable” if the response to #9 is “no.” Also, an error message appears if there is a response of “yes” in the field “special needs” and “primary basis” is left blank.</p>
<p>#11- 15 Diagnosed Disability information</p>		<p>This is the same data as in foster care elements #11 - 15. This information is carried forward and stored from the child client record. Workers need to make sure that the data are current and correct.</p> <p>Post-site visit analysis: For elements #11 – 15, the program code will map them to “does not apply” if the response to element #10 is “N, no” or “U, undetermined.” This is incorrect. Elements #11 – 15 should be blank if the response to element #10 is “undetermined” (LN 3717).</p> <p>Review of the State’s mapping for disability information is correct.</p> <p>Please clarify if the change to make the disability categories mandatory is only applicable if the response to “primary basis” is “medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities.”</p>
<p>#11 Mental Retardation</p>	<p align="center">3 2</p>	<p>Frequencies (n = 148): Does not apply = 148; Applies = 0</p>
<p>#12 Visually/Hearing Impaired</p>	<p align="center">3 2</p>	<p>Frequencies (n = 148): Does not apply = 147; Applies = 1</p>
<p>#13 Physically Disabled</p>	<p align="center">3 2</p>	<p>Frequencies (n = 148): Does not apply = 140; Applies = 8</p>
<p>#14 Emotionally Disturbed</p>	<p align="center">3 2</p>	<p>Frequencies (n = 148): Does not apply = 138; Applies = 10</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#15 Other Diagnosed Condition	3 2	Frequencies (n = 148): Does not apply = 133; Applies = 15 There is a text field for the worker to identify the "other diagnosed condition." The State needs to review the types of conditions that workers enter because there may be conditions related to one of the categories in #11-14.
#16 Mother's Birth Year	4	
#17 Father's Birth Year	4	
#18 Mother Married at Time of Birth 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	2 3	This field is on the adoption screen. The State needs to add this question to one of the screens used in foster care. Post-site visit analysis: The State added this question to the AFCARS foster care summary screen.
#19 Date of Mother's TPR	4	
#20 Date of Father's TPR	4	The State policy is to enter the TPR of the legal custodial father.
#21 Date Adoption Legalized	4	
#22 Adoptive Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male	4	The State's law/policy does not allow "unmarried couples" to adopt. Only one of the parents can be the legal parent.
#23 Adoptive Mother's Year of Birth	4	
#24 Adoptive Father's Year of Birth	4	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#25 Adoptive Mother's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine	4	
#26 Adoptive Mother's Hispanic Origin 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	4	
#27 Adoptive Father's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine	4	
#28 Adoptive Father's Hispanic Origin 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	4	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#29 – 32: Relationship of Adoptive Parent to the child		<p>The State is only reporting one relationship. It needs to add a field for both the mother and father in order to collect all possible relationships between the child and the adoptive parents.</p> <p>The screen has fields for adoptive mom and adoptive dad.</p>
#29 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Stepparent 0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies	2 3	<p>Post-site visit analysis: The screen was modified to include a second field for both the adoptive mother and father. The worker can now select two relationships.</p> <p>The program code was modified to extract the additional relationship.</p> <p>If no information is entered on the screen, the program code will map a space.</p>
#30 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Relative 0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies	2 3	<p>Post-site visit analysis: The screen was modified to include a second field for both the adoptive mother and father. The worker can now select two relationships.</p> <p>The program code was modified to extract the additional relationship.</p> <p>If no information is entered on the screen, the program code will map a space.</p>
#31 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Foster Parent 0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies	2 3	<p>Post-site visit analysis: The screen was modified to include a second field for both the adoptive mother and father. The worker can now select two relationships.</p> <p>The program code was modified to extract the additional relationship.</p> <p>If no information is entered on the screen, the program code will map a space.</p>
#32 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Non-Relative 0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies	2 3	<p>Post-site visit analysis: The screen was modified to include a second field for both the adoptive mother and father. The worker can now select two relationships.</p> <p>The program code was modified to extract the additional relationship.</p> <p>If no information is entered on the screen, the program code will map a space.</p>

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW FINDINGS: ADOPTION DATA ELEMENTS

State: Hawaii

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004 (2004A)

AFCARS Data Element	Rating Factor	Comments/Notes
#33 Child Was Placed from 1 = Within State 2 = Another State 3 = Another Country	4	The options on the screen for the worker to select are “Within State” or “Another Country.” This information is extracted directly from screen. Note: The State staff indicated that they have never had an instance of a child being placed here from another State where the child was not in the originating State’s custody.
#34 Child Was Placed by 1 = Public Agency 2 = Private Agency 3 = Tribal Agency 4 = Independent Person 5 = Birth Parent	2 3	The “options” list includes all of the AFCARS values. This information is stored directly from the screen. If the field is left blank, the program code maps it to “public agency.” The State must modify the program code to map an empty field to “blank.” Post-site visit analysis: The program code was modified by removing the default to “public agency.” The program code will assign what is entered on the screen or leave it blank.
#35 Receiving Monthly Subsidy 1 = Yes 2 = No	2 3	Initialized to “no.” If Medicaid is the only subsidy, this element will not be set to “yes.” The program code must be modified to check for a “Medicaid-only” subsidy and the response should be “yes.” Post-site visit analysis: The screen was modified. A field was added to indicate whether the child is receiving Medicaid. The program code was modified by adding code to check this indicator. The default to “no” was removed.
#36 Monthly Amount	4	
#37 Adoption Assistance - IV-E	4	