Table 1 **Child Care and Development Fund** Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2010) States/Territories **Average Number of Families Average Number of Children** Alabama 14,300 26,800 Alaska 2,900 4.600 American Samoa 400 700 Arizona 18.500 30 400 Arkansas 8,600 14,100 California 75.300 114.000 Colorado 9,900 16,700 Connecticut 6,000 9,000 Delaware 3,700 6,100 District of Columbia 1 300 1 800 Florida 66.800 99,200 39.400 70.600 Georgia Guam 500 800 6,900 11,200 Hawaii Idaho 3,900 7,100 40.200 71.600 Illinois Indiana 19,000 35,300 8 800 15 700 lowa Kansas 11,100 20,700 17,400 30,900 Kentucky 27,800 42,300 Louisiana Maine 1.600 2.300 Maryland 14,900 24,900 18.300 25 100 Massachusetts Michigan 27,500 52,600 Minnesota 15,500 27,600 Mississippi 18,700 33,900 Missouri 23.100 38.300 Montana 3,000 4,900 7.300 12.800 Nebraska Nevada 3,500 5,600 New Hampshire 3,800 5.200 New Jersey 26,500 38,400 New Mexico 14.000 23.200 New York 75,200 129,700

41,800

2,400

25,000

15.900

12,800

56,900

9,300

3,300

12,200

3.600

29,600

78,200

6,400

3.000

14.800

29,200

5.500

12,000

1,001,100

3,100

400

100

Notes applicable to this table:

