

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
5. Date of Most Recent Periodic Review (if applicable)	2	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) The “minus 90” check has been removed. However, a routine was added that will report this element as a blank if the child’s foster care episode, as of the end of the report period, is less than 214 days. If a periodic review was conducted and entered into the system prior to 214 days, it will not be reported.</p> <p><u>Data Quality Findings</u> <i>Frequency Report¹ (n=16,740): 2011= 3,764 (23%); 2012 = 9,563 (57%); Not reported = 3,413 (20%)</i> Note that the frequency report for the report period ending September, 2012 (2012B) there are review dates that go back to 2008. Also, the percentage of records that were blank increased. A detailed analysis of the data was not conducted and the State is encouraged to evaluate the cases with the old periodic review dates.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 13 (18%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. In the majority of error cases, the error was due to the wrong type of review being reported to AFCARS. In three error cases, the child had been in foster care for six or more months (in two cases the child had been in care several years) and no periodic review date was reported to AFCARS. The reviewers did identify that a periodic review had been held in these</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the program by removing any restrictions on the length of time the child has been in foster care. If a periodic review was held, the date is to be reported.</p>		

¹ The 2012A Regular file was used for the frequency report.

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p><u>Foster Care</u> 8. Child's Race</p> <p>52. Race of 1st Foster Caretaker</p> <p>54. Race of 2nd Foster Caretaker (if applicable)</p> <p><u>Adoption</u> 7. Child's race</p> <p>#25 Adoptive Mother's Race</p> <p>#27 Adoptive Father's Race</p> <p>a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian c. Black or African American d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White f. Unable to Determine</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p>cases.</p> <p><u>Program Code</u> The program does not check the ancestry field and mapping applicable ethnicities to the appropriate race category if one is selected. As part of the post-site visit corrections, the program code was partially corrected. Some of the ethnicities to related AFCARS races are being mapped but not all.</p> <p><u>Data Quality Findings</u> <u>#54:</u> The leave codes were removed and replaced with checking the current placement setting (values set for element #41) and if the child is in a non-foster home setting this element continues to be left blank.</p> <p><i>Frequency Report, #8:</i> There are 1,159 (7%) records reported with more than one race. <i>Frequency Report, #52:</i> There are 178 (1%) records reported with more than one race. <i>Frequency Report, #54:</i> There are 74 (.44%) records reported with more than one race.</p> <p><i>Case File Review Findings, #8:</i> 12 (17%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. <i>Case File Review Findings, #52:</i> 7 (16%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. <i>Case File Review Findings, #54:</i> Four of the records analyzed did not</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the program code to check for all ethnicities and map them to the appropriate AFCARS race value.</p> <p>1a) Child 1b) 1st Foster Caretaker 1c) 2nd Foster Caretaker</p> <p><u>Recommendations</u> Since the use of "unable to determine" in AFCARS has a specific definition, which includes "declined," the State should either remove it or replace it with "Safe Haven" or other similar language.</p> <p>Add the National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD) administrative value "unknown" (multi-racial, one race unknown) to the options. See NYTD Question and Answers for how to map NYTD values to AFCARS values.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p><u>Foster Care</u> 9. Child's Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity</p> <p><u>Adoption</u> 8. Child's Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity</p> <p>1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine</p> <p><u>Foster Care</u> 53. Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity of 1st Foster Caretaker 55. Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity of 2nd Foster Caretaker (if applicable)</p> <p><u>Adoption</u> #26 Adoptive Mother's Hispanic Origin #28 Adoptive Father's Hispanic Origin</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine</p>	2	<p>match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><u>Program Code for all Hispanic/Latino elements</u> 1) The program code was modified by replacing "unable to determine" with "declined." However, "unable to determine" is an option on the screen. Therefore, this value if selected will be mapped to blank.</p> <p>2) The program does not check the ancestry field for applicable Hispanic/Latino ethnicities.</p> <p>3) The program code was modified to include the value "unk" (unknown) and it is mapped to the AFCARS value of "unable to determine." The value "unknown" is not an option on the screen.</p> <p>4) For records where the foster parent is single, element #54 is not set to "not applicable."</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> #9, <i>Frequency Report (n=16,740)</i>: Yes = 668 (4%); No = 12,524 (75%); Unable to determine = 2,381 (14%); Not reported = 1,167 (7%) #53 & 55, <i>Frequency Report</i> There are zero records reported for "not</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Map the screen value "unable to determine" to AFCARS.</p> <p>1a) If the State makes the suggested changes to the screen, then the program code will need to be modified accordingly.