

*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2015: Part I. Fiscal Data*  
*Supplemental Tables: Uses of Funds*

**Table I-6. National estimates of states' uses of federal LIHEAP funds, FY 2015<sup>1</sup>**

Uses of LIHEAP funds	Number of states	Estimated obligations	Percent of funds
Total <sup>2</sup>	51	\$3,484,494,793	100.0%
Heating assistance	51	1,728,508,021	49.6
Cooling assistance <sup>3</sup>	18	202,070,276	5.8
Energy Crisis assistance <sup>4</sup>	49	680,341,231	19.5
Weatherization assistance <sup>5</sup>	45	336,363,710	9.7
Nominal payments <sup>6</sup>	11	27,534,903	0.8
Carryover to FY 2016 <sup>7</sup>	46	165,625,759	4.8
Development of leveraging resources	4	165,000	0.0 <sup>8</sup>
Assurance 16 activities <sup>9</sup>	27	43,912,344	1.3
Administrative and planning costs	51	297,528,722	8.5
Other <sup>10</sup>	3	2,444,827	0.1

<sup>1</sup> These data are compiled from the *LIHEAP Performance Data Form – Grantee Survey Section for FFY 2015*. These data are current as of August 23, 2016. Sources of these funds are shown in Table I-2.

<sup>2</sup> The total uses of funds (\$3,484,494,793) differs from the total sources of funds (\$3,484,494,781) by \$2 due to rounding by two states.

<sup>3</sup> The total number of states that obligated funds to cooling assistance (18) differs from the total number of states that served households with cooling assistance (19, see Table III-1) because one state (North Dakota) assisted households with non-crisis cooling equipment repair and replacement services using funding obligated to emergency cooling equipment repair and replacement, but reported these households under cooling assistance.

<sup>4</sup> The number of states and estimated obligations excludes two states (Alaska, Massachusetts) that provided expedited heating assistance benefits to households in winter fuel crisis situations because the funding was obligated under heating assistance. Another state (Maryland) provided expedited heating assistance benefits to households in fuel crisis situations and obligated these funds to heating assistance, but the state also obligated funds to other crisis programs.

<sup>5</sup> Forty-five states obligated funds for weatherization assistance. This total includes states that obligated funds during FY 2015 but did not expend the funds to weatherize homes until FY 2016. Therefore, this total is not comparable to the total number of states that provided weatherization assistance, listed in Table III-1.

<sup>6</sup> In FY 2015, OCS specifically instructed grantees to separate SNAP nominal payment obligations and recipient households from their heating assistance data.

<sup>7</sup> Carryover to FY 2016 doesn't include \$271,910 of unobligated FY 2015 LIHEAP funds in excess of carryover limitations which one state (Tennessee) returned to the federal government.

<sup>8</sup> Less than 0.1 percent.

<sup>9</sup> Funds obligated for Assurance 16 activities consisted of LIHEAP funds used to provide services that encourage and enable households to reduce their home energy needs and thereby the need for energy assistance, including needs assessments, counseling, and assistance with energy vendors.

<sup>10</sup> 'Other' refers to LIHEAP Management Information System (MIS) funds obligated by Kansas, Minnesota, and Montana.

*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2015: Part I. Fiscal Data*  
*Supplemental Tables: Uses of Funds*

**Supplemental Table I-7. Estimates of states' uses of federal LIHEAP funds, by state, FY 2015<sup>1</sup>**

(Table I-7 with detailed footnotes)

State	Heating assistance benefits	Cooling assistance benefits	Energy crisis assistance benefits	Weatherization assistance benefits <sup>2</sup>	Nominal payments <sup>3</sup>	Carryover to FY 2016 <sup>4</sup>	Development of leveraging resources <sup>5</sup>	Assurance 16 activities <sup>6</sup>	Administrative and planning costs	Other <sup>7</sup>	Total <sup>8</sup>
Total	\$1,728,508,021	\$202,070,276	\$680,341,231	\$336,363,710	\$27,534,903	\$165,625,759	\$165,000	\$43,912,344	\$297,528,722	\$2,444,827	\$3,484,494,793
Alabama	18,167,813	12,715,871	8,018,801	940,957	0	2,833,864	0	413,837	4,415,898	0	47,507,041
Alaska <sup>9</sup>	9,434,122	0	0	300,000	0	183,438	0	0	1,015,676	0	10,933,236
Arizona	4,121,373	7,653,978	2,943,838	2,780,959	0	788,955	25,000	1,029,985	1,830,242	0	21,174,330
Arkansas	8,564,378	3,670,448	8,727,033	4,016,588	0	28,237	0	1,114,275	2,349,340	0	28,470,299
California <sup>10 11 12 13</sup>	48,446,261	0	54,536,888	43,077,061	0	2,393,433	0	8,615,412	17,320,133	0	174,389,188
Colorado <sup>11 14</sup>	36,181,043	0	2,280,490	6,443,441	0	2,433,671	0	0	4,895,865	0	52,234,510
Connecticut <sup>15</sup>	47,493,534	0	20,558,988	0	1,998,119	8,471,338	0	985,380	8,324,874	0	87,832,233
Delaware <sup>11 16</sup>	7,008,120	3,156,659	1,160,068	0	283,537	626,658	0	258,285	1,068,183	0	13,561,510
Dist. of Col.	6,184,927	396,326	1,838,856	1,134,459	0	879,449	0	6,875	988,359	0	11,429,251
Florida	13,098,981	14,846,214	28,210,210	9,141,663	0	4,650,098	0	0	6,302,170	0	76,249,336
Georgia <sup>14 17</sup>	37,266,626	0	9,876,693	1,645,998	0	2,104,858	0	0	5,713,680	0	56,607,855
Hawaii <sup>10</sup>	5,073,983	0	177,529	0	0	96,865	0	0	494,134	0	5,842,511
Idaho <sup>11</sup>	9,592,516	0	2,020,535	4,753,121	0	1,558,227	35,000	950,624	1,901,248	0	20,811,271
Illinois <sup>11</sup>	120,494,320	0	17,720,356	15,040,000	0	6,127,733	0	7,764,869	15,315,276	0	182,462,554
Indiana	34,064,608	17,560,116	4,928,095	8,681,206	0	5,186,712	0	3,458,389	6,830,221	0	80,709,347
Iowa <sup>11 12</sup>	36,113,424	0	2,186,553	8,069,259	0	4,751,054	0	529,298	4,306,091	0	55,955,679
Kansas	23,360,333	0	1,179,860	4,078,464	0	969,142	0	0	1,963,297	629,513	32,180,609
Kentucky <sup>17</sup>	17,361,197	0	21,463,607	5,070,065	0	0	0	0	4,656,453	0	48,551,322
Louisiana	11,528,257	14,295,039	3,842,753	4,611,303	0	0	0	307,422	3,842,752	0	38,427,526
Maine <sup>11 12</sup>	23,660,811	0	1,671,145	8,557,962	169,491	2,279,011	0	603,443	3,780,510	0	40,722,373
Maryland <sup>9 11</sup>	57,098,425	0	1,042,786	177,708	0	6,040,875	0	0	6,808,358	0	71,168,152
Massachusetts <sup>9</sup>	116,526,696	0	0	8,500,000	3,900,000	162,355	70,000	3,638,800	14,639,159	0	147,437,010
Michigan <sup>11 18</sup>	42,740,303	0	106,899,752	5,722,465	0	6,417,091	0	0	15,941,048	0	177,720,659
Minnesota <sup>11 14</sup>	71,915,457	0	21,412,257	5,570,906	0	10,189,747	0	4,139,029	10,641,343	915,314	124,784,053
Mississippi	9,704,690	8,965,481	978,980	4,003,302	0	0	0	1,030,505	2,290,011	0	26,972,969
Missouri <sup>11</sup>	35,765,108	0	22,997,843	6,300,000	0	5,889,574	0	0	6,673,102	0	77,625,627
Montana <sup>12 14</sup>	10,376,045	0	755,958	4,841,706	31,654	1,483,299	0	450,000	1,870,289	900,000	20,708,951
Nebraska <sup>11 19</sup>	16,564,402	5,147,460	2,588,193	2,401,746	0	2,658,494	0	0	2,933,467	0	32,293,762

*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2015: Part I. Fiscal Data*  
*Supplemental Tables: Uses of Funds*

State	Heating assistance benefits	Cooling assistance benefits	Energy crisis assistance benefits	Weatherization assistance benefits <sup>2</sup>	Nominal payments <sup>3</sup>	Carryover to FY 2016 <sup>4</sup>	Development of leveraging resources <sup>5</sup>	Assurance 16 activities <sup>6</sup>	Administrative and planning costs	Other <sup>7</sup>	Total <sup>8</sup>
Nevada <sup>10 20</sup>	8,516,673	0	568,042	456,128	0	448,692	0	0	584,719	0	10,574,254
New Hampshire	21,702,017	0	713,514	500,000	0	426,975	0	893,760	2,405,432	0	26,641,698
New Jersey <sup>11</sup>	78,344,560	9,723,720	12,197,421	13,006,213	0	2,616,438	0	0	11,930,691	0	127,819,043
New Mexico	7,477,115	4,144,026	1,114,880	1,938,556	0	1,307,067	0	0	1,694,136	0	17,675,780
New York <sup>11</sup>	236,321,702	2,896,006	70,360,386	38,123,326	6,130,362	0	0	0	27,948,703	0	381,780,485
North Carolina <sup>11</sup>	31,994,505	0	27,877,732	8,172,442	0	8,496,569	0	0	8,504,583	0	85,045,831
North Dakota <sup>11 12 14 21</sup>	9,579,688	0	2,083,145	4,837,494	0	1,937,612	0	960,000	1,937,612	0	21,335,551
Ohio	66,899,341	0	42,732,349	22,246,143	0	11,349,017	0	212,257	14,830,762	0	158,269,869
Oklahoma <sup>14</sup>	7,291,358	15,382,581	2,390,778	2,000,000	0	2,905,079	0	0	3,001,974	0	32,971,770
Oregon <sup>11</sup>	20,660,134	0	3,202,407	4,604,109	2,100,000	3,533,546	35,000	1,406,405	3,323,547	0	38,865,148
Pennsylvania <sup>11</sup>	90,463,818	0	76,363,407	8,059,424	8,158,680	19,542,979	0	0	20,016,477	0	222,604,785
Rhode Island <sup>11</sup>	14,944,605	0	3,784,988	2,420,000	1,331,846	2,322,855	0	750,000	2,500,000	0	28,054,294
South Carolina <sup>11 14</sup>	7,206,369	4,890,036	14,155,368	5,316,252	0	3,544,169	0	1,772,084	2,469,283	0	39,353,561
South Dakota	16,156,100	0	1,412,701	0	0	0	0	0	1,100,000	0	18,668,801
Tennessee	34,986,461	5,950,147	7,929,299	0	0	5,516,096	0	13,444	825,162	0	55,220,609
Texas	13,022,299	58,093,505	17,763,295	17,091,077	0	97,415	0	0	11,747,675	0	117,815,266
Utah	15,357,815	0	2,345,023	3,516,000	0	2,347,291	0	0	2,262,218	0	25,828,347
Vermont	15,467,481	0	1,760,401	0	557,718	1,215,188	0	7,483	1,896,516	0	20,904,787
Virginia <sup>14</sup>	43,459,738	12,582,663	8,270,166	10,993,361	0	6,419,141	0	0	5,118,854	0	86,843,923
Washington <sup>11 14 22</sup>	28,227,707	0	8,130,524	10,053,148	2,873,496	76,627	0	2,139,602	5,554,986	0	57,056,090
West Virginia <sup>11 14</sup>	21,503,630	0	2,711,637	4,000,603	0	1,820,459	0	0	1,765,046	0	31,801,375
Wisconsin	55,474,517	0	21,169,312	12,120,244	0	9,908,820	0	0	6,076,159	0	104,749,052
Wyoming <sup>12</sup>	5,542,635	0	1,286,389	1,048,851	0	589,546	0	460,881	923,008	0	9,851,310

<sup>1</sup> These data are compiled from the *LIHEAP Performance Data Form – Grantee Survey Section for FFY 2015*. They're current as of August 23, 2016. Sources of these funds are shown in Table I-3.

<sup>2</sup> Includes funds obligated in FY 2015 but not expended to weatherize homes until FY 2016.

<sup>3</sup> In FY 2015, OCS specifically instructed grantees to separate SNAP nominal payment obligations and recipient households from their heating assistance data.

<sup>4</sup> Carryover to FY 2016 doesn't include \$271,910 of unobligated FY 2015 LIHEAP funds in excess of carryover limitations which one state (Tennessee) returned to the federal government.

<sup>5</sup> Development of leveraging resources consists of LIHEAP funds used to identify, develop, and demonstrate leveraging incentive programs. Grantees may spend up to a certain amount of their LIHEAP funds to conduct these activities each fiscal year.

*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2015: Part I. Fiscal Data*  
*Supplemental Tables: Uses of Funds*

---

<sup>6</sup> Funds obligated for Assurance 16 activities were used to provide services that encourage and enable households to reduce their home energy needs and thereby the need for energy assistance, including needs assessments, counseling and assistance with energy vendors.

<sup>7</sup> ‘Other’ refers to LIHEAP Management Information System (MIS) funds obligated by Kansas, Minnesota, and Montana.

<sup>8</sup> The total uses of funds (\$3,484,494,793) differs from the total sources of funds (\$3,484,494,781) by \$2 due to rounding by two states.

<sup>9</sup> Households in winter fuel crisis situations (Alaska, Massachusetts) or year-round fuel crisis situations (Maryland) received expedited heating assistance.

<sup>10</sup> Combined heating and cooling assistance was provided in California and Nevada; and energy assistance was provided in Hawaii, with no differentiation made between heating and cooling assistance. California, Nevada, and Hawaii reported these funding obligated under heating assistance.

<sup>11</sup> Energy crisis assistance benefits include funds for emergency heating/cooling repairs or replacements for the following states: California (\$16,010,038), Colorado (\$2,200,000), Delaware (\$10,431), District of Columbia (not specified), Idaho (\$1,020,223), Illinois (\$3,336,594), Iowa (\$1,123,660), Maine (\$5,418), Maryland (\$1,042,786), Michigan (\$2,424,662), Minnesota (\$6,574,137), Mississippi (not specified), Missouri (\$66,399), Montana (not specified), Nebraska (\$97,662), New Jersey (\$235,986), New York (\$3,130,440), North Carolina (\$4,348,361), North Dakota (\$1,351,940), Oregon (\$756,168), Pennsylvania (\$22,101,105), Rhode Island (\$750,000), South Carolina (\$257,370), South Dakota (\$800,000), Texas (not specified), Utah (\$1,800,000), Washington (\$466,725), West Virginia (\$95,411), Wisconsin (\$1,193,494), and Wyoming (\$780,710).

<sup>12</sup> California, Idaho, Maine, Montana, North Dakota, and Washington received a waiver for FY 2015 that increased from 15% up to 25% of the maximum amount of LIHEAP funds available for weatherization or other energy-related home repairs.

<sup>13</sup> California’s energy assistance funds include \$114,720 for Severe Weather Energy Assistance and Transportation Services (SWEATS).

<sup>14</sup> Colorado, Georgia, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia reported obligating funds to the state’s Weatherization Program for FY 2015 but expending some or all of the obligated FY 2015 funds on weatherizing households in FY 2016.

<sup>15</sup> Connecticut’s energy assistance funds include \$10,228,121 for Safety Net Assistance for households in a life-threatening situation that were unable to secure shelter with adequate heat.

<sup>16</sup> Delaware’s energy crisis assistance funds include \$2,141 for the Code Purple Program.

<sup>17</sup> Two grantees (Georgia, Kentucky) exceeded the limit allowed for administrative and planning costs (10 percent of funds payable) in FY 2015 using unobligated funds for administrative and planning costs funds carried over from previous fiscal years, when obligations for administrative and planning costs were below the 10 percent limit. Georgia carried over \$18,112 (FY 2013) and \$108,075 (FY 2014) to account for \$126,187, and Kentucky carried over \$160,921 (FY 2014), in extra administrative costs in FY 2015.

<sup>18</sup> Michigan’s energy crisis assistance funds include \$62,000,000 for the Michigan Energy Assistance Program.

<sup>19</sup> Nebraska’s energy crisis assistance funds include \$398,573 for deposit assistance.

<sup>20</sup> Nevada’s energy crisis assistance funds include \$2,917 for crisis intervention.

<sup>21</sup> North Dakota’s energy assistance funds include funds obligated for emergency furnace repairs and replacements but used to assisted households in FY 2016. In addition, North Dakota obligated funds to assist households with non-crisis cooling equipment repair and replacement services (air conditioning installations) under emergency cooling equipment repair and replacement (energy crisis assistance), but reported serving these households under cooling assistance.

<sup>22</sup> Washington’s energy crisis assistance funds include \$130,805 for Temporary Shelter Assistance and \$1,899 for other emergency services.