

Summary of State Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations¹

Introduction: A total of 22 States are currently implementing 23 child welfare waiver demonstrations: Eight new demonstrations were approved for implementation in fiscal year (FY) 2013; nine new demonstrations received approval to implement in FY 2012; four demonstrations are operating under five-year extensions; and two demonstrations are operating under short-term extensions pending approval of five-year extensions. As summarized in the three tables below, States will implement or have implemented a wide range of interventions, including specific evidence-based or promising programs that improve child safety and permanency, with a special emphasis on trauma and increased child and family well-being. States will use a variety of screening and assessment tools to assess and measure changes in child and family development and functioning over time. The waiver demonstrations will expand the child welfare knowledge base regarding what works to improve safety, permanency, and well-being for children and their families.

Table 1. Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations Approved in FY 2013

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
DC	District-wide Targets all children and families involved with the District of Columbia’s Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) including those who come to the attention of CFSA and are diverted from the formal child welfare investigation track to community-based services (Family Assessment).	Expand the use of evidence-based family preservation, post-reunification, and prevention services through contracts with private community-based agencies. Prevention services to be expanded include those focused on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent education and support programs • Home visiting • Father-child attachment • Older youth ages 10–17 who have committed status offenses. <p>The District will also implement the following two new evidence-based programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homebuilders (intensive in-home family preservation services) • Project Connect (intensive in-home family preservation and/or reunification services) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased new reports of maltreatment • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased exits to permanency • Decreased entry rates • Decreased re-entry rates • Improved family functioning • Improved educational achievement • Improved social and emotional functioning 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p><i>Includes</i> costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>
HI	Begins on two islands (O’ahu and Hawai’i) with possible expansion to additional islands.	For target population #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crisis Response Team • Intensive Home-based Services: Intervention includes the use of Rapid Assessment Instruments (e.g., Child Behavior Checklist) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased entry rates • Decreased re-entry rates • Decreased length of stay • Improved child and family well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs

¹ In this document the term “State” may refer to both States and the District of Columbia.
Summary of Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations by State

Table 1. Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations Approved in FY 2013

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
HI <i>cont.</i>	Two target populations: 1) Families who come to the attention of Child Welfare Services through a hospital referral or police protective custody and who are likely to be placed into care for fewer than 30 days; and 2) children and youth who have been in foster care for 60 days or longer.	For target population #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety, Permanency, and Well-Being Roundtables (multi-disciplinary case staffing to facilitate permanency for youth who have been placed out of the home for more than 9 months) • Wraparound Services (multidisciplinary, comprehensive service planning and delivery to keep youth in the home or in the community) • Increased frequency and duration of enhanced visitation (‘Ohana Visiting Time) • Rapid Assessment Instruments (e.g., Child Behavioral Checklist) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training, SACWIS, and non-SACWIS automated systems costs) <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>
ID	Statewide Targets children ages 0–18 with an open child welfare case and their families. Phase I will focus on children who are in or enter out-of-home placement during the course of the demonstration; Phase II will include children who are at risk of removal from home.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family Group Decision Making • An evidence-based family life skills training and parent education program (TBD) • Trauma-informed system of care <p>The trauma-informed system of care will include training and workforce development in trauma-informed practices; implementation of a universal trauma screening instrument; and implementation of trauma-informed evidence-based programs and practices (e.g., Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Parent-Child Interaction Therapy). Individual regional child welfare districts may implement additional interventions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased number of families served in-home • Decreased entry rates into foster care • Increased exits to permanency through reunification, adoption, and guardianship • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased placement stability • Decreased congregate care placement rates • Improved child well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p>State law does not allow most child welfare services beyond age 18.</p>
MT	Begins in 4 counties (Cascade, Lewis and Clark, Missoula, and Yellowstone Counties) with possible expansion statewide. Three target populations: 1) Children ages 0–5 who are at risk of out-of-home placement due to neglect;	For target population #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensive In-Home Services, including Family Group Decision Making; concrete support services; and in-home promising or evidence-based interventions that provide parenting education and support such as Safe Care, Family Spirit, and Parents as Teachers. <p>For target population #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensive Family Re-engagement Services, including </p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased out-of-home placement rates • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased exits to permanency through reunification, guardianship, and adoption • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Increased kinship placement rates • Decreased congregate care placement rates • Decreased length of stay in congregate care • Increased step-downs from congregate care to 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS,