</p> <p>2) Make modifications. a) If a Hispanic or Latino nationality that is selected in the ancestry field the "Hispanic/Latino" field on the screen can be set to "yes." b) Or, if a Hispanic/Latino ethnicity is selected from the ancestry field, and this element is not already set to "yes," set this element to "yes."</p> <p>3) Clarify why "unk" is in the program code. 3a) "Unk" should be mapped to blank.</p> <p>4) Modify the program code to set element #54 to "not applicable" when the response to element #49 is "single female" or "single male."</p> <p><u>Recommendations</u> <u>Screen:</u> The field labeled "Hispanic/ Latino" includes the option "unable to determine." Since the use of "unable to determine" in AFCARS has a specific definition, which includes</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>applicable.” <i>2012B Frequency Report</i> There are now the same number of records reported in element #53 as “not applicable” as the number of records representing living arrangements other than a foster home.</p> <p><i>#9, Case File Review Findings</i> 4 (6%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><i>#53, Case File Review Findings</i> 3 (7%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><i>#55, Case File Review Findings</i> Three of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p>“declined,” the State should either remove it or replace it with “Safe Haven” or other similar language. The AFCARS administrative value “unable to determine” should only be reported for foster parents who declined to give their ethnicity information.</p> <p>The State needs to add the NYTD value “unknown.”</p>		
<p>10. Has the Child Been Clinically Diagnosed with a Disability(ies)?</p> <p>1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Not Yet Determined</p>	<p>3</p>	<p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Yes = 1,229 (7%); No = 5,461 (33%); Not yet determined = 10,050 (60%); Not reported = 0</p> <p><u>Case File Review</u> The majority of the cases reported in error indicated “not yet determined.” In 15 of the error cases the reviewers noted the child had been seen by a health care professional and had a diagnosed condition that would be reported to AFCARS. In 17 of the error cases the child had been seen by a health care professional and either had no health issues or had a condition that is not reported to AFCARS.</p> <p><u>System Recommendations</u> 1) As noted by both the frequency numbers and the case file review findings, there are issues with the</p>	<p>1) CB will monitor responses for this element and evaluate if modifications must be made to the system in order to improve accuracy of this data.</p> <p><u>System Recommendations</u> 1) Consider consolidating the collection of all health and mental health related information in the</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		accuracy of this data. The State has multiple screens for the recording of medical information.	<p>Medical section of the system.</p> <p>1a) There should be a link between the doctor's appointment and the results of the doctor's assessment.</p> <p>2) The statements related to whether the child has been seen by a health care professional need to be moved to the medical module. It may be more clear to remove these questions and to add a field on the screen where doctor appointments are recorded that asks whether the child has a diagnosed condition – Y/N/Not Yet Determined, and if the response is yes, the worker is directed to the field listing the medical and mental health diagnoses.</p> <p>3) Since the information gathered is about the child's health, consider not using "disability" to describe the diagnosed conditions.</p>		
<p>#11 Mental Retardation #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired #13 Physically Disabled #15 Other Diagnosed Condition</p> <p>0 = Condition Does Not Apply 1 = Condition Applies</p>	2	<p><u>Program Code</u> The mapping for these elements is listed on the spreadsheet "Characteristics." Items that need to be corrected are noted under the column "AFCARS."</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings, #12</u> 2 (3%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings, #11</u> 11 (15%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) Develop a method to ensure that if the diagnosis is "developmental delay" that it is being mapped appropriately to #11 (for cognitive delays) or to #13 (for motor delays) or to both elements.</p> <p>2) For some of the State's values related to hearing & visual impairments, the State and Federal team may need to discuss. The State needs to ensure that these are</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>The response reported to AFCARS should have been “condition applies” instead of “condition does not apply.”</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings, #13</u> 4 (6%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings, #15</u> 9 (13%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. In eight of the error cases, the response reported to AFCARS should have been “condition applies” instead of “condition does not apply.” In the other error case, the response should have been “does not apply” instead of “applies.”</p>	<p>chronic conditions affecting the child.</p> <p>3) While non-ambulatory may be ok, it is vague and can relate to many reasons that may not be of a chronic nature.</p> <p>4) Cleft palate already corrected could be mapped to AFCARS.</p> <p>5) Kidney disease is to be mapped to “other medical.”</p> <p>6) The conditions related to speech may not be applicable to AFCARS. It would depend what other diagnosis exists.</p>		
14. Emotionally Disturbed	3	<p>There were no mapping errors noted.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 24 (33%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The response reported to AFCARS should have been “condition applies” instead of “condition does not apply.”</p>	Data will be monitored.		