North Carolina

North Dakota

Ohio

Oklahoma

Pennsylvania Puerto Rico

Rhode Island

South Carolina

South Dakota

Tennessee

Texas Utah

Vermont

Virginia Washington

Virgin Islands

West Virginia

National Total

Wisconsin

Wyoming

Oregon

Northern Mariana Islands

1,697,300Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

86,200

3,900

43,600

26,400

22,700

96,700

12,300

5.300

20,100

5.800

53,700

12,200

4.400

23.300

50,100

9,100

20,900

5,000

600

140,700

200

- 4. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 5. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the state and territory numbers.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2010) State Grants/Contracts % Certificates % Cash % Total Alabama 0% 100% 0% 45,02 Alaska 0% 92% 8% 6,55 American Samoa 100% 0% 0% 1,09 Arizona 0% 100% 0% 50,98 Arkansas 0% 100% 0% 26,72 California 41% 59% 0% 184,11 Colorado 1% 99% 0% 37,23	Table 2 Child Care and Development Fund									
Alabama		Crilia Car	e and Development	runa						
Alabama 0% 100% 0% 45,02 Alaska 0% 92% 8% 6% 6,555 American Samoa 100% 0% 92% 8% 6% 6,555 American Samoa 0% 100% 0% 0% 50,98 Arizona 0% 100% 0% 0% 50,98 Arizona 0% 100% 0% 0% 50,98 Arizona 141% 599% 0% 184,11 Colorado 111% 999% 0% 184,11 Colorado 111% 999% 0% 184,11 Colorado 111% 999% 0% 184,11 Colorado 110% 0% 100% 0% 13,397 Delaware 0% 150% 150% 0% 150% 158,151 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 138,555 Guam 27% 73% 0% 138,55 Guam 27% 73% 0% 3,07 -14waii 32% 0% 100% 0% 13,55 Guam 27% 073% 0% 138,55 Guam 27% 074% 100% 0% 128,18 Illinois 6% 94% 0% 128,18 Indiana 2% 98% 0% 128,23 Cova 100% 0% 100% 0% 128,18 Indiana 2% 98% 0% 128,18 Indiana 2% 98% 0% 128,18 Indiana 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 128,18 Indiana 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 128,18		Percent of Children S	erved by Payment N	lethod (FFY 2010)						
Alaska 0% 9.% 92% 8% 6.555 American Samoa 100% 0% 0.% 1.09% Arizona 0% 100% 0% 0% 50.88 Arikanasa 0% 100% 0% 0% 184.11 Colorado 1% 99% 0% 184.11 Colorado 1% 99% 0% 137.23 Connecticut 0% 100% 0% 55% 5% 9.59 Belaware 0% 95% 5% 5% 9.59 Biotrical 0.0 0% 100% 0% 13.85 Florida 0% 100% 0% 158.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 158.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 158.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 158.15 Guam 27% 73% 0% 68% 27.99 daho 0% 100% 0% 68% 12.79 Mariada 22% 0% 68% 27.99 daho 0% 100% 0% 68% 27.99 daho 0% 100% 0% 68% 27.99 daho 0% 100% 0% 13.85 Billinois 6% 94% 0% 168.18 Alassas 0% 100% 0% 0% 126.18 Mariada 2% 98% 0% 100% 0% 124.17 Kanasa 0% 100% 0% 0% 124.17 Kanasa 0% 100% 0% 0% 13.85 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 14.84 Maine 44% 55% 0% 100% 0% 13.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 13.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 13.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 12.43 Maine 44% 55% 0% 10.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 12.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 12.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maine 10% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 10.43 Maryland 0% 100%	State	Grants/Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total					
Americana Samoa	Alabama		100%	0%	45,024					
Arizona 0% 100% 0% 50.88 Arizona 0% 100% 0% 50.89 California 41% 55% 0% 164.11 Colorado 1% 99% 0% 37.23 Connecticut 0% 100% 0% 37.23 Delaware 0% 95% 5% 9.99 District of Columbia 0% 100% 0% 55% Sistrict of Columbia 0% 100% 0% 158.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 138.55 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 138.55 Guam 27% 73% 0% 688% 27.99 Islandian 28% 0% 100% 0% 27.99 Islandian 28% 94% 0% 13.58 Illinois 0% 100% 0% 0% 126.18 Illinois 0% 94% 0% 126.18 Owa 0% 100% 0% 34.45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 34.45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 34.45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 34.45 Maine 44% 56% 0% 3.33 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61.22 Minessippi 3% 97% 0% 0% 3.43 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 0% 61.22 Minessippi 3% 97% 0% 0% 0.94 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 0% 0.94 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 0% 0.94 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 0.96 Montana 0% 100% 0% 0% 0.94 Montana 0% 100% 0% 0% 0.95 Montana 0% 100% 0% 0% 0.96 Mon	Alaska				6,555					
Arkanasa	American Samoa				1,095					
California	Arizona				50,984					
Colorado 1% 99% 0% 37,23 Connecticut 0% 100% 0% 13,37 Delaware 0% 95% 5% 9,59 District of Columbia 0% 100% 0% 15,815 Fiorida 0% 100% 0% 15,815 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 138,55 Guarn 27% 73% 0% 3,07 Hawaii 32% 0% 68% 227,69 daho 0% 100% 0% 13,86 Illinois 6% 94% 0% 126,18 Indiana 2% 98% 0% 52,30 Lowa 0% 100% 0% 24,17 Kansas 0% 100% 0% 34,45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 49,88 Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 40,24 Maryland 0% 100% 0%	Arkansas				26,725					
Connecticut 0% 100% 95% 5% 9% 13.37 Delaware 0% 95% 5% 5% 9.59 District of Columbia 0% 100% 0% 0% 158.15 Florida 0% 100% 0% 0% 158.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 0% 13.85 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 68% 2.7,89 ddaho 0% 100% 0% 13.55 Gluam 27% 73% 0% 68% 2.7,89 ddaho 0% 100% 0% 13.55 Illinois 6% 94% 0% 13.58 Illinois 6% 94% 0% 126,183 Gluam 2% 98% 0% 126,183 Gluam 3% 100% 0% 126,183 Gluam 3% 126,183 Glua					184,114					
Delaware Dolaware Dolskind of Columbia Dow District of Columbia Dow 100% Dow 100% Dow 138,555 Beorgia Bow 138,656 Borgia Bow 138,656 Bow 100% Dow 126,181 Bow 100% Dow 100% Do										
District of Columbia O% 100% 0% 15.8.15 Florida 0% 100% 0% 15.8.15 Georgia 8% 92% 0% 0% 138.55 Guam 27% 73% 0% 68% 2.7.69 Islamia 32% 0% 0% 68% 2.7.69 Islamia 2% 98% 0% 0% 126.18 Islamia 2% 98% 0% 0% 24.17 Islamia 2% 100% 0% 34.45 Islamia 32% 0% 0% 68.38 Islamia 32% 0% 0% 68.38 Islamia 32% 0% 68% 0% 68.38 Islamia 32% 0% 68% 0% 68.38 Islamia 32% 0% 68% 0% 68.38 Islamia 33% 0% 68% 0% 68.38 Islamia 34% 0% 0% 100% 0% 12.28 Islamia 34% 0% 0% 12.24 Islamia 34% 0% 0% 12.26 Islamia 0% 100% 0% 12.26 Islamia 34% 0% 0% 12.26 Islamia 100% 0% 12.26 Is					13,970					
Florida										
Georgia 8% 92% 0% 138,55 Guarn 27% 73% 0% 3,07 Hawaii 32% 0% 68% 27,69 Idaho 0% 100% 0% 13,58 Illiniois 6% 94% 0% 52,30 lowa 0% 100% 0% 52,30 lowa 0% 100% 0% 24,17 Kansas 0% 100% 0% 34,45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 49,68 Lousiana 0% 100% 0% 43,48 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0%										
Guam 27% 73% 9% 9% 3,07 -lawaii 32% 0% 68% 27,69 -lawaii 32% 0% 68% 27,69 -lawaii 32% 0% 0% 68% 27,69 -lawaii 32% 0% 100% 0% 13,58 -lillinois 6% 94% 0% 126,18 -lillinois 6% 94% 0% 0% 126,18 -lillinois 6% 94% 0% 0% 126,18 -lillinois 6% 100% 0% 0% 24,17 -kansas 0% 100% 0% 0% 34,45 -kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 49,68 -kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 49,68 -kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 102,14 -kanian 0% 100% 0% 0% 102,14 -kanian 0% 100% 0% 0% 13,33 -kanian 0% 100% 0% 0% 63,33 -kanian 0% 100% 0% 0% 61,32 -kinchian 0% 100% 0% 64,43 -kinchian 0% 100% 0% 24,43 -kinchian 0% 100% 0% 42,34 -kinchian 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 12,08 -kinchian 0% 96% 44% 9,941 -kinchian 0% 96% 44% 9,941 -kinchian 0% 96% 0% 0% 12,08 -kevada 20% 80% 0% 0% 12,08 -kew Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 -kew York 36% 64% 0% 0% 37,16 -kew York 36% 64% 0% 0% 37,16 -kew York 36% 64% 0% 0% 39,27 -konthian 0% 100% 0% 0% 12,08 -kinchian 0% 100% 0% 0% 37,16 -kew York 36% 64% 0% 0% 32,37 -konthian 0% 100% 0% 0% 33,31					,					
Hawaii 32% 0% 68% 27,69 dalho 0% 100% 0% 13,58 lillinois 6% 94% 09% 126,18 lillinois 6% 94% 09% 52,30 lowa 0% 100% 0% 24,17 kansas 0% 100% 0% 0% 34,45 kentucky 0% 100% 0% 0% 49,68 Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 0% 49,68 Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 0% 43,48 kassachusetts 37% 63% 09% 61,32 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 43,48 kassachusetts 37% 63% 09% 64,34 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 42,34 kinglan 0% 76% 24% 100,88 kinglan 0% 76% 24% 100,88 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 42,34 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 72,36 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 12,08 kinglan 20% 80% 0% 0% 12,08 kinglan 20% 80% 0% 0% 12,08 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 37,16 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 37,16 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 12,08 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 72,45 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 12,07 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 73,16 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 33,37 kinglan 0% 100% 0% 0% 33,38 kinglan 0% 100% 0%										
Idaho 0% 100% 0% 13,58 Illinois 6% 94% 0% 126,18 Incidiana 2% 98% 0% 52,30 lowa 0% 100% 0% 24,17 Kansas 0% 100% 0% 34,45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 49,68 Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 102,14 Maine 44% 56% 0% 3,33 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Michigan 0% 76% 24% 10,98 Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Minsissispipi 3% 97% 0% 50,50 Missachusetts 0% 100% 0% 76,50 Mortana 0% 100% 0% 72,65 Minsesstrippi 3% 97% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Illinois										
Indiana					·					
lowa 0% 100% 0% 24,17 Kansas 0% 100% 0% 34,45 Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 49,68 Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 102,14 Maine 44% 56% 0% 3.83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Michigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minchigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minsissippi 3% 97% 0% 55,50 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 55,50 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 12,29 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 23,14 New Mexico 0% 100%										
Kansas										
Kentucky 0% 100% 0% 49,68: Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 102,14 Maine 44% 56% 0% 3,83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48! Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Minchigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98! Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Missispipi 3% 97% 0% 50,50 Montana 0% 100% 0% 72,36 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 23,03 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 123,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New York 36% 64% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Louisiana 0% 100% 0% 0% 102,14 Maine 44% 56% 0% 3,83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Michigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 9% 42,34 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 50,50 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,36 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,36 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 73,36 Nevada 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% 13,03 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 72,45 New Harpshire 0% 100% 0% 72,45 New Marco 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New Jorsey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Marco 0% 100% 0% 37,16 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 73,76 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 75,57 Norther Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 33,33 Pennsylvania 0% 100% 0% 33,34										
Maine 44% 56% 0% 3,83 Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48 Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Michigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minesotla 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Minesotla 0% 100% 0% 50,50 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,36 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 12,17 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana 0%	•									
Maryland 0% 100% 0% 43,48! Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Minnesota 0% 76% 24% 100,98! Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 50,50 Montana 0% 100% 0% 72,36! Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,411 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03! Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08! New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 12,08! New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Work 36% 64% 0% 37,16! New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14! North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 12,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands										
Massachusetts 37% 63% 0% 61,32 Michigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 50,50 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,36 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87 New Harmpshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,245 New Jork 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 17,16 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17 North Dakota 0%					· · ·					
Michigan 0% 76% 24% 100,98 Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 50,500 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 50,500 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 72,366 Montana 0% 100% 0% 72,366 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,411 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08 New Jarsey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 203,14 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 New Hamkico 0% 100% 0% 121,17 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 7,57 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57 North Dakota 0% <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td></t<>	•				,					
Minnesota 0% 100% 0% 42,34 Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 50,50 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 50,50 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87* New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,245 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 12,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · ·</td>					· · ·					
Mississippi 3% 97% 0% 50,500 Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,361 Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,411 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,031 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,081 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,877 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Jersey 16% 64% 0% 72,45 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,144 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 7,57 North Dakota 0%					·					
Missouri 0% 100% 0% 72,36i Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41i Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03i New Adada 20% 80% 0% 12,08i New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87i New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16i New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14t North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 12,17t North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57t Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28t Ohio 0% 100% 0% 28t Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 39,39t Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97t Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37t Rhode Island					· · ·					
Montana 0% 96% 4% 9,41 Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,03 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87* New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45* New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16* New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14* North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17* North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 28* Ohio 0% 100% 0% 39,39* Ohio 0% 93% 0% 94 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td>					,					
Nebraska 0% 100% 0% 23,030 Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,873 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,455 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,161 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,144 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,177 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 75,77 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 75,77 North Pariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island					,					
Nevada 20% 80% 0% 12,08 New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,87 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,166 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,144 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 39,39 Pensylvania 0%					,					
New Hampshire 0% 100% 0% 9,875 New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,455 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,165 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,144 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,177 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,577 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,797 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 78,797 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 78,797 Northam 0% 100% 0% 78,797 Northam 0% 100% 0% 39,393 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,393 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,973 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,374 <					,					
New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 72,45 New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 446,97 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 446,97 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 32,47 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota <					,					
New Mexico 0% 100% 0% 37,16 New York 36% 64% 0% 203,14 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,					
New York 36% 64% 0% 203,144 North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,176 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,577 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,793 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 49,133 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,393 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,393 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,973 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,374 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0%	•				· · ·					
North Carolina 0% 100% 0% 112,17 North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,57 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virginia <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· · ·</td></td<>					· · ·					
North Dakota 0% 100% 0% 7,572 Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 283 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,793 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,133 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,393 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,975 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,374 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,374 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgini Islands					,					
Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 28 Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 53,39 West Virginia					,					
Ohio 0% 100% 0% 78,79 Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Uriginia Islands 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0%										
Oklahoma 0% 100% 0% 49,13 Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,39 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,97 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0%										
Oregon 7% 93% 0% 39,383 Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,973 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,374 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 27,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total <					·					
Pennsylvania 0% 99% 1% 146,975 Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,375 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,677 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,247 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,422 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,113 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,055 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8%										
Puerto Rico 44% 56% 0% 32,37 Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 0% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55					·					
Rhode Island 0% 100% 0% 8,67 South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55					·					
South Carolina 0% 100% 0% 37,24 South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
South Dakota 1% 99% 0% 11,42 Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,48 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
Tennessee 0% 100% 0% 82,483 Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,373 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,113 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,053 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,200 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,393 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,773 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,693 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,614 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,864 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,556										
Texas 0% 100% 0% 254,37 Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,11 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55		+		+ +						
Utah 0% 0% 100% 22,113 Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,056 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,200 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,693 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,610 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,860 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,550										
Vermont 0% 100% 0% 7,05 Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
Virgin Islands 0% 100% 0% 1,20 Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
Virginia 0% 100% 0% 53,39 Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
Washington 0% 100% 0% 89,77 West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
West Virginia 0% 100% 0% 15,69 Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,61 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
Wisconsin 0% 100% 0% 33,610 Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,860 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,550										
Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 8,86 National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,55										
National Total 8% 90% 2% 2,942,556										
		8%	90%							