Table 1. Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations Approved in FY 2013

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
MT <i>cont.</i>	<p>2) Children ages 0–12 who are in kinship foster care; and</p> <p>3) Children ages 0–18 placed in residential treatment centers or therapeutic group homes.</p>	<p>Team Decision Making; in-home visits; and other evidence-based services such as Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; Parent Child Interaction Therapy; Positive Parenting Program-Triple P (parenting education); The Incredible Years (parenting education); the Attachment, Self-Regulation, and Competency (ARC) model (comprehensive framework for intervention with youth exposed to trauma); and High Fidelity Wrap-Around Services.</p> <p>For target population #3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intensive Family Reintegration Services that include the Family Find model (a process to identify family members and other supportive adults who are willing to become permanent connections for a child placed out of the home); High Fidelity Wrap-Around Mental Health Services; and youth-centered meetings and youth engagement. 	<p>less restrictive placement settings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved child well-being and family functioning 	<p>training, and agency administration)</p> <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21 and Tribal/State title IV-E agreements.</p>
NE	<p>Begins in five counties (TBD) and will expand statewide.</p> <p>Two target populations:</p> <p>1) All children ages 0–18 who can remain safely at home through the provision of in-home services; and</p> <p>2) All children ages 0–18 served by the child welfare agency.</p>	<p>For target population #1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative Response (AR), a differential response pathway for screened-in allegations of abuse and neglect as an alternative to traditional Child Protective Services investigations (For target population #1). AR will include linkages to an expanded array of evidence-based programs and services such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parent Child Interaction Therapy Positive Parenting Program-Triple P Wraparound Services <p>For target population #2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The state will incorporate Results Based Accountability into the State’s contract and performance management systems for contracted child welfare service providers in order to improve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decreased repeat maltreatment, allegations, and substantiated reports Decreased entry rates into foster care Increased placement stability Decreased time to exit/permanency Decreased re-entry rates following exits to permanency Decreased time to adoption after termination of parental rights Improved child and family well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Foster care maintenance costs Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>

Table 1. Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations Approved in FY 2013

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
NE <i>cont.</i>		key child safety, permanency, and well-being outcomes achieved through the services provided to children and families.		
NY	<p>Begins in New York City and may expand to additional counties or jurisdictions.</p> <p>Targets all children/youth ages 0–21 years currently in out-of-home placement in regular family foster care or at home receiving post-reunification aftercare services.</p>	<p>A new city-wide model of foster care services called <i>ChildSuccessNYC</i>. Primary components include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parenting Through Change (parenting education and support) • Family Finding • Keeping Foster and Kin Parents Trained and Supported (parenting education and support for foster parents) • Youth Development Skills Coaching <p>Any additional participating counties or jurisdictions will implement a different package of interventions that may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Functional Family Therapy • Parenting with Love and Limits (a combination of group and family therapy for youth with severe emotional and behavioral problems and their families) • Multi-Systemic Therapy for Child Abuse and Neglect • Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (therapeutic foster care to decrease problem behaviors in children/youth) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased child social and emotional well being • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased placement stability • Decreased re-entry rates 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding (based on claims submitted for program costs expended by New York City Administration for Children’s Services)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p><i>Includes</i> costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>
RI	<p>Statewide</p> <p>Targets children and youth primarily between the ages of 6 and 18 that are in or are at significant risk of going into congregate care and their families.</p>	<p>Expand the Rhode Island Wraparound Services model to include children in congregate care placements and their families. Depending on the needs of each child and family, additional interventions that may be offered include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-Systemic Therapy for Child Abuse and Neglect • Parenting with Love and Limits • Enhanced Family Support Services • Strengthening Families (a family skills training 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased placement stability • Increased discharges from congregate care to permanent placement with a family • Decreased proportion of discharges from congregate care to non-permanent settings (e.g., runaways, transfer to the custody of another agency) • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Decreased re-entry rates following exits to permanency 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and