<p>17. If Yes, How Old Was Child When Adoption Was Legalized?</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1=less than 2 years old 2=2-5 years old 3=6 to 12 years old 4=13 years or older 5 = Unable to Determine</p>	2	<p><u>Screen:</u> Profile/Additional Tab <u>Program Code</u> 1) The screen contains the option of “unable to determine.” Based on an analysis of the data, this element is being incorrectly selected when the child had been previously adopted (foster care element #16 is “yes”) and the age is not known. The response to this element should only be “unable to determine” if the child was a Safe Haven infant or at the time the child entered foster care</p>	<p><u>System</u> 1) Modify the screen to only allow the selection of “unable to determine” when the response the field for whether the child had been previously adopted is “unable to determine.”</p> <p>2) Modify the program code to set this element to blank if “unable to determine” is selected but the answer to element #16 is “yes.”</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>there was no one available to provide this information, and element #16 is also “unable to determine.”</p> <p><i>Frequency Report</i> (n=16,740): Not applicable = 0; Unable to determine = 13 (.08%); Age categories = 482; Not reported = 16, 245 (97%)</p> <p><i>2012B Frequency Report</i> (n=15,273): Not applicable = 14,209 (87%); Unable to determine = 38 (.23%); Age categories = 515 (3%); Not reported = 1,561 (9%)</p> <p>There were five more records reported as “unable to determine” for this element than what was reported for element #16. There were 520 records reported to element #16 as “yes” [the child had been previously adopted].</p>			
18. Date of First Removal From Home	2	<p><u>Program Code:</u></p> <p>1) See GR #1 regarding children of a minor parent in foster care.</p> <p>2) The State is not correctly identifying records of children whose removal episode is 24 hours or less (GR #5). If the first ever removal episode was 24 hours or less, the date reported for element #18 is incorrect.</p> <p><u>Screen:</u></p> <p>1) The State added a field for the worker</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) Modify the program code for this element once corrections are made to the reporting population to ensure the correct date is used for the first ever removal episode of infant children of a minor parent who is in foster care if the infant is not in the same foster care setting as the minor parent.</p> <p>2) Modify the program code to report the date of the first removal episode that is for <u>more than</u> 24 hours.</p> <p><u>Screen</u></p> <p>1) Provide a copy of the screen.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>to select whether the child is on a runaway status at the time the agency receives responsibility for placement and care.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 5 (7%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The errors were related to the findings noted in the General Requirements and the program code.</p>			
19. Total Number of Removals From Home to Date	2	<p><u>Program Code: LNs 400 - 468</u> 1) See GR #1 regarding children of a minor parent in foster care.</p> <p>2) Removal episodes that are less than 24-hours are included in the removal count (see GR #5).</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>Frequency Report:</i> There is a discrepancy of three records between elements #19 and #20. There are fewer records with a prior discharge date.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 2 (3%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The error cases were the same cases noted above for element #18.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Once corrections are made to the population selection logic, modify the program code (as needed) to ensure that the removal count for this situation is handled correctly.</p> <p>2) Modify the program code, if necessary, to ensure that all removal episodes of 24 hours or less are never included in the number of removal episode.</p>		
20. Date Child Was Discharged from Last Foster Care Episode	2	<p><u>Program Code: LNs 486 - 540</u> 1) See GR #1 regarding children of a minor parent in foster care.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Once corrections are made to the population selection logic, modify the</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>2) If there was a prior removal episode that was 24 hours or less, and the child later re-enters foster care, the end date of the previous 24-hour episode is not to be reported for this element.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 3 (4%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The error cases were the same cases noted above for element #18.</p>	<p>program code (as needed) to ensure that this situation is handled correctly for this element.</p> <p>2) Modify the program code, if necessary, once changes are completed for GR #5 to ensure a prior episode that was 24 hours or less is not included for this element.</p>		
21. Date of Latest Removal from Home	2	<p><u>Program Code:</u> 1) See GR #1 regarding children of a minor parent in foster care.</p> <p>2) The State is not correctly identifying records of children whose removal episode is 24 hours or less (GR #5).</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 3 (4%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The error cases were the same cases noted above for element #18.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the program code for this element once corrections are made to the reporting population to ensure the correct date is used, if this element.</p> <p>2) No task as the exclusion logic will prevent the record from being reported. CB will monitor data.</p>		
23. Date of Placement in Current Foster Care Setting	3	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) The State removed the value "AWOL, whereabouts unknown contact CW." This value seems it would be a valid value for "runaway."</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 4 (6%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) The State and Federal team need to discuss whether it should be included.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p>24. Number of Previous Placement Settings During This Removal Episode?</p>	<p align="center">3</p>	<p><u>Data Quality</u> 1) If a hospital stay is greater than 15 days, it will be included in the placement count. 2) The program code initializes this element to zero. <i>Case File Review Findings</i> 11 (15%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p>The data will be evaluated post changes and monitored for accuracy.</p>		
<p>41. Current Placement Setting²</p> <p>1 = Pre-Adoptive Home 2 = Foster Family Home (Relative) 3 = Foster Family Home (Non-Relative) 4 = Group Home 5 = Institution 6 = Supervised Independent Living 7 = Runaway 8 = Trial Home Visit</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) The State is incorrectly mapping “ICPCPARENT” and “ICPCREGULATION7PARENT” to “foster family home (relative).” 2) The State’s definition of a Certified Children’s Residential Center (CRC) indicates it is for eleven or more children, including the children of any staff residing at the facility. The AFCARS definition of “group home” is a facility that is up to 12 beds. <u>Recommendations</u> <u>Screen:</u> Case>Workload>Placements There is not a central location that a person can view a history of the locations the child has been since entering the agency’s responsibility for placement and</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) If a child is being placed with a non-custodial parent, even if the agency still has placement and care, the child’s record is reported as discharged and reunified for AFCARS reporting purposes (element #56 and #58). 2) Map CRC to “group home” if the facility has up to 12 beds. 3) Provide the size of “Emergency Shelter Care Facility” and “Residential parenting facility.” <u>Screen Recommendations</u> 1) The system should have all locations (placements and temporary absences from the ongoing</p>		

² This element is out of sequence in order to group the placement elements together.