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e., a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

Table 3
Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly	V Percentages	of Children Ser	wed by Types	of Care (FFY 2010)
Average Monun	v rercentaues	oi Cilliaren Sei	ved by Types C	JI Care (FFT ZUIU)

Average	nonthly Perce	illages of Cili	dreif Serveu	by Types of C	are (FFT 2010	,
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	6%	3%	90%	1%	100%
Alaska	10%	28%	7%	54%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	13%	86%	0%	100%
Arizona	3%	13%	7%	77%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	13%	0%	87%	0%	100%
California	1%	38%	10%	51%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	21%	0%	75%	3%	100%
Connecticut	16%	32%	0%	51%	0%	100%
Delaware	1%	27%	4%	68%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	95%	0%	100%
Florida	0%	9%	0%	91%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	9%	3%	88%	0%	100%
Guam	2%	4%	2%	92%	0%	100%
Hawaii	26%	48%	0%	25%	1%	100%
Idaho	2%	23%	15%	60%	0%	100%
Illinois	16%	44%	2%	38%	0%	100%
Indiana	0%	40%	0%	60%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	50%	6%	43%	0%	100%
Kansas	5%	21%	38%	35%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	10%	1%	88%	0%	100%
Louisiana	5%	11%	0%	84%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	30%	0%	69%	0%	100%
Maryland	9%	42%	0%	49%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	0%	28%	72%	0%	100%
Michigan	24%	40%	12%	23%	1%	100%
Minnesota	12%	42%	0%	46%	0%	100%
Mississippi	3%	20%	1%	76%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	40%	2%	58%	0%	100%
Montana	6%	20%	38%	35%	0%	100%
Nebraska	0%	29%	7%	64%	0%	100% 100%
Nevada	6% 4%	10% 14%	1% 0%	73% 81%	11% 0%	100%
New Hampshire New Jersey				81%		
New Mexico	1% 4%	14% 21%	0% 6%	69%	4% 0%	100% 100%
New York	15%	32%	18%	36%	0%	100%
North Carolina	0%	16%	0%	83%	1%	100%
North Dakota	0%	41%	33%	25%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	3%	5%	88%	4%	100%
Ohio	0%	23%	3%	73%	1%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	100%
Oregon	21%	51%	7%	22%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	1%	30%	4%	64%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	2%	30%	0%	68%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	31%	0%	68%	0%	100%
South Carolina	3%	15%	2%	80%	0%	100%
South Dakota	1%	46%	0%	53%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	15%	6%	79%	0%	100%
Texas	1%	3%	2%	93%	0%	100%
Utah	4%	37%	12%	46%	1%	100%
Vermont	4%	41%	0%	54%	1%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	2%	16%	77%	0%	100%
Virginia	5%	27%	1%	68%	0%	100%
Washington	14%	34%	0%	51%	0%	100%
West Virginia	0%	30%	5%	65%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	0%	26%	0%	68%	6%	100%
Wyoming	4%	21%	4%	13%	60%	100%
National Total	5%	24%	5%	66%	1%	100%

- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2010)

	Licensed/	Legally Operating	Invalid/	
State	Regulated	Without Regulation	Not Reported	Total
Alabama	68%	32%	1%	100%
Alaska	76%	23%	0%	100%
American Samoa	95%	5%	0%	100%
Arizona	91%	9%	0%	100%
Arkansas	100%	0%	0%	100%
California	72%	28%	0%	100%
Colorado	92%	5%	3%	100%
Connecticut	63%	37%	0%	100%
Delaware	91%	8%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	99%	1%	0%	100%
Florida	92%	8%	0%	100%
Georgia	98%	2%	0%	100%
Guam	91%	9%	0%	100%
Hawaii	31%	69%	1%	100%
Idaho	74%	26%	0%	100%
Illinois	56%	44%	0%	100%
Indiana	72%	28%	0%	100%
lowa	86%	14%	0%	100%
Kansas	83%	17%	0%	100%
Kentucky	94%	6%	0%	100%
Louisiana	82%	18%	0%	100%
Maine	94%	6%	0%	100%
Maryland	83%	17%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	42%	57%	1%	100%
Minnesota	74%	26%	0%	100%
Mississippi	77%	23%	0%	100%
Missouri	63%	37%	0%	100%
Montana	83%	17%	0%	100%
Nebraska	87%	13%	0%	100%
Nevada	65%	24%	11%	100%
New Hampshire	81%	18%	0%	100%
New Jersey	89%	7%	4%	100%
New Mexico	77%	23%	0%	100%
New York	59%	40%	0%	100%
North Carolina	98%	1%	1%	100%
North Dakota	65%	35%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	93%	2%	4%	100%
Ohio	99%	0%	1%	100%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	47%	53%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	75%	24%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	69%	31%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	99%	1%	0%	100%
South Carolina	84%	16%	0%	100%
South Dakota	85%	15%	0%	100%
Tennessee	91%	9%	0%	100%
Texas	98%	2%	0%	100%
Utah	65%	34%	1%	100%
Vermont	86%	12%	1%	100%
Virgin Islands	99%	1%	0%	100%
Virginia	85%	15%	0%	100%
Washington	80%	20%	0%	100%
West Virginia	97%	3%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	94%	0%	6%	100%
Wyoming	22%	18%	60%	100%
National Total	80%	19%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this table:

- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund

Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation,

Ave	erage Monthly Percent	t Served by Relatives vs	. Non-Relatives (FFY 20	10)
State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total %	Total Count
Alabama	98%	2%	100%	729
Alaska	60%	40%	100%	1,081
American Samoa	0%	100%	100%	3
Arizona	100%	0%	100%	2,654
Arkansas	6%	94%	100%	67
California	72%	28%	100%	28,272
Colorado	77%	23%	100%	763
Connecticut	80%	20%	100%	2,937
Delaware	99%	1%	100%	301
District of Columbia	100%	0%	100%	14
Florida	45%	55%	100%	297
Georgia	77%	23%	100%	1,703
Guam	60%	40%	100%	52
Hawaii	86%	14%	100%	7,472
Idaho	33%	67%	100%	1,822
Illinois	63%	37%	100%	28,778
Indiana	21%	79%	100%	1,051
Iowa	1%	99%	100%	2,154
Kansas	86%	14%	100%	3,421
Kentucky	38%	62%	100%	1,728
Louisiana	39%	61%	100%	6,745
Maine	48%	52%	100%	128
Maryland	86%	14%	100%	4,080
Massachusetts	NA	NA	NA	0
Michigan	69%	31%	100%	29,931
Minnesota	55%	45%	100%	5,719
Mississippi	52%	48%	100%	7,848
Missouri	34%	66%	100%	11,096
Montana	55%	45%	100%	838
Nebraska	1%	99%	100%	1,619
Nevada	62%	38%	100%	590
New Hampshire	37%	63%	100%	958
New Jersey	42%	58%	100%	2,526
New Mexico	68%	32%	100%	5,309
New York	49%	51%	100%	47,283
North Carolina	79%	21%	100%	802
North Dakota	39%	61%	100%	1,367
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	100%	4
Ohio	NA	NA	NA	0
Oklahoma	NA	NA	NA	0
Oregon	35%	65%	100%	11,832
Pennsylvania	58%	42%	100%	22,890
Puerto Rico	78%	22%	100%	3,780
Rhode Island	62%	38%	100%	68
South Carolina	14%	86%	100%	2,618
South Dakota	67%	33%	100%	876
Tennessee	28%	72%	100%	4,557
Texas	100%	0%	100%	2,387
Utah	97%	3%	100%	4,100
Vermont	56%	44%	100%	540
Virgin Islands	57%	43%	100%	7
Virginia	44%	56%	100%	2,682
Washington	71%	29%	100%	9,982
West Virginia	53%	47%	100%	47
Wisconsin	NA	NA	NA	0
Wyoming	58%	42%	100%	901
National Total	59%	41%	100%	279,406
Notes applicable to this table				Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

Notes applicable to this table:

- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. In this table, centers operating without regulation (data element 26 = 11) were considered Non-Relative.
- 4. In some states there were no children served in unregulated settings and thus the percent is "NA" since division by zero is undefined. States with no Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation include: Massachusetts, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Wisconsin.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 6. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 7. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

						Table 6							
				Chile	d Care ar		pment Fur	nd					
	A					ldren Ser			Care (FFY				
	Total %	License	d or Reg	ulated P	roviders	01 11 11			perating v				Invalid/
State	of	Child's	Family	Group		Child's	Home	Family	Home	Group	Home		Not
	Children	Home	Home	Home	Center	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Center	Reported
Alabama	100%	0%	3%	3%	61%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29%	19
Alaska	100%	0%	15%	7%	54%	3%	7%	11%	2%	0%	0%	0%	09
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	13%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	09
Arizona	100%	0%	6%	7%	77%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Arkansas	100%	0%	12%	0%	87%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
California	100%	0%	14%	10%	48%	1%	0%	17%	7%	0%	0%	4%	09
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	74%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	39
Connecticut	100%	0%	16%	0%	47%	12%	4%	14%	2%	0%	0%	5%	09
Delaware	100%	0%	23%	4%	65%	1%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	09
District of Columbia	100%	0%	3%	0%	95%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Florida	100%	0%	9%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	09
Georgia	100%	0%	7%	3%	88%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	09
Guam	100%	0%	0%	2%	89%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	09
Hawaii	100%	0%	7%	0%	23%	23%	3%	35%	6%	0%	0%	2%	19
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	15%	60%	2%	0%	7%	17%	0%	0%	0%	09
Illinois	100%	0%	20%	2%	34%	10%	7%	16%	8%	0%	0%	4%	09
Indiana	100%	0%	37%	0%	35%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	25%	09
lowa	100%	0%	37%	6%	43%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	09
Kansas	100%	0%	10%	38%	35%	3%	2%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Kentucky	100%	0%	5%	1%	88%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	09
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	82%	3%	1%	3%	8%	0%	0%	2%	09
Maine	100%	0%	25%	0%	68%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	34%	0%	49%	7%	2%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Massachusetts	100%	0%	0%	28%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Michigan	100%	0%	7%	12%	23%	6%	18%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
Minnesota	100%	0%	33%	0%	40%	6%	6%	5%	3%	0%	0%	6%	09
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	76%	2%	1%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	09
Missouri	100%	0%	11%	2%	50%	0%	0%	10%	19%	0%	0%	8%	09
Montana	100%	0%	9%	38%	35%	3%	3%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%	09
Nebraska	100%	0%	16%	7%	64%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	09
Nevada	100%	0%	5%	1%	60%	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	13%	119
New Hampshire	100%	0%	0%	0%	81%	2%	2%	5%	9%	0%	0%	0%	09
New Jersey	100%	0%	9%	0%	81%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	49
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	6%	69%	3%	1%	13%	6%	0%	0%	0%	09
New York	100%	0%	10%	18%	32%	9%	6%	9%	13%	0%	0%	4%	09
North Carolina	100%	0%	15%	0%	83%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
North Dakota	100%	0%	7%	33%	25%	0%	0%	14%	21%	0%	0%	0%	09
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	1%	5%	88%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	49
Ohio	100%	0%	23%	3%	73%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
Oklahoma	100%	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Oregon	100%	0%	20%	6%	21%	10%	11%	8%	22%	0%	1%	1%	09
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	7%	4%	64%	1%	0%	13%	10%	0%	0%	0%	19
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	1%	0%	68%	1%	1%	23%	6%	0%	0%	0%	19
Rhode Island	100%	0%	30%	0%	68%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
South Carolina	100%	0%	5%	2%	77%	0%	3%	1%	8%	0%	0%	3%	09
South Dakota	100%	0%	32%	0%	53%	0%	1%	10%	4%	0%	0%	0%	09
Tennessee	100%	0%	7%	6%	78%	1%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%	09
Texas	100%	0%	3%	2%	93%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Utah	100%	1%	18%	1%	45%	3%	0%	18%	1%	12%	0%	0%	19
Vermont	100%	0%	33%	0%	54%	2%	2%	5%	4%	0%	0%	0%	19
Virgin Islands	100%	5%	1%	16%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09
Virginia	100%	20/.	18%	1%	64%	10/.	20/	1%	5%	0%	0%	1%	00

Virginia

Washington

West Virginia

National Total

Wisconsin

Wyoming

3% 1% Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

4%

0%

3%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

6%

60%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

9%

0%

0%

3%

3%

6%

0%

0%

1%

6%

0%

0%

8%

5%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

64%

51%

62%

68%

13%

63%

0%

5%

0%

4%

- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

29%

30%

26%

- 5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- 6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