Table 1. Child Welfare Waiver Demonstrations Approved in FY 2013

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
RI <i>cont.</i>		program) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preserving Family Networks (a flexible service model to prevent placement or assist with successful transitions from residential or hospital settings into the community) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decreased initial and repeat maltreatment Improved child well-being 	training) Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.
TN	Statewide, with implementation staggered by county or State Department of Child Services (DCS) Region (schedule TBD). Targets all children ages 0-17 who are in the non-custodial (non-placement) care of DCS at the time of the demonstration’s implementation or who are referred to non-custodial care throughout the course of the waiver demonstration.	Expand the existing In-Home Tennessee initiative, including the expansion of a wraparound service framework consisting of the following components: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statewide Risk and Safety Assessment Protocol using the Family Assessment and Screening Tool (FAST) Intensive In-Home Services (for family preservation) Referral to other services as indicated by FAST assessment and identification of child and family needs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decreased entry rates Decreased repeat maltreatment Decreased re-entry rates following exits to permanency Improved child and family functioning and well-being 	Capped allocation of title IV-E funding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Foster care maintenance costs Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.

Table 2. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved in FY 2012

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
AR	<p>Initial implementation in Year 1 focused on 8 counties with statewide expansion planned.</p> <p>Targets all children referred to child welfare services for child abuse/neglect or already receiving services.</p>	<p>Improved array of community based services, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nurturing Parenting Program (a parenting education and support program) • Enhanced assessment (Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths, or CANS) • Permanency Round Tables (multi-disciplinary case staffing to facilitate permanency) • Team Decision Making • Targeted recruitment of foster care providers • Differential Response (a program to divert families from the formal child welfare investigative track and connect them to community resources) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased entry rates • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Improved child and family well-being • Increased exits to permanency • Decreased repeat maltreatment 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training, SACWIS, and non-SACWIS automated systems costs) <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>
CO	<p>Statewide for 3 core interventions; individual counties to implement additional programs/interventions.</p> <p>Targets children with screened-in reports of abuse/neglect and those already in open child welfare cases.</p>	<p>Primary Interventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family engagement • Trauma informed child assessment • Trauma focused behavioral health treatment, such as Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavior Therapy and Coping Cat (a cognitive-behavioral treatment for children with anxiety) <p>Additional interventions to be determined based on specific needs of counties</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved child emotional, behavioral, and social functioning • Increased parenting skills and capacity • Decreased entry and re-entry rates • Increased placement with kin caregivers • Decreased new and repeat maltreatment • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Decreased congregate care placement rates 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training, SACWIS, and non-SACWIS automated systems costs)
IL (IB3)	<p>Cook County</p> <p>Targets children ages 0–3 entering out-of-home placement for the first time.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nurturing Parenting Program • Child Parent Psychotherapy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved developmental progress for children and toddlers • Increased reunification rates • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Decreased re-entry rates 	<p>Experimental design</p>

Table 2. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved in FY 2012

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
MA	<p>Statewide</p> <p>Targets youth transitioning out of congregate care or at risk of congregate care placement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow Along (intensive home-based family interventions and supports to children and their families and caregivers) • Stepping Out (comprehensive case management services for youth transitioning to independent living after receiving congregate care services) • Continuum Services (Family treatment, care coordination, outreach and crisis support services to enable family preservation) • Family Partners (a peer mentoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved youth well-being • Decreased time in congregate care • Increased placement stability • Decreased re-entry rates 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21</p>
MI	<p>Begins in three counties, with possible expansion to three additional sites in year three of the demonstration.</p> <p>Targets families with children ages 0–5 that have been investigated by child welfare and are determined to be at high risk of child maltreatment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy • Parent Child Interaction Therapy • Parent Infant Psychotherapy • Nurse-Family Partnership (home visits by registered nurses) • Healthy Families America (intensive home visiting program) • Enhanced assessment (e.g., Trauma Screening Checklist for Young Children, Protective Factors Survey, and Devereux Early Childhood Assessment Tool) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased entry rates • Improved child and family well-being 	<p>Experimental design</p>
PA	<p>Begins in 5 counties and may expand to additional counties over time.</p> <p>Targets all children ages 0–18 in or at risk of foster care placement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family engagement strategies, such as Family Group Decision Making and Family Team Conferencing • Enhanced child and family assessments <p>Additional interventions to be determined based on specific needs of counties.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased entry and re-entry rates • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased exits to permanency • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Improved child and adolescent emotional, behavioral, developmental, academic, and social functioning • Improved parent functioning 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training and non-SACWIS automated systems costs) <p>Excludes title IV-E claims from counties not participating in the demonstration. <i>Includes</i> costs associated with youth</p>