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>care.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>Frequency Report (n=16,740):</i> Pre-Adoptive Home = 825 (5%); Foster Family Home (Relative) = 3,125 (19%); Foster Family Home (Non-Relative) = 9,732 (58%); Group Home = 540 (3%); Institution = 1,754 (10%); Supervised Independent Living = 155 (1%); Runaway = 239 (1%); Trial Home Visit = 370 (2%); Not reported = 0</p> <p><i>2012B Frequency Report (n=16,834):</i> Pre-Adoptive Home = 1,015 (6%); Foster Family Home (Relative) = 3,119 (19%); Foster Family Home (Non-Relative) = 9,500 (56%); Group Home = 546 (3%); Institution = 1,820 (11%); Supervised Independent Living = 153 (1%); Runaway = 249 (1%); Trial Home Visit = 432 (3%); Not reported = 0</p> <p>By State statute children who are being adopted must reside with the family for six months. A new enhancement now allows the caseworker to note this once the adoption placement agreement is signed.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 3 (4%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p>placement) for a child in one history table that can be viewed on the screen.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u></p> <p>The State should decide if it wants to include only those foster parents who became pre-adoptive parents when reporting "pre-adoptive" home for element #41.</p>		
25. Manner of Removal from Home for Current Removal Episode 1 = Voluntary	2	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) The system does not maintain a history of the manner of removal and the workers are entering (thus deleting) when a VPA becomes a court ordered custody.</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) Develop a means either on the screen or in the program code to ensure this element is reported correctly for these instances.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p>2 = Court Ordered 3 = Not Yet Determined</p>		<p>The initial manner of removal of “voluntary” is to be reported to AFCARS.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Voluntary = 1,499 (9%); Court Ordered = 15,172 (91%); Not Yet Determined = 39 (.23%); Not reported = 30</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 5 (7%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The cases identified in error were reported as “court order” and the reviewers found that the child initially entered foster care under a “voluntary placement agreement.”</p> <p>The responses for this element may also be incorrect due to the issue of a court order expiring while the child is still in foster care but the caseworker enters it as a new removal episode when the new court order is obtained. (See foster care element #56.)</p>	<p>2) Modify the database/system to maintain a history of the manner of removal information.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> 1) Since a child of a minor parent who is residing with the parent in the same foster home is not to be included in the reporting population, the State needs to ensure that this element is reported correctly.</p>		
<p>Actions or Conditions Associated With Child’s Removal</p> <p>#26 Physical Abuse #27 Sexual Abuse #28 Neglect #29 Parent Alcohol Abuse #30 Parent Drug Abuse #31 Child Alcohol Abuse</p>	<p>2</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> There are two fields used to collect the actions/conditions associated with a child’s removal from home - the “primary removal reason” and “available secondary removal reasons.”</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> See the case file review findings.</p>	<p><u>Screen/Data Accuracy</u> 1) The State needs to combine the removal reasons into one list and not have a primary and a secondary field. All conditions that contributed to why the child was removed from his/her home and are to be selected and addressed as part of a case/family plan.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
#32 Child Drug Abuse #33 Child Disability #34 Child's Behavior Problem #35 Death of Parent #36 Incarceration of Parent #37 Caretaker Inability to Cope Due to Illness or Other Reasons #38 Abandonment #39 Relinquishment #40 Inadequate Housing 0-Does not Apply 1-Applies					
43. Most Recent Case Plan Goal 1 = Reunify with Parent(s) or Principal caretaker(s) 2 = Live with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Long Term Foster Care 5 = Emancipation 6 = Guardianship 7 = Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established	2	<u>Program Code</u> 1) If the child has been in foster care for less than 60 days, and the goal is "maintain in own home," this element is set to "not yet established." This does not seem correct. 2) If the child has been in care for 60 or more days and the goal is "maintain in own home" the goal will be reported as blank. This may be a problem if the caseworker chooses this option for children who are still in the agency's responsibility for placement and care but returned home (reported as a living arrangement of "trial home visit" in element #41). 2a) The goal "Prevent removal" is not mapped to an AFCARS goal and if selected would be reported as a blank. This too might be an option a caseworker	<u>Program Code/System</u> 1) The State needs to clarify when this situation would occur and whether the child had been in foster care or not per the standards in GR items #1 and #6. 2) Verify that a goal will be reported in this situation and the goal. 2a) Verify that a goal will be reported in this situation and the goal.		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>selects for a child who has been returned home and is still in the agency's responsibility for placement and care.</p> <p>3) The State needs to implement a method to determine if the child has a permanent connection with an adult. in order to correctly map the State's goals "Placement of Child(ren) in a Planned Permanent Living Arrangement, excluding adoption (PPLA) and "Independent Living."</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>Frequency Report (n=16,740):</i> Reunify = 9,698 (58%); Live With Other Relative(s) = 190 (1%); Adoption = 3,257 (20%); Long-Term Foster Care 922 (6%); Emancipation = 378 (2%); Guardianship = 0; Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established = 1,392 (8%); Not reported = 903 (5%) The State team indicated they do not use guardianship as a goal. However, there are 174 records reported as an outcome to "guardianship."</p> <p><i>Case File Review Findings</i> 6 (8%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p>3) If there is not a database field in the case planning screens to identify an adult connection with the child in foster care, the State may need to add a field. Or, modify the selection list of goals and add something like "PPLA/adult connection" and PPLA/no adult connection."</p> <p>3a) If the child has a permanent connection to an adult, map the goal to "emancipation."</p> <p>3b) If the child does not have a permanent connection to an adult, map the goal to "long-term foster care."</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> Guardianships: Clarify if all these were relative guardianships and that none were granted to a non-relative.</p>		
44. Caretaker Family Structure	2	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) If the program code finds a value other than those on the screen or no</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the program code to map missing data to blank.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p>1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 5 = Unable to Determine</p>		<p>information is entered in the field, this element is incorrectly set to “unable to determine.” The State’s option “legally separated” is incorrectly mapped to “unable to determine.”</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>Frequency Report</i> (n=16,740): Married Couple = 2,905 (17%); Unmarried Couple = 2,524 (15%); Single Female = 8,912 (53%); Single Male = 825 (5%); Unable to Determine = 1,574 (9%); Not reported = 0</p> <p><u>Recommendations - Screen</u> See previous discussions regarding using the AFCARS administrative code “unable to determine” as an option on the selection list. This numbers for this value in AFCARS should reflect children who were abandoned and at the time the file was transmitted the agency had not yet identified the parent(s) or children who entered foster care through the State’s Safe Haven program.</p>	<p>2) Map “legally separated” to “married couple.”</p>		
<p>45. Year of Birth (1st Principal Caretaker)</p> <p>46. Year of Birth (2nd Principal Caretaker - if applicable)</p>	<p>3</p>	<p><u>Screen:</u> Basic Tab</p> <p><u>#45 Frequency Report:</u> There is one record with a year of 1900; 1 with 1918. There are several records with a year of birth after 2002 (108). There were 70 of these records with a year of birth that was between 2007 and 2012.</p> <p><u>#46 Frequency Report:</u> There are two records with a year of 1900; 1 with 1911; 1 with 1913; and 1 with 1921. There are several records with a year of birth after 2002 (52).</p>	<p>Data will be monitored.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		The program code was modified so that if the year 1900 was entered into the system it will be mapped to blank.			