		Table Child Care and Dev			
		Cilila Care and Dev	elopinent rund		
	Number of Chil	d Care Providers Red	ceiving CCDF Funds	(FFY 2010)	
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	22	891	227	1,662	2,802
Alaska	206	644	54	194	1,098
American Samoa	1	0	13	32	46
Arizona	477	2,283	350	1,350	4,460
Arkansas	0	471	0	1,000	1471
California	2,214	60,878	6,273	5,225	74,590
Colorado	259	1,926	0	1,393	3,578
Connecticut	3,383	4,268	22	1,286	8,959
Delaware	99	1,079	59	397	1,634
District of Columbia	41	88	0	155	284
Florida	19	3,709	0	7,336	11,064
Georgia	387	3,279	199	3,231	7,096
Guam	51	19	0	52	122
Hawaii	3,141	5,218	7	426	8,792
Idaho	49	416	240	391	1096
Illinois	25,084	48,050	394	3,308	76,836
Indiana	21	3,048	0	1,201	4,270
lowa Kansas	163	5,499	329	818	6,809
	737	2,721	2,295	722	6,475
Kentucky Louisiana	462	1,899	100	1,928	4,389 5,159
Maine	1,032	1,894 655	0	2,233 390	1,079
Maryland	2,110	5,872	0	1,766	9,748
Massachusetts	3,659	3,604	7,379	3,935	18,577
Michigan	12,966	18,361	1,969	2,130	35,426
Minnesota	3,304	11,446	0	2,618	17,368
Mississippi	1,123	5,621	11	1,355	8,110
Missouri	172	5,883	159	2,354	8,568
Montana	379	1,117	487	244	2,227
Nebraska	0	2,684	270	693	3,647
Nevada	370	584	13	529	1,496
New Hampshire	209	941	0	628	1,778
New Jersey	659	4,349	0	2,624	7,632
New Mexico	2	3,275	130	581	3,988
New York	17,723	34,958	5,781	4,436	62,898
North Carolina	59	3,204	0	4,238	7,501
North Dakota	0	1,442	587	133	2,162
Northern Mariana Islands	17	0	0	25	42
Ohio	13	7,742	301	4,550	12,606
Oklahoma	32	1,551	0	1,253	2,836
Oregon	6,453	13,994	703	1,284	22,434
Pennsylvania	594	25,009	786	4,154	30,543
Puerto Rico	104	8,947	0	1,951	11,002
Rhode Island	6	692	3	342	1,043
South Carolina	428	2,060	145	1,287	3,920
South Dakota	75	1,751	65	269	2,160
Tennessee	232	4,204	481	1,921	6,838
Texas	2,262	4,463	878	6,964	14,567
Utah	1,468	5,461	183	476	7,588
Vermont Virgin Islands	283	1,637	0	523	2,443
	5	17	14	102	138
Virginia	0	7 227	0	0	40.500
Washington West Virginia	10,352	7,327	0	1,854 417	19,533 2,519
Wisconsin	8 72	1,994 4,670	100	2,363	2,519 7,105
Wyoming	186	1,019	161	2,363	1,560
National Total	103,207	344,814	31,168		572,112
Tational Total	103,207	J77,014	31,100	32,323	012,112

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010, an unduplicated annual count.

^{2.} This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a is reported as a count of providers receiving CCDF funding.

^{3.} Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves only one child per day is counted the same as, for example, a provider serving 200 children per day.

^{4.} At the time of publication, all states and territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2010.

Table 8 Child Care and Development Fund

Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2010)

Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2010) Content Method											
State	Grants/ Contracts/ Certificates Info	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulato ry Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Print Materials	Counseling from Resource and Referral Agencies	Mass Media	Electronic Media	Estimated Number of Families Receiving Consumer Education
Alabama	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	41,225
Alaska	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	10,443
American Samoa	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	634
Arizona	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	132,432
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	17,454
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1,860,890
Colorado	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	126,424
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N N	Y	190,000
Delaware	Y	Y	Y Y	Y	Y	Y	Y Y	Y	N Y	Y	18,983
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	21,300 325,312
Florida Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N I	Y	40,961
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,278
Hawaii	, N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N N	N	N N	11,215
Idaho	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	7,540
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	251,190
Indiana	Ϋ́	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	27,759
lowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	79,980
Kansas	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	118,477
Kentucky	N	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N	N	26,698
Louisiana	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Υ	Y	64,456
Maine	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	3,886
Maryland	Y	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Y	N	Υ	251,548
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	88,931
Michigan	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	388,018
Minnesota	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	N	Υ	814,153
Mississippi	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	26,901
Missouri	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	57,530
Montana	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	725,019
Nebraska	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	41,798
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	9,301
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	6,518
New Jersey	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	146,564
New Mexico	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	22,053
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y Y	Y	Y Y	Y	1,416,702
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N T	Y	259,257 12,139
North Dakota Northern Mariana Islands	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N N	Y	N N	12,139
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	129,573
Oklahoma	Ϋ́	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	287,648
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	98,762
Pennsylvania	Ý	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ý	Y	N	Y	172,745
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	23,616
Rhode Island	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	N	Y	7,843
South Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Υ	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	22,369
South Dakota	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N	Υ	212,787
Tennessee	Y	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Υ	43691
Texas	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	137,453
Utah	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	N	Υ	11,027
Vermont	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	N	Υ	8,968
Virgin Islands	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	738
Virginia	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Y	Υ	Y	N	N	38,352
Washington	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	19,990
West Virginia	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	8,786
Wisconsin	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	52,450
Wyoming	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	19,244
Total Yes	43 e:	55	55	53	51	51	56	52	33	50	8,948,177 Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

Notes applicable to this table:

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010, an unduplicated annual count.

^{2.} This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.

^{3.} NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.

^{4.} A blank cell indicates that the state did not provide a response.

^{5.} At the time of publication, all states and territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2010.

Table 9 **Child Care and Development Fund** Average Monthly Percentages of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2010) 0 to 1 yr to 2 yrs to 3 yrs to 4 yrs to 5 yrs to 6 yrs to Invalid/Not State 13+ yrs Reported Total < 1 yr< 2 yrs < 3 yrs < 4 yrs < 5 yrs < 6 yrs < 13 yrs 12% 14% 100% Alabama 7% 14% 12% 9% 32% 0% 13% 14% 10% 30% 100% 6% 12% 14% 0% 0% Alaska American Samoa 11% 19% 19% 17% 17% 14% 4% 0% 0% 100% Arizona 5% 10% 13% 14% 13% 11% 36% 0% 0% 100% 10% 18% 9% 0% Arkansas 16% 16% 13% 18% 0% 100% 3% 6% 9% 16% 19% 12% 35% 0% 0% 100% California 6% 12% 15% 15% 13% 10% 28% 0% 0% 100% Colorado 5% 11% 14% 16% 14% Q% 30% 0% 0% 100% Connecticut Delaware 6% 12% 13% 13% 12% 10% 33% 0% 0% 100% District of Columbia 7% 18% 24% 20% 10% 5% 17% 0% 0% 100% 11% 15% 16% 15% 11% 100% Florida 5% 27% 0% 0% 7% 13% 15% 15% 11% 9% 30% 0% 0% 100% Georgia 9% 15% 19% 18% 14% 9% 16% 0% 0% 100% Guam 6% 13% 14% 15% 16% 8% 28% 0% 0% 100% Hawaii Idaho 6% 11% 13% 14% 13% 12% 32% 0% 0% 100% Illinois 6% 10% 12% 12% 11% 9% 40% 0% 0% 100% 4% 10% 14% 15% 13% 11% 31% 0% 0% 100% Indiana Iowa 7% 119 13% 14% 13% 10% 32% 0% 0% 100% 6% 11% 13% 13% 13% 10% 34% 0% 0% 100% Kansas 7% 12% 14% 14% 12% 9% 31% 0% 0% 100% Kentucky Louisiana 8% 16% 19% 18% 11% 21% 0% 0% 100% 9% 12% 16% 18% 11% 0% 0% 100% 5% 30% Maine 5% 12% 15% 15% 12% 9% 32% 0% 0% 100% Maryland 5% 11% 13% 16% 15% 10% 29% 0% 0% 100% Massachusetts 5% 10% 11% 12% 11% 9% 42% 1% 0% 100% Michigan Minnesota 6% 11% 13% 14% 13% 10% 33% 0% 0% 100% Mississippi 5% 12% 14% 14% 12% 9% 34% 0% 0% 100% Missouri 6% 12% 15% 15% 14% 9% 26% 0% 3% 100% 13% 15% 15% 14% 10% 0% Montana 7% 26% 0% 100% 9% 13% 14% 13% 12% 9% 30% 0% 0% 100% Nebraska 6% 10% 13% 14% 14% 10% 33% 0% 0% 100% Nevada New Hampshire 4% 11% 15% 17% 17% 12% 24% 0% 0% 100% 4% 11% 0% New Jersey 16% 15% 12% 9% 33% 1% 100% 6% 12% 14% 14% 13% 10% 0% 0% 100% New Mexico 30% 5% 10% 12% 13% 12% 8% 38% 0% 0% 100% New York 4% 9% 12% 12% 12% 11% 40% 0% 0% 100% North Carolina North Dakota 9% 14% 16% 15% 12% 9% 24% 0% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 2% 11% 13% 13% 14% 11% 36% 0% 0% 100% 6% 11% 14% 14% 13% 10% 31% 0% ი% 100% Ohio 13% 15% 15% 12% 9% 0% Oklahoma 8% 27% 0% 100% 11% 12% 12% 10% 0% 0% 100%

National Notes applicable to this report:

Oregon Pennsylvania

Puerto Rico

Rhode Island

South Carolina

South Dakota

Tennessee

Texas

Utah

Vermont

Virginia Washington

Virgin Islands

West Virginia

Wisconsin

Wyoming

100% Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

11%

12%

17%

13%

13%

13%

12%

12%

12%

14%

19%

14%

12%

12%

12%

14%

13%

10%

10%

8%

11%

9%

9%

11%

11%

6%

10%

10%

10%

10%

10%

10%

8%

37%

37%

21%

39%

21%

26%

30%

30%

35%

31%

20%

27%

34%

34%

33%

27%

33%

1%

2%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

1%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 6. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.

6%

4%

7%

4%

8%

9%

7%

7%

5%

5%

5%

5%

5%

6%

7%

7%

10%

12%

9%

16%

13%

13%

12%

10%

10%

14%

12%

11%

11%

11%

12%

11%

12%

16%

12%

18%

14%

15%

14%

12%

13%

18%

16%

13%

13%

13%

15%

13%

13%

19%

13%

16%

14%

14%

14%

13%

15%

18%

16%

13%

14%

13%

14%

14%

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

			Table 10				
		C	Child Care and Develor	oment Fund			
	Reason		Care, Average Monthly		milies (FFY 2010)		
State	Employment	Training/	Both Employment &	Protective	Other	Invalid/Not	Total
Alalana		Education	Training/Education	Services	40/	Reported	4000/
Alabama	70% 73%	14% 6%	4% 8%	10% 10%	1% 3%	0% 0%	100% 100%
Alaska American Samoa	83%	8%	9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	56%	0%	11%	31%	1%	0%	100%
Arizona Arkansas	68%	17%	9%	5%	0%	0%	100%
California	80%	9%	6%	2%	3%	0%	100%
Colorado	78%	13%	6%	0%	2%	1%	100%
Connecticut	96%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	79%	9%	4%	3%	4%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	52%	36%	2%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Florida	60%	6%	8%	24%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	80%	11%	3%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	80%	9%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	79%	7%	12%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Idaho	72%	12%	15%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	85%	5%	2%	0%	8%	0%	100%
Indiana	74%	11%	10%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Iowa	82%	9%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%
Kansas	91%	5%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	83%	4%	8%	4%	0%	1%	100%
Louisiana	79%	7%	10%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	76%	7%	9%	5%	3%	0%	100%
Maryland	63%	22%	7%	0%	6%	3%	100%
Massachusetts	64%	13%	0%	17%	7%	0%	100%
Michigan	72%	15%	11%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Minnesota	75%	6%	16%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Mississippi	71%	22%	4%	2%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	44%	9%	41%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Montana	62%	15%	14%	9%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	72%	8%	4%	15%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	82%	6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	83%	9%	0%	7%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	78%	4%	4%	5%	9%	0%	100%
New Mexico	73%	16%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
New York	69%	16%	4%	1%	11%	0%	100%
North Carolina	89%	11%	0% 7%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	78% 96%	12%	2%	0% 0%	3% 0%	0% 0%	100% 100%
Northern Mariana Islands Ohio	78%	2% 11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	79%	18%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	77%	2%	20%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	73%	11%	14%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	77%	15%	3%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	66%	25%	2%	8%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	66%	11%	10%	13%		0%	100%
Tennessee	36%	30%	33%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Texas	78%	16%	4%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Utah	82%	12%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Vermont	61%	15%	2%	13%	8%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	68%	26%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	78%	11%	7%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Washington	68%	22%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	77%	13%	9%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Wisconsin	91%	1%	5%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Wyoming	92%	8%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%
National	73%	12%	8%	4%	3%	0%	100%
Notes applicable to this reports							a as of: 18-DEC-2012

National Notes applicable to this report:

- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 6. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.
- 7. Several states only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in Both Employment and Training/Education categories. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training/Education are lowa, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, and Wyoming.
- 8. OCC has observed some issues with income reporting across most states to varying degrees. OCC is working with states to address and resolve internal inconsistencies between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (sources of income).

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month was directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

Table 11 **Child Care and Development Fund**

Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2010)

State	Native American / Alaska Native	Asian	Black/ African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	White	Multi- Racial	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	0%	78%	0%	21%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	9%	5%	11%	5%	45%	20%	5%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	5%	0%	15%	1%	75%	4%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	0%	55%	0%	41%	1%	3%	100%
California	2%	5%	20%	1%	70%	2%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	0%	11%	0%	34%	4%	50%	100%
Connecticut	1%	1%	33%	0%	33%	7%	25%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	65%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	1%	0%	85%	0%	12%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	49%	0%	47%	3%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	79%	0%	17%	1%	2%	100%
Guam	0%	15%	0%	69%	0%	15%	0%	100%
Hawaii	0%	24%	1%	34%	11%	30%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	0%	100%
Illinois	0%	1%	57%	0%	20%	3%	19%	100%
Indiana	0%	0%	48%	0%	43%	9%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	1%	16%	0%	79%	4%	0%	100%
Kansas	1%	1%	27%	0%	62%	4%	5%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	57%	0%	12%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	75%	0%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	1%	3%	0%	91%	4%	1%	100%
Maryland	1%	1%	76%	0%	20%	3%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	2%	16%	0%	23%	2%	58%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	55%	0%	42%	2%	1%	100%
Minnesota	3%	3%	32%	0%	54%	8%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	89%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	56%	0%	35%	1%	8%	100%
Montana	13%	0%	2%	0%	79%	4%	1%	100%
Nebraska	3% 3%	0% 2%	26%	0%	54%	1%	15%	100%
Nevada	0%	2% 1%	30% 5%	1%	61% 93%	2%	1% 1%	100% 100%
New Hampshire New Jersey	0%	1%		0%	32%	1%	3%	
New Mexico			53%	10% 0%		1%		100%
New York	6% 1%	0% 1%	4% 52%	3%	85% 40%	3% 4%	0%	100% 100%
North Carolina	3%	1%	62%	1%	34%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	19%	0%	6%	0%	71%	3%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	8%	0%	36%	0%	0%	56%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	52%	0%	45%	3%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	7%	1%	30%	0%	60%	3%	0%	100%
Oregon	2%	1%	8%	1%	87%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	45%	0%	36%	3%	15%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	7%	0%	13%	1%	79%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	18%	0%	9%	1%	71%	100%
South Dakota	19%	0%	4%	0%	68%	8%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	71%	0%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	0%	0%	31%	0%	50%	1%	17%	100%
Utah	2%	2%	7%	1%	87%	1%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	1%	4%	0%	91%	4%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	4%	0%	95%	0%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	4%	0%	66%	0%	28%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	2%	3%	12%	19%	46%	0%	18%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	11%	0%	74%	12%	2%	100%
Wisconsin	1%	2%	36%	0%	35%	3%	22%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	80%	0%	13%	100%
National	1%	1%	43%	2%	43%	3%	7%	100%
Notes applicable to this report:								as of: 18-DEC-2012

Notes applicable to this report:

- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 6. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several states do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
- 8. It appears that several states and territories are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances, if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

Table 12 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2010)

	Average Monthly Fert	entages of Children by Lati	no Ethinoity (FF F 2010)	
State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	11%	87%	2%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	47%	53%	0%	100%
Arkansas	4%	96%	0%	100%
California	58%	42%	0%	100%
Colorado	31%	69%	0%	100%
Connecticut	37%	63%	0%	100%
Delaware	11%	89%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	14%	86%	0%	100%
Florida	25%	75%	0%	100%
Georgia	3%	97%	0%	100%
Guam	1%	99%	0%	100%
Hawaii	8%	92%	0%	100%
Idaho	14%	86%	0%	100%
Illinois	19%	79%	3%	100%
Indiana	10%	90%	0%	100%
Iowa	10%	90%	0%	100%
Kansas	14%	86%	0%	100%
Kentucky	5%	95%	0%	100%
Louisiana	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	97%	0%	100%
Maryland	4%	96%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	31%	69%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	7%	93%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	99%	0%	100%
Missouri	3%	90%	7%	100%
Montana	5%	94%	0%	100%
Nebraska	10%	87%	3%	100%
Nevada	34%	65%	1%	100%
New Hampshire	7%	93%	0%	100%
New Jersey	33%	67%	0%	100%
New Mexico	75%	25%	0%	100%
New York	31%	69%	0%	100%
North Carolina	6%	94%	0%	100%
North Dakota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	4%	96%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	11%	89%	0%	100%
Oregon	6%	94%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	13%	83%	4%	100%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	19%	81%	0%	100%
South Carolina	1%	0%	99%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	46%	54%	0%	100%
Utah	17%	83%		100%
Vermont	2%	98%		100%
Virgin Islands	9%	91%		100%
Virginia	8%	92%		100%
Washington	9%	82%	8%	100%
West Virginia	2%	98%		100%
Wisconsin	10%	90%	0%	100%
Wyoming	12%	88%		100%
National	20%	78%		
Notes applicable to this report:				Data as of: 18-DEC-2012

Notes applicable to this report:

- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 6. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

Table 13 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Percentages of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Care Type (FFY 2010)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	4%	27%	6%	62%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	4%	23%	6%	67%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	3%	19%	5%	73%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	8%	29%	5%	59%	100%
13 years and older	17%	48%	5%	31%	100%
All Ages	5%	24%	5%	66%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 2. Nationally, 0.8% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
- 3. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 4. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 5. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 6. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 7. The National values were determined by multiplying each state's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each state, summing across the states and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
- 8. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

Table 14 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2010)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	145	151	140	155	152
1 to < 2 yrs	149	156	149	162	160
2 to < 3 yrs	152	160	153	164	162
3 to < 4 yrs	154	160	152	163	162
4 to < 5 yrs	149	156	148	159	158
5 to < 6 yrs	142	140	130	137	137
6 to < 13 yrs	126	123	104	106	112
13+ yrs	127	107	97	95	106
National	137	142	134	143	142

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 2. Nationally, 0.8% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
- 3. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 4. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 5. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 6. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 7. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each state-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The state's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The National results shown above represent a weighted average of the state's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each state is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each state for the fiscal year.
- 8. Some states have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of service hours provided.

Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Payment to Provider (Including Family CoPay) by Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2010)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$328	\$402	\$544	\$509	\$474
1 to < 2 yrs	\$335	\$423	\$583	\$513	\$488
2 to < 3 yrs	\$331	\$409	\$561	\$489	\$471
3 to < 4 yrs	\$323	\$395	\$528	\$467	\$453
4 to < 5 yrs	\$313	\$379	\$506	\$467	\$448
5 to < 6 yrs	\$298	\$348	\$455	\$395	\$384
6 to < 13 yrs	\$270	\$302	\$395	\$307	\$307
13+ yrs	\$274	\$270	\$386	\$303	\$286
National	\$294	\$358	\$493	\$423	\$405

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 2. Nationally, 0.8% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
- 3. Payment is defined as the total amount received by the provider. It is the sum of the state subsidy and the family copay.
- 4. Average payment per month is based on sums of payments per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 5. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 6. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 7. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each state-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The state's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the state's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each state is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each state for the fiscal year.
- 9. Some states have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized and/or dollars authorized rather than the actual number provided.

Table 16 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Percent of Families Reporting Income from TANF (FFY 2010)

Alabama	State	TANF (% Yes)	TANF (% No)	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
American Samona ON 100% ON 100% ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Oxor					100%
American Samona ON 100% ON 100% ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Alxorasas 24% 77% ON ON 100% Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Oxorado Deleware 21% Oxorado Oxor	Alaska	14%	86%	0%	100%
Alizona Alizona Alizona Alixonasa 23% 77% 0% 0% 100% Alixonia 11% 89% 0% 0% 100% Confection 8% 92% 0% 0% 100% Connection 11% 99% 0% 0% 100% Connection 11% 99% 0% 0% 100% Connection 11% 99% 0% 0% 100% District of Columbia 18% 82% 0% 0% 100% Borriad 8% 90% 2% 0% 100% Georgia 4% 99% 0% 0% 100% Georgia 4% 99% 0% 0% 100% Hawaii 11% 82% 0% 0% 100% Hawaii 11% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% Hawaii 11% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	American Samoa		100%		100%
Arkansas	Arizona	23%	77%	0%	100%
California					
Colorado 8% 92% 9% 9% 100% Poleware 121% 79% 9% 9% 100% Poleware 121% 79% 9% 9% 100% Poleware 121% 79% 9% 9% 100% Polemare 88% 92% 90% 2% 100% Polemare 98% 90% 2% 100% Polemare 98% 90% 2% 100% Polemare 98% 90% 2% 100% Guam 11% 99% 9% 9% 9% 10% 100% Guam 11% 99% 99% 9% 9% 10% 100% Guam 11% 99% 99% 9% 9% 10% 100% Guam 11% 99% 99% 99% 90% 100% Usaho 12% 98% 99% 99% 90% 100% Usaho 15% 98% 99% 99% 90% 100% Usaho 15% 98% 99% 99% 90% 100% Usaho 15% 98% 99% 99% 90% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 90% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 90% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 90% 100% Ransas 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99					
Connecticut 10% 90% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100					
Delaware					100%
District of Columbia 18% 82% 0% 100% 2% 100% 100% 2% 100%					
Florida					
Georgia					
Guarn Hawaii 18% 82% 0% 0% 100% Habro 18h 82% 0% 0% 100% Habro 18h 82% 0% 0% 100% Habro 18h 98% 0% 100% Habro					
Hawaii					
Idaho					
Illinois					
Indiana					
10wa					
Kansas 9% 91% 91% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%					
Kentucky 15% 99% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% Maine 8% 88% 88% 4% 100% Maine 6% 94% 0% 100% 100% Maryland 14% 86% 0% 0% 100% Maryland 14% 86% 0% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 83% 0% 100% 100% Mississippi 18% 0% 100% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 0% 100%					
Louisiana 8% 88% 48% 100% 100% Maine 6% 94% 0% 100% 100% Maryland 14% 86% 94% 0% 100% Maryland 14% 86% 0% 100% Massachusetts 28% 72% 0% 100% Minesota 22% 75% 75% 0% 100% Minesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Minesota 15% 88% 0% 100% Minesota 17% 88% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Mississippi 17% 83% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 83% 0% 100% Mississippi 19% 83% 0% 100% Mortana 17% 83% 0% 100% Mortana 17% 83% 0% 100% 100% Mortana 17% 83% 0% 100% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 73% 0% 100% New Mississippi 16% 84% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 73% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 0% 100% 0%					
Maine 6% 94% 0% 100% Maryland 14% 86% 0% 100% Massachusetts 28% 72% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Missouri 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nevada 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 55% 9% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 8					
Maryland 14% 86% 0% 100% Massachusetts 28% 72% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Missouri 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% New Lada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Warko 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81%					
Massachusetts 28% 72% 0% 100% Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Missouri 11% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nevada 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevadada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Jarsey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0%<					
Michigan 24% 76% 0% 100% Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Missouri 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11%					
Minnesota 25% 75% 0% 100% Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Missouri 117% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Dakota 17% 83% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Mississippi 18% 82% 0% 100% Missouri 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nevada 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Origon 30% 70% 0% 100% Origon 30% 70% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Missouri 17% 83% 0% 100% Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Jose 19% 81% 0% 100% New Jork 46% 54% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Orio 17% 83% 0% 100% Orio 17% 83% 0% 100% Origon 30% 70% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0%<					
Montana 17% 83% 0% 100% Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% New ada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Pensylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84%					
Nebraska 27% 73% 0% 100% Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Okalaoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pensylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Pensylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0					
Nevada 35% 65% 0% 100% New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Dakota 11% 89% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pensylvania 16% 84%<					
New Hampshire 32% 61% 7% 100% New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% South Carolina 15% 85% 0% 100% South Dakota 8%					
New Jersey 16% 84% 0% 100% New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% South Colina 15% 85% 0% 100% Roda Island 15%					
New Mexico 19% 81% 0% 100% New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Pakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Pakota 19% 81% 0% 100% North Pakota 10% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0%<					
New York 46% 54% 0% 100% North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91%					
North Carolina 5% 95% 0% 100% North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Vergin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% West Virginia 32% <					
North Dakota 19% 81% 0% 100% Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 111% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virginisa 32% 68% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91%					
Northern Mariana Islands 0% 100% 0% 100% Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Okiahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91%					
Ohio 17% 83% 0% 100% Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Oklahoma 11% 89% 0% 100% Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgini Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% National 18% 82%					
Oregon 30% 70% 0% 100% Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Pennsylvania 16% 84% 0% 100% Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 18% 82% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Puerto Rico 0% 100% 0% 100% Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Vermont 18% 82% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Rhode Island 15% 85% 0% 100% South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
South Carolina 79% 0% 21% 100% South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
South Dakota 8% 92% 0% 100% Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Tennessee 63% 37% 0% 100% Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Texas 1% 99% 0% 100% Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Utah 18% 82% 0% 100% Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Vermont 9% 91% 0% 100% Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Virgin Islands 4% 96% 0% 100% Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Virginia 32% 68% 0% 100% Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Washington 21% 79% 0% 100% West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%	ŭ				
West Virginia 9% 91% 0% 100% Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%	ŭ				100%
Wisconsin 9% 91% 0% 100% Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%					
Wyoming 0% 100% 0% 100% National 18% 82% 1% 100%	<u> </u>				
National 18% 82% 1% 100%					100%
					100%
		18%	82%	1%	

Notes applicable to this report:

^{1.} The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

^{2.} All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

^{3.} All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

^{4.} A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

^{5.} At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

Table 17 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2010)							
		Percent of Fa				Percent of Income	
State/Territories	Families with \$0 Income; Headed by a Child; In Protective Services; Invalid CoPay or Income	Families with \$0 CoPay (and not in Category A)	Families with CoPay > \$0 (and not in Category A)	Total of All Families	Including Families with \$0 CoPay	Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay	
Alabama	(Category A)	14%	69%	100%	6%	7%	
Alaska	21%	7%	72%	100%	4%	5%	
American Samoa	26%	74%	0%	100%	0%	19%	
Arizona	34%	13%	53%	100%	4%	5%	
Arkansas	27%	59%	14%	100%	2%	9%	
California	4% 25%	63% 9%	33% 66%	100% 100%	1%	4% 10%	
Colorado Connecticut	25% 4%	5%	92%	100%	8% 5%	5%	
Delaware	10%	37%	53%	100%	5%	8%	
District of Columbia	44%	11%	46%	100%	3%	4%	
Florida	28%	0%	72%	100%	6%	6%	
Georgia	10%	16%	74%	100%	7%	9%	
Guam	3%	53%	43%	100%	4%	9%	
Hawaii	4%	24%	72%	100%	8%	9%	
Idaho Illinois	12% 4%	0% 1%	88% 94%	100% 100%	11% 5%	11% 5%	
Indiana	2%	76%	23%	100%	2%	7%	
lowa	14%	58%	29%	100%	1%	4%	
Kansas	21%	37%	43%	100%	2%	4%	
Kentucky	7%	19%	73%	100%	6%	7%	
Louisiana	7%	5%	88%	100%	9%	10%	
Maine	9%	4%	88%	100%	7%	8%	
Maryland	16%	19%	66%	100%	8%	10%	
Massachusetts	24%	32%	44% 57%	100%	5%	9%	
Michigan Minnesota	26% 2%	18% 35%	64%	100% 100%	2% 2%	3% 3%	
Mississippi	22%	1%	77%	100%	5%	5%	
Missouri	23%	18%	58%	100%	5%	7%	
Montana	13%	0%	87%	100%	3%	3%	
Nebraska	40%	48%	12%	100%	2%	8%	
Nevada	16%	25%	60%	100%	3%	4%	
New Hampshire	10%	1%	89%	100%	7%	7%	
New Jersey New Mexico	11%	40% 14%	49% 82%	100% 100%	3% 4%	5% 5%	
New York	3%	42%	55%	100%	3%	5%	
North Carolina	16%	6%	78%	100%	8%	8%	
North Dakota	28%	0%	72%	100%	21%	21%	
Northern Mariana Islands	10%	55%	35%	100%	2%	6%	
Ohio	9%	3%	88%	100%	5%	6%	
Oklahoma	28%	19%	53%	100%	6%	8%	
Oregon Pennsylvania	24%	5% 0%	71% 40%	100% 100%	8% 5%	8% 5%	
Puerto Rico	39%	45%	16%	100%	1%	3%	
Rhode Island	11%	33%	56%	100%	3%	4%	
South Carolina	16%	27%	57%	100%	5%	7%	
South Dakota	21%	41%	38%	100%	3%	7%	
Tennessee	1%	95%	4%	100%	0%	1%	
Texas	22%	3%	75%	100%	9%	9%	
Utah	9%	32%	59%	100%	2%	2%	
Vermont Virgin Islands	33% 17%	28% 51%	40% 32%	100% 100%	3% 0%	6% 0%	
Virginia	7%	30%	63%	100%	7%	10%	
Washington	5%	0%	95%	100%	4%	4%	
West Virginia	7%	14%	80%	100%	2%	2%	
Wisconsin	18%	3%	79%	100%	6%	6%	
Wyoming	15%	4%	81%	100%	6%	6%	
Notes applicable to this report:	17%	23%	61%	100%	5%	6% Data as of: 18-DEC-2012	

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2010.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the state multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For states reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for states that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, all states and territories had submitted all 12 months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- ${\it 6. The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.}\\$
- 7. The column labeled as "Category A" includes: families with zero income; families in Protective Services or families headed by a child; and families with invalid income or copay.
- 8. The "Families with \$0 Copay ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100%.
- 9. The results shown under "Mean Copay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data, i.e. the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)." Alternatively, the data used for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in the category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- 10. The national weighted values were determined by multiplying each state's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each state, summing across the states, and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the nation.