Table 2. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved in FY 2012

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
PA <i>cont.</i>				ages 18–21 unless State amends its title IV-E State plan.
UT	<p>Begins in two child welfare offices (one serving an urban area and one serving a rural area), followed by statewide expansion.</p> <p>Targets all children and families entering the child welfare system due to substantiated child abuse/neglect or dependency and are identified (via standardized assessment tools) as requiring ongoing services.</p>	<p>Improved array of community based services, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced child and family functional assessment • Systematic Training for Effective Parenting (a parenting education and support program) <p>Additional interventions to be determined based on needs.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased entry rates • Improved child and family well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training, SACWIS, and non-SACWIS automated systems costs)
WA	<p>Statewide</p> <p>Targets families entering the child welfare system due to substantiated child abuse/neglect that are determined to present a low to moderate risk to the child’s immediate safety, health and well-being.</p>	<p>Differential Response, which includes the expansion and provision of services such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SafeCare (parenting education) • Incredible Years (parenting education) • Positive Parenting Program -Triple P (parenting education) • Promoting First Relations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased entry rates • Improved child and family well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and training) <p>Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21.</p>
WI	<p>Begins in one county and will expand statewide</p> <p>Targets families with children ages 0–5 who have reunified with their families after temporary placement in out-of-home care and are at risk of reentry into care.</p>	<p>Post-reunification supportive services, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy • Parent Child Interaction Therapy • Child Parent Psychotherapy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased re-entry rates • Improved early education outcomes • Decreased exposure to trauma • Improved child health and emotional, behavioral, and social functioning 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding training, SACWIS, and non-SACWIS automated systems costs)

Table 3. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved Under Previous Waiver Authority

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
CA	<p>Alameda and Los Angeles Counties; includes Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) and Probation Department for both counties.</p> <p>Children ages 0–19 currently in out-of-home placement or who are at risk of entering or re-entering foster care, regardless of title IV-E eligibility.</p>	<p>Alameda County DCFS and Probation: Expand and strengthen programs to provide children and families medical, mental health, education, and social, and self-sufficiency supports, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Another Road to Safety (alternative response program) • Paths to Success (focused on reducing the number of youth re-entering care after reunification) • Parent Advocate Program • Family Preservation Units <p>Los Angeles DCFS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community-based prevention programs • Family Team Decision Making Conferences • Specialized permanency unit focused on family finding and engagement • Enhanced assessment <p>Probation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cross-system case assessment and case planning • Multi-Systemic Therapy • Functional Family Therapy • Aftercare support services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased re-entry into care • Decreased time to permanency • Increased exit to permanency • Increased placement stability • Improved appropriateness and decreased restrictiveness of new and existing out-of-home placements • Improved child well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs
FL	<p>Statewide</p> <p>Two target populations: 1) Children ages 0–18 who are currently receiving in-home child welfare service or who were in out-of-home placement at the start of project implementation;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts with Community-Based Care (CBC) Lead Agencies responsible for coordinating and providing services and supports • Improved array of community-based services, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensive early intervention services • One-time payments for goods and services that help divert children 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased re-entry rates • Decreased time to permanency • Increased exit to permanency through reunification or adoption • Improved child well-being 	<p>Capped allocation of title IV-E funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS)

Table 3. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved Under Previous Waiver Authority