<u>Foster Care</u> 47. Date of Mother's Parental Rights Termination (if applicable) 48. Date of Legal or Putative Father's Parental Rights Termination (if applicable) <u>Adoption</u> 19. Date of Mother's termination of parental rights 20. Date of Father's termination of parental rights	2	<u>Program Code</u> The program code does not check for a deceased date. <u>#47, Case File Review Findings</u> 2 (3%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. <u>#48, Case File Review Findings</u> 2 (3%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.	<u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the program code to report a deceased date if one is present.		
49. Foster Family Structure 0=Not Applicable 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 22. Adoptive parents' family structure 1=Married couple 2=Unmarried couple	2 3	<u>#49, Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Not applicable = 0; Married Couple = 7,209 (43%); Unmarried Couple = 538 (3%); Single Female = 3,724 (22%); Single Male = 306 (2%); Not reported = 4,963 (30%) <u>#49, 2012B, Frequency Report</u> (n=16,834): Not applicable = 3,200 (19%); Married Couple = 7,766 (46%); Unmarried Couple = 650 (4%); Single Female = 4,162 (25%); Single Male = 390 (2%); Not reported = 666 (4%) 1) Note the number of records reported in	1) The State needs to investigate if		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p>3=Single female 4=Single male</p>		<p>the 2012B file for non-foster home settings is 3,200. In element #51, second foster parent's year of birth, there are 8,447 records reported with a year of birth. However, there were only 8,416 records reported in this element for married and unmarried couple. Also, note that the number of records reported for element #50, first caretaker's year of birth, was greater than the number of records reported to this element with a value of 1 through 4. This was supported by the case file review. The year of birth is being reported but the remainder of the demographic information.</p> <p><u>#49. Case File Review Findings</u> 4 (9%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. There were records reported with missing information for these elements. Three records were reported as blank for foster parent family structure.</p> <p><u>AD. #22 Frequency Report (n=642):</u> Married Couple = 471 (73%); Unmarried Couple = 24 (4%); Single Female = 138 (22%); Single Male = 7 (1%); Not reported = 2 (.31%)</p> <p><u>Screen</u> There is a new enhancement that now requires all substitute care givers demographics to be entered.</p> <p><u>Program Code</u> 2) The program code includes the values "MARRIEDCOUPLE,"</p>	<p>there is a data entry issue or if there is something incorrect with the extraction code.</p> <p>2) The State needs to clarify where in the system the program code is</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>“UNMARRIEDCOUPLE,” “SINGLEFEMALE,” and “SINGLEMALE.” These are not options on the screen.</p>	<p>checking for this value.</p> <p>3) The State needs to clarify how the private agency adoptions are being entered into the system and how the program code is setting this element for those cases.</p>		
<p>50. Year of Birth (1st Foster Caretaker)</p> <p>51. Year of Birth (2nd Foster Caretaker)</p> <p><u>Adoption</u> #23 Adoptive Mother's Year of Birth</p> <p>#24 Adoptive Father's Year of Birth</p>	3	<p><u>#50 Frequency Report:</u> There are four records with a year of 1900. There are five records with a year of 2011.</p> <p><u>#51 Frequency Report:</u> There are four records with a year of 1900 and two with a year of 1916. There are two records with a year of 1997, 3 for 2001, and 1 with 2005. There is one record with invalid data (0).</p> <p><u>#50, Case File Review Findings</u> 3 (7%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p> <p><u>#51, Case File Review Findings</u> 2 (5%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p>See findings and questions in element #49.</p>		
<p>56. Date of Discharge from Foster Care</p>	3	<p><u>Screen:</u> Child Legal Status Details</p> <p><u>Program Code Post-site visit findings</u> The State modified the program code to address the issue related to court orders.</p> <p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 3 (4%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. In one error case this element was blank but should have reflected a discharge date.</p>			

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
<p>58. Reason for Discharge</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1 = reunification with Parent(s) or Primary Caretaker(s) 2 = Living with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Emancipation 5 = Guardianship 6 = Transfer to Another Agency 7 = Runaway 8 = Death of Child</p>	<p align="center">2</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) If there is no discharge date, this element is incorrectly set to blank.</p> <p>2) The value "VAC Expired" seems to represent a voluntary agreement for care that was in place with another State. If this is the case, then this record and this value should not have been in the reporting population.</p> <p>3) If "VAC Terminated" represents the end of the voluntary agreement but the agency obtained a court order to retain placement and care responsibility, then this child is still in the reporting population.</p> <p>4) The program code includes the discharge reasons AWOL/ abducted by family member and AWOL/nonfamily abduction. These reasons are not on the legal screen as discharge reasons and the child is considered in foster care reporting population until the agency no longer has placement and care responsibilities.</p> <p>5) The custody discharge reason values AWOL/runaway whereabouts unknown (AWOLRUNAWAYWHEREUNK) and AWOL/runaway whereabouts unknown but contacting caseworker (AWOLRUNAWAYWHEREUNKCONTCW) are mapped to "runaway." These values are not on the legal screen.</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) Modify the program code to set this element to "not applicable" if a child is still in the AFCARS reporting population.</p> <p>2) Clarify the meaning of "VAC Expired."</p> <p>3) Clarify the meaning of "VAC Terminated."</p> <p>4) Remove these values from consideration in the program code.</p> <p>4a) Develop a means to ensure if the court dismisses the agency from responsibility for placement and care due to one of these reasons, then the appropriate AFCARS discharge reason that reflects the situation of the child is reported.</p> <p>5) Remove these values from the mapping of element #58.</p> <p>5a) Ensure that the only time this element is set to a discharge reason of "runaway" for children who are AWOL is when the court has dismissed the agency from placement and care responsibility.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		<p>6) The termination codes for “custody to non-removal parent” and custody discharge reason codes living with non-removal father (LIVNONRF), living with non-removal mother (LIVNONRM), and living with non-removal parent (LIVNONRP) were removed from the mapping. However, as noted in element #41, if the child was placed with the non-custodial parent after having been in foster care, then the child is discharged from the AFCARS reporting population with a reason of reunification.</p> <p>7) The custody discharge reason values legal custody to kinship non-relative (LCKINNR), legal custody to kinship relative (LCKINREL), living with kinship non-relative (LIVWKNR), living with kinship relative (LIVWKINP), guardianship to kinship non-relative (GUAKNONREL), and guardianship to kinship relative (GUAKINREL) were removed from the mapping.</p> <p>8) The values “custody to other relative” and “RETURNTOPARENT/GUARD/CUSTO” are not options on the screen and are not in the data dictionary.</p> <p>9) The custody discharge reason options include “living with removal parents.” The program code has the value “RETURNTOPARENT.”</p> <p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Not Applicable = 1; Reunification = 1,899</p>	<p>6a) Provide an explanation and descriptions for these discharge reasons.</p> <p>6b) Ensure that caseworkers are using reunification as a discharge reason.</p> <p>7) The State needs to provide an explanation and also descriptions for these discharge reasons.</p> <p>8) Please clarify if this is an inactive value from prior versions of the system.</p> <p>9) Confirm whether these are the same value as what is on the screen.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		(11%); Living with Other Relative(s) = 1,022 (6%); Adoption = 642 (4%); Emancipation = 770 (5%); Guardianship = 174 (1%); Transfer to Another Agency = 27 (.16%); Runaway = 44 (.26%); Death of Child = 4 (.02%); Not reported = 12,157 (73%)			
Source(s) of Federal financial support/ assistance for child 59. Title IV-E (Foster Care) 0-Does not apply 1-Applies	2	<u>Program Code</u> 1) The changes include using “disbursement journal” and that the warrant date is within the report period. 2) It is not clear how the changes will ensure that title IV-E foster care funds are a source of income to the child during the report period if the child enters foster care in the last month of the report period but a payment is not made to the foster care setting until the next report period. <u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Does not apply = 8,567 (51%); Applies = 8,173 (49%)	1) The State needs to clarify if this is the date of the check to the foster parent. 2) Explain how a record will be reported if the child enters foster care towards the end of the report period, is determined eligible, but the foster parent is not paid until the next month (next report period).		
61. Title IV-A	3	<u>Program Code LNs 1746 – 1771</u> It is not clear from the program code that the payment is made only if the child is residing with relative who is receiving the funds on behalf of the child who is in foster care. The frequency report indicates no records as “applies.” <u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Does not apply = 16,740 (100%); Applies = 0	The State needs to provide clarification.		
62. Title IV-D (Child Support)	3	<u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Does not apply = 16,503 (99%); Applies = 237 (1%)	The State needs to implement a method to receive this information at the case level for each child and enter it into the system.		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		There is no interface with the child support system but the SACWIS has the capacity for this data to be entered by child welfare staff.	The data will be monitored for improved reporting.		
63. Title XIX (Medicaid)	3	<p><u>Screen/Interface</u> The State implemented a change to the system the week of the AFCARS Review that will now allow the child welfare agency to receive Medicaid information on children who are not eligible for title IV-E funds.</p> <p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Does not apply = 6,115 (37%); Applies = 10,625 (63%)</p>	The data will be monitored to assess if the numbers increase.		
64. SSI or Other Social Security Benefits	2	<p><u>Program Code LNs 1869 – 1884</u> This element is set to “applies” if a record is found for the child with a benefit type of “SSA,” “SSI,” or “Veterans Benefits” and a transaction type of “Deposit” for a payment that occurred within the reporting period.</p> <p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=16,740): Does not apply = 16,143 (96%); Applies = 597 (4%)</p>	Modify the program code to not check for Veterans Benefits.		
65. None of the Above	4 2	<p><u>Program Code: LNs 2893 0 2904</u> The program code checks if there is a benefit type of “parental contributions” and stipend.</p>	<p>1) Parental contributions are to be mapped to element #62.</p> <p>2) Veterans Benefits are to be mapped to this element.</p>		
66. Amount of Monthly Foster Care Payment	3	<p><u>Program Code Post Site-Visit Findings</u> The program code was modified. The program code now checks (joins) the payment detail record and the payment request table. The program code was modified to now sum only the</p>	The data will be monitored for changes.		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Improvement Plan: Foster Care Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks ¹	Dates	Notes
		"maintenance cost amount" when the "agency warrant date" is not null, indicating that the payment has been disbursed.			

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
<p>9. Has the title IV-E agency determined that the child has special needs?</p> <p>1=Yes 2=No</p>	<p>3</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> There is not a field in the system for this question as the information is derived from the results to element #10.</p> <p><u>Frequency Report (n=642):</u> Yes = 0; No = 642; Not reported = 0 <u>Element #35:</u> Yes = 624; No = 18; Not reported = 0</p> <p>2012B Frequency Report (n=608): Yes = 594; No = 14; Not reported = 0 <u>Element #35:</u> Yes = 589; No = 18; Not reported = 0; Invalid response = 1</p>	<p>The data for this element will continue to be assessed and monitored by CB and the State.</p>		
<p>10. Primary Factor or Condition for Special Needs</p> <p>0=Not applicable 1=Racial/Ethnic Background 2=Age 3=Membership in a Sibling Group 4=Medical conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities 5=other</p>	<p>2</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) There are two screens that collect special needs information: the Special Needs Criteria screen and Case Overview/Placement Finalization/Case Closure screen. The State's mapping form references the list on the first screen for the purpose of element #9. The values listed in the extraction routine appear to be coming from the Special Needs Criteria screen and not from the primary factor field on the Finalization/Case Closure screen.</p> <p>2) There is no means to record "not applicable" if the agency has determined the child is not eligible for special needs (element #9).</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) Confirm which field is being used for this element.</p> <p>2) Modify the system to include an option of "not applicable."</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
		<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) There is a routine in the program code that selects the earliest special need code.</p> <p>2) The routine that determines the primary basis for special needs on private agency adoptions uses a “MAX” statement. The hierarchy based on the mapping form is: medical, etc., sibling group, race, age, and then other.</p> <p>3) In the routine for the private agency adoptions there are values being mapped that are not listed on the screen. These codes are: “EMOTIONALDISABILITY,” “MENTALCONDITION,” “MEDICALCONDITION,” and “EMTNLDISTURB.”</p> <p>4) The mapping of the State’s values to the AFCARS value “medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities” is incorrect. The option in the primary factor field indicates “medical condition or disability.”</p> <p>4a) The values in the criteria field of “developmental delay” and “developmental disability” are not included in the extraction code. Since the primary factor field is to be used for extraction, it is not</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) Please explain what this section of the routine is meant to accomplish.</p> <p>2) Clarify this section of the program code.</p> <p>2a) The agency should provide the need that was the primary barrier to the child’s adoption.</p> <p>3) Please explain where these values are located in the system and/or if they are old values.</p> <p>4) The State needs to provide its mapping of the values that are included in the option “medical condition or disability.”</p> <p>4a) Clarify if these are included in the primary list, if so, modify the program code to check for these values.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
		<p>clear if these values are included.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u></p> <p>1) The code for “at risk medical (phy/psych/dev/emot)” is now mapped to “other” instead of “medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities.”</p> <p>2) The value “siblingoftwo” was removed.</p> <p>3) The special need value for “race” was added and mapped to “racial/Ethnic background.”</p> <p>4) The value for “Previous Adoption Disruption/3 or More Placements” was added and is mapped to “other.”</p> <p><i>Frequency Report (n=642):</i> Not applicable = 0; Race/Original Background = 110 (17%); Age = 77 (12%); Sibling group = 209 (33%); Medical, etc. = 102 (16%); Other = 85 (13%); Not reported = 59 (9%)</p> <p><i>Case File Review Findings</i> There were four errors found by the reviewers for this element.</p>	<p>5) Once an option for “not applicable” is added to the screen, map it to this element’s value for “not applicable.”</p>		
#11 – 15	2	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) The program code for the adoption elements was corrected to report the diagnosed condition only when element #10 is “4.”</p>	<p><u>Program Code</u></p> <p>1) Modify the program code to set elements 11 – 15 to “does not apply” when the response in element #10 is other than a “4.”</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
		<p>However, if the value for element #10 is other than “medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities,” this element is incorrectly set to blank.</p> <p>2) There are a total of 79 records reported as “applies” for elements #11 – 15. However, there are 102 records reported as “medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities” in element #10. It seems there should be at least 102 records with a response of “applies” for these elements. There are 343 records reported as blank. (There was a similar discrepancy in the 2012Bfile.)</p> <p>3) The extraction code uses the same logic to set the values for diagnosed conditions for both foster care and adoption.</p> <p>See the Case File Review Findings.</p>	<p>2) Review the program code and ensure that all diagnosed conditions are being reported when element #10 is set to “4.”</p> <p>3) See foster care elements 11 – 15 for additional tasks.</p>		
17. Father’s year of birth	3	<p><u>Case File Review Findings</u> 5 (20%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. There were two records reported with the wrong year. One error record had the default year of 1900 but the reviewer found a birth year. Two other error records were blank but the reviewers found dates.</p>	<p>This data needs to be monitored for accuracy.</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
		<p><u>Frequency Report (n=642)</u>: There were 46 records reported as 1900. There were 31 records reported as blank. The 2012B file did not contain any records with the year 1900.</p>			
<p>18. Was the Mother Married at the Time of the Child's Birth?</p> <p>1=Yes 2=No 3-Unable to determine</p>	2	<p><u>Screen</u>: Birth Parents 1) This screen is located in the Adoption module and is not completed at the time the child enters foster care but at the time the child is in the adoption phase.</p> <p>The options on the screen also include "unable to determine." See previous discussions related to this AFCARS value.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>Frequency Report (n=642)</i>: Yes = 90 (14%); No = 464 (72%); Unable to determine = 54 (8%); Not reported = 34 (5%)</p> <p><i>Case File Review Findings</i> 4 (16%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) The State needs to either move this field to the person or some other screen used earlier in the life of a case, or to make this field one that workers would go to as part of the normal flow of recording case management activities.</p>		
<p>#29 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Stepparent #30 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Relative #31 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Foster Parent</p>	2	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) The options on the list include other and unknown. If the child is being placed with someone, that person's relationship to the child ought to be known.</p> <p><u>Program Code - #31</u> The program code checks if the</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> 1) Remove the options of other and unknown from the list.</p> <p><u>Program Code</u> 1) Clarify if the foster family</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
<p>#32 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Non-Relative</p> <p>0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies</p>		<p>child's placement type is "certifiedfosterhome" and has a discharge reason code of "adoptionfinalized" or a reason for termination code of "adoptfinalized." It is not clear if this element will always be set to "applies" if the child was in foster care.</p> <p><u>Data Quality</u> <i>#30, Frequency Report (n=642):</i> Does not apply = 615 (96%), Applies = 27 (4%) <i>#30, Case File Review Findings</i> 3 (12%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. In one error case, the AFCARS field was blank but the reviewer found that this element applied. In two cases, all of the elements 29 – 32 were reported in AFCARS as "does not apply." In one of these, the response for this element should have been "yes, applies."</p> <p><i>#31, Frequency Report (n=642):</i> Does not apply = 120 (19%), Applies = 483 (75%) <i>#31, Case File Review Findings</i> 3 (12%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. In two error cases, the response should have been "yes, applies" instead of "does not apply."</p>	<p>does not adopt the child if this element be set to "applies."</p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
		<p>#32, Frequency Report (n=642): Does not apply = 250 (39%), Applies = 392 (61%)</p> <p>#32, Case File Review Findings 5 (20%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. The response should have been “yes, applies” instead of “does not apply.”</p>			
<p>33. Child was placed from</p> <p>1=Within State or Tribal Service Area 2=Another State or Tribal Service Area 3=Another Country</p>	<p>1</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> There is not a field in the system to identify the location of the agency placing the child. For those adoptions the agency is involved with because the family adopted a special needs child through a private agency, the State must be able to report if the placing agency is in another State, a Tribal Service area, or another country.</p> <p><u>Program Code</u> This element is hardcoded to “within State” for all adoption records.</p> <p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=642): Within State =642 (100%)</p>	<p><u>Screen/Program Code</u> Modify the system to collect “another State or Tribal Service Area” and “another country.” Also, see the findings for General Requirements #12.</p>		
<p>34. Child was placed by</p> <p>1=Public agency 2=Private agency 3=Tribal Agency 4=Independent person</p>	<p>2</p>	<p><u>Screen</u>: Adoption subsidy There are two options, public and private, on the screen.</p> <p><u>Frequency Report</u> (n=642): Public agency = 642 (100%)</p>	<p><u>Screen</u> Modify the screen to include the other options for this element.</p> <p><u>Program Code</u></p>		

**AFCARS Assessment Review Findings: Adoption Elements
State: Ohio**

Data Element	Rating Factor	Findings	Tasks	Dates	Comments/Notes
5=Birth parent			Modify the program code once the fields are added to the screen.		
35. Is the Child Receiving a Monthly Subsidy? 1=Yes 2=No	2	<u>Program Code</u> The program code does not include a check for adoption agreements that are only Medicaid. Changes were made to the program code. The program code looks for an approved status. If found, then this element is set to "yes." Otherwise, it is set to "no." The code no longer checks for the values "AA" or "SAMS" when setting this element. <u>Frequency Report (n=642):</u> Yes = 624; No = 18; Not reported = 0	<u>Program Code</u> The State needs to clarify if the changes will get the adoption subsidies that are only for "Medicaid."		
36. Monthly amount	3	Modifications were made to the program code.	<u>Program Code</u> Confirm that the changes will provide the amount that is in the adoption agreement at the time the adoption is finalized.		

¹ Data quality monitoring for items found onsite that were corrected during the post-site phase have been added to GR #21 as "several elements had corrections made during the post-site phase. These items will be monitored for accuracy as part of the overall data monitoring of the AIP."