

Summary Tables of the Active Title IV-E Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations as of August 2015

Table 1: Waivers with Extensions from Previous Waiver Authority¹

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ²	Implementation Status
California	Flexible Funding	7/1/2007	9/30/2019	Longitudinal/Time Series	Under 5-Year Extension
Florida	Enhanced Service Array	10/1/2006	9/30/2018	Longitudinal/Time Series	Under 5-Year Extension
Illinois	Services for Caregivers with Substance Use Disorders (AODA)	4/28/2000	9/30/2018	Experimental	Under 5-Year Extension
Indiana	Flexible Funding	1/1/1998	6/30/2017	Longitudinal/Time Series	Under 5-Year Extension
Ohio	Flexible Funding	10/1/1997	7/31/2016	Comparison Group/Site	Under 5-Year Extension with Short-Term Extension Approved

¹ California, Florida, Illinois-AODA, and Indiana currently have second five-year extensions, which were implemented effective October 1, 2014, October 1, 2013, October 1, 2013, and July 1, 2012 (retroactively), respectively. Ohio is operating under a second long-term extension implemented effective October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2015 and has been approved for a short-term extension through July 31, 2016.

² In addition to these overarching evaluation designs, several jurisdictions (Arkansas, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Montana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah) plan to conduct sub-studies using alternative research designs that include random assignment, comparison group, and matched case designs.

Table 2: Waivers Approved in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2012

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ³	Implementation Status
Arkansas	Enhanced Assessment, Family Engagement, and Differential Response	7/31/2013	7/30/2018	Matched Case Comparison ⁴	Implementing
Colorado	Enhanced Family Engagement, Assessment, Kinship Supports, and Trauma-Informed Services	7/31/2013	7/30/2018	Matched Case & Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing
Illinois	Parenting Education Support Services (IB3)	7/1/2013	6/30/2018	Experimental	Implementing
Massachusetts	Enhanced Residential and Community-Based Services	1/1/2014	12/31/2018	Retrospective Matched Case ⁵	Implementing
Michigan	Intensive Early Intervention Case Management and Services	8/1/2013	7/31/2018	Experimental	Implementing

³ In addition to these overarching evaluation designs, several jurisdictions (Arkansas, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah) plan to conduct sub-studies using alternative research designs that include random assignment, comparison group, and matched case designs.

⁴ Arkansas, Colorado, Rhode Island, and Washington are using propensity score matching (PSM) as their case matching methodology. Wisconsin will use PSM during the first half of implementation. Other jurisdictions are also considering PSM.

⁵ Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Tennessee are implementing retrospective matched case designs that match cohorts of children in the child welfare system before or at the beginning of their demonstrations on a case-by-case basis with children that enter the system following implementation; service utilization and outcomes for these matched groups will then be compared.

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ³	Implementation Status
Pennsylvania	Enhanced Family Engagement, Assessment, and Service Array	7/1/2013	6/30/2018	Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing
Utah	Enhanced Assessment, Caseworker Tools and Training, and Evidence-Based In-Home Service Array	10/1/2013	9/30/2018	Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing
Washington	Differential Response	1/1/2014	9/30/2018	Matched Case Comparison ⁶	Implementing
Wisconsin	Post-Reunification Case Management Services	10/1/2013	9/30/2018	Matched Case Comparison	Implementing

Table 3: Waivers Approved in FFY 2013

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ⁷	Implementation Status
District of Columbia	Intensive In-Home Prevention, Family Preservation, and Post-Reunification Services; Expanded Service Array	4/25/2014	4/24/2019	Comparison Group & Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing

⁶ Arkansas, Colorado, New York, Rhode Island, and Washington are using propensity score matching (PSM) as their case matching methodology. Wisconsin will use PSM during the first half of implementation. Other jurisdictions are also considering PSM.

⁷ In addition to these overarching evaluation designs, several jurisdictions (Arkansas, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Montana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah) plan to conduct sub-studies using alternative research designs that include random assignment, comparison group, and matched case designs.

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ⁷	Implementation Status
Hawaii	Enhanced Crisis Responses System, Intensive Home-Based Services, Services to Expedite Permanency	1/1/2015	9/30/2019	Matched Case & Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing
Idaho	Enhanced Family Engagement, Parenting Education and Support, and Trauma-Informed Assessment	1/1/2015	9/30/2019	Retrospective Matched Case	Implementing
Nebraska	Alternative Response and Results-Based Accountability	7/1/2014	6/30/2019	Experimental & Longitudinal/Time Series	Implementing
New York	Evidence-Based and Evidence-Informed Services, Trauma Informed Assessment, and Enhanced System Supports	1/1/2014	12/31/2018	Comparison Group	Implementing
Rhode Island	Enhanced Wraparound Service Model	TBD	9/30/2019	Retrospective Matched Case	Approved, Not Yet Implementing
Tennessee	Enhanced Assessment, Keeping Foster and Kinship Parents Supported and Trained (KEEP), and parenting education/support yet to be determined.	10/1/2014	3/30/2019	Retrospective Matched Case	Implementing

Table 4: Waivers Approved in FFY 2014

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ⁸	Implementation Status
Arizona	Efforts to “right-size” the current congregate care component of the State’s child welfare system	TBD	9/30/2019	Longitudinal/ Comparison Group	Not Yet Approved
Kentucky	Intensive family preservation services for families with identified risk factors of substance abuse and/or family violence	TBD	9/30/2019	Experimental ⁹	Not Yet Approved
Maryland	Trauma-Informed Assessment and Evidence-Based Practices/Promising Practices	7/1/2015	9/30/2019	Longitudinal/Time Series & Comparison Group	Implementing
Maine	Parental Education and Services for Caregivers with Substance Use Disorders	TBD	9/30/2019	TBD	Not Yet Approved
Nevada	Safety Management Services Model	7/1/2015	9/30/2019	Comparison Group	Implementing

⁸ In addition to these overarching evaluation designs, several jurisdictions (Arkansas, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Montana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah) plan to conduct sub-studies using alternative research designs that include random assignment, comparison group, and matched case designs.

⁹ Kentucky will also employ a quasi-experimental design utilizing PSM in two expansion sites.

Jurisdiction	Demonstration Focus	Start Date	Completion Date (Actual or Expected)	Evaluation Design ⁸	Implementation Status
Oklahoma	Short-term, Intensive Home-based Services	7/22/2015	9/30/2019	Experimental	Implementing
Oregon	Leveraging Intensive Family Engagement: Supporting structured case planning and timely permanency	7/1/2015	9/30/2019	Matched Case Comparison	Implementing
Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	Parenting Education and Support and Enhanced Family Engagement	TBD	9/30/2019	Single-Case Design & longitudinal assessment of system-wide changes in re-entry and reunification rates	Not Yet Approved
Texas	Functional Assessment and Evidence-Based Interventions	TBD	9/30/2019	Longitudinal/Time Series & Retrospective Matched Case	Not Yet Approved
West Virginia	Wraparound Services	TBD	9/30/2019	Retrospective Matched Case	Not Yet Approved