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
FL <i>cont.</i>	2) all families entering the State child welfare system with a report of alleged child maltreatment	<p>from out-of-home placement (e.g., rental assistance and child care)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family Finding • Improved needs assessment; • Long-term supports to prevent placement recidivism. 		
IL (AODA)	<p>Implementation in three counties (Cook, Madison, and St. Clair Counties). Enhanced RCP services begins in Cook County and may expand to additional counties over time.</p> <p>Target population for standard RCP services includes custodial parents residing in demonstration counties whose children are in or enter out-of-home placement on or after July 1, 2013, including custodial parents who deliver infants testing positive for substance exposure.</p> <p>Target population for enhanced RCP services includes families residing in Cook County who meet the requirements for standard services and who are identified by the State’s Juvenile Court Assessment Program as</p>	<p>Enhance the Recovery Coach Program (RCP) implemented under the State’s previous waiver demonstrations through (1) the development and use of proactive and flexible early engagement and assessment practices, and (2) the establishment of a program to provide intensive planning, assessment, and pre- and post-reunification services for families who are identified as candidates for earlier reunification.</p> <p>Standard RCP services provided under the demonstration include clinical assessment and identification, recovery plan development, intensive outreach and engagement to facilitate parents’ treatment participation and recovery, random urinalyses, housing resources, mental health services and recovery, domestic violence services, and ongoing follow-up after reunification to promote and sustain recovery and ensure child safety.</p> <p>Enhanced RCP services include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benchmarking (refers to a set of casework practices) • Recovery and Reunification Plan (developed in collaboration with family court judges, caseworkers, and Recovery Coaches) • Strengthening Families (a strategy focused on increasing family 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved rates of treatment access • Increased participation in substance abuse treatment • Decreased time between referral to and entry into substance abuse treatment • Increased number of children who exist foster care and are reunified with their custodial parent • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased re-entry rates into out-of-home placement • Decreased births of substance-exposed infants • Improved child and family well-being 	Experimental design

Table 3. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved Under Previous Waiver Authority

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
IL (AODA) <i>cont.</i>	low risk and likely candidates for expedited reunification.	strengths and building protective factors)		
IN	Statewide Children at risk of out-of-home placement and their families.	Increase the array, intensity, and accessibility of services to prevent out-of-home placement, which may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy Families America (intensive home visiting program) • Homebuilders (intensive in-home family preservation services) • Substance use disorder assessments • Outpatient and residential substance abuse treatment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased initial and repeat maltreatment • Decreased entry rates • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased exits to permanency through reunification, adoption, or guardianship • Improved child and family well-being 	Capped allocation of title IV-E funding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foster care maintenance costs • Foster care administrative costs (excluding SACWIS and non-SACWIS automated systems costs) Excludes costs associated with youth ages 18–21
OH	17 counties Targets all children ages 0–17 who are at risk of, currently in, or who enter out-of-home placement during the demonstration period, as well as their parents or caregivers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family Team Meetings • Kinship Supports (activities specifically related to the kinship caregiver including home assessment, needs assessment, support planning, and service referral and provision) Any county that implemented Supervised Visitation in a previous phase of the States demonstration may continue. Counties may implement additional supportive services that prevent placement and promote permanency for children in out-of-home care.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proportion of children with substantiated or indicated dispositions of child abuse or neglect who do not experience a placement episode • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased re-entry 	Comparison county approach
OR	Selected child welfare districts throughout the State depending on the intervention Two target populations: 1) Families with a child under age 13 that is in foster care and that have	For target population #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relationship-Based Visitation (enhanced supervised visitation program to promote reunification) For target population #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent Mentoring (a peer mentoring program for caregivers to assist with completion of substance abuse 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased time to exit/permanency • Increased exits to permanency through reunification, adoption, or guardianship • Decreased repeat maltreatment • Decreased re-entry rates 	Experimental design

Table 3. Child Welfare Demonstrations Approved Under Previous Waiver Authority

State	Target Population & Geographic Scope	Core Interventions, including Evidence-Based or Promising Programs	Key Outcomes	Method to Measure Cost Neutrality and Included Cost Categories
OR <i>cont.</i>	<p>been approved for visitation outside of the child welfare agency</p> <p>2) Families with an active child welfare case who have been identified as in need of substance abuse treatment</p>	<p>treatment, sobriety, and family preservation and/or reunification)</p>		