DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SERVICES PROGRAMS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FY 2015 BUDGET

FY 2015 PROPOSED APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGE ANALYSIS	69
AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION	74
APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW	
APPROPRIATIONS HISTORY TABLE	
AMOUNTS AVAILABLE FOR OBLIGATION	
BUDGET AUTHORITY BY ACