

*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2017: Part IV. Program Implementation Data*  
*Supplemental Tables: State Maximum LIHEAP Income Eligibility Standards*

**Table IV-1. Percent of states selecting various maximum LIHEAP income eligibility standards, FY 2017<sup>i</sup>**

<b>LIHEAP income eligibility standards (by percentage intervals of 2016 HHS Poverty Guidelines)</b>	<b>Heating assistance</b>	<b>Cooling assistance</b>	<b>Winter crisis assistance<sup>ii</sup></b>	<b>Year-round crisis assistance<sup>iii</sup></b>	<b>Summer crisis assistance<sup>iv</sup></b>	<b>Weatherization assistance<sup>v</sup></b>
Number of states	51	19	28	23	6	48
Household income at or above 150% (percentage of States)	78%	79%	79%	87%	83%	100%
Household income between 111%–149% (percentage of States)	20	21	21	13	17	0
Household income at 110% (percentage of States)	2	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>i</sup> The data in this table are current as of August 20, 2018. These data are derived from *LIHEAP Performance Data Form for FY 2017 - Grantee Survey*. Percentage distributions may not add up to 100 percent across income levels due to rounding.

<sup>ii</sup> Refers to winter crisis assistance only. The number of states and percentages includes one state (Massachusetts) that provided expedited heating assistance for winter crisis fuel situations through heating assistance funds only, and one state (Maine) that provided winter fuel assistance using prior year funds. Percentage intervals exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved furnace repair or replacements.

<sup>iii</sup> Refers to year-round crisis assistance only. Percentage intervals exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved furnace repair or replacement.

<sup>iv</sup> Refers to summer crisis assistance only. Percentage intervals exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved air conditioner repair or replacements.

<sup>v</sup> Percentages do not include states which weatherized households in FY 2017 only with weatherization funds obligated but not expended in FY 2016.

*Supplemental Tables*  
*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2017: Part IV. Program Implementation Data*

**Supplemental Table IV-1a. States' maximum LIHEAP income eligibility standards for four-person households as a percentage of the 2016 HHS Poverty Guidelines, by type of assistance and by state, FY 2017<sup>i</sup>**

State	Heating	Cooling	Winter crisis <sup>ii</sup>	Year-round crisis <sup>iii</sup>	Summer crisis <sup>iv</sup>	Weatherization
Alabama	150%	150%	150%	--	150%	150%
Alaska <sup>v</sup>	150%	--	150%	--	--	150%
Arizona	166%	166%	--	166%	--	166%
Arkansas	149%	149%	149%	--	149%	200%
California <sup>vi</sup>	199%	--	--	199%	--	199%
Colorado	165%	--	165%	--	--	200%
Connecticut	268%	--	268%	--	--	200%
Delaware	200%	200%	--	200%	--	202%
Dist. of Col.	244%	244%	--	244%	244%	244%
Florida	150%	150%	150%	--	150%	200%
Georgia	173%	--	173%	--	--	200%
Hawaii <sup>vi</sup>	150%	--	--	150%	--	--
Idaho	150%	--	--	150%	--	200%
Illinois	150%	--	150%	--	--	150%
Indiana	150%	150%	150%	--	--	150%
Iowa	175%	--	--	175%	--	200%
Kansas <sup>v</sup>	130%	--	130%	--	--	200%
Kentucky	130%	--	130%	--	--	200%
Louisiana	181%	181%	--	181%	--	200%
Maine	170%	--	170%	--	--	170%
Maryland <sup>v</sup>	175%	--	--	175%	--	--
Massachusetts <sup>v</sup>	269%	--	269%	--	--	269%
Michigan	110%	--	150%	--	--	150%
Minnesota	194%	--	194%	--	--	200%
Mississippi	147%	147%	--	147%	--	200%
Missouri	135%	--	135%	--	135%	200%
Montana	176%	--	--	176%	--	200%
Nebraska	130%	130%	--	130%	--	200%
Nevada <sup>vi</sup>	150%	--	--	150%	--	150%
New Hampshire <sup>v</sup>	200%	--	200%	--	--	200%
New Jersey	200%	200%	200%	--	--	200%
New Mexico	150%	150%	--	150%	--	200%
New York	218%	218%	218%	--	--	218%
North Carolina	130%	--	--	150%	--	200%
North Dakota	219%	--	--	219%	--	219%
Ohio	175%	--	175%	--	177%	202%
Oklahoma	130%	130%	--	130%	--	163%

*Supplemental Tables*  
*LIHEAP Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2017: Part IV. Program Implementation Data*

State	Heating	Cooling	Winter crisis <sup>ii</sup>	Year-round crisis <sup>iii</sup>	Summer crisis <sup>iv</sup>	Weatherization
Oregon	179%	179%	--	179%	--	200%
Pennsylvania	150%	--	150%	--	--	200%
Rhode Island	226%	--	226%	--	--	226%
South Carolina	150%	150%	--	150%	--	200%
South Dakota	175%	--	175%	--	--	--
Tennessee	150%	150%	--	150%	--	200%
Texas	150%	150%	--	150%	--	150%
Utah	150%	--	--	150%	--	150%
Vermont	150%	--	150%	--	--	208%
Virginia	130%	132%	130%	--	--	234%
Washington <sup>v</sup>	125%	--	125%	--	--	200%
West Virginia	135%	--	135%	--	--	200%
Wisconsin	207%	--	--	207%	--	207%
Wyoming	202%	--	202%	--	--	202%

<sup>i</sup> (1) Maximum annual income cutoffs for 4-person households were obtained from the *LIHEAP Performance Data Form for FY 2017 - Grantee Survey*. These data are current as of August 20, 2018. The income cutoffs were converted into percentages of the 2016 HHS Poverty Guidelines (HHSPG). The table does not reflect different income cutoffs in place for households with elderly, disabled, or young children, or other crisis assistance, as set by states. (2) The federal maximum LIHEAP income eligibility guidelines for FY 2017 were based on the greater of 150 percent of 2015 HHSPG or 60 percent of state median income (SMI) for use in FY 2017, based on household size. Optionally, states were permitted to adopt the 2017 HHSPG in place of the 2016 HHSPG to set their maximum income eligibility guidelines for FY 2017. In addition, states were permitted to use the federal maximum LIHEAP income eligibility guidelines or the federal maximum Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) income eligibility guidelines (200 percent of HHSPG) to set their maximum income eligibility standards for weatherization assistance in FY 2017. States with maximum income eligibility standards listed that are greater than 150 percent of 2016 HHSPG are verified as being at or below the federal maximum LIHEAP income eligibility guidelines for FY 2017. (3) A designation of "--" indicates that these data are not applicable for states which did not provide that type of assistance.

<sup>ii</sup> Refers to winter crisis assistance only. The number of states and percentages includes one state (Massachusetts) that provided expedited heating assistance for winter crisis fuel situations through heating assistance funds only, and one state (Maine) that provided winter fuel assistance using prior year funds. Percentage intervals exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved furnace repair or replacements.

<sup>iii</sup> Refers to year-round crisis fuel assistance only. Household income cutoffs exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved furnace or air conditioner repair or replacements.

<sup>iv</sup> Refers to summer crisis fuel assistance only. Household income cutoffs exclude other types of crisis assistance that mostly involved furnace or air conditioner repair or replacements.

<sup>v</sup> Expedited heating assistance was provided to households in crisis fuel situations in Alaska, Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Washington.

<sup>vi</sup> Combined heating and cooling assistance was provided in California and Nevada; and energy assistance was provided in Hawaii, with no differentiation made between heating and cooling assistance. These states reported funds obligated and households served under heating assistance. A cooling assistance eligibility standard of "--" is thus applied to each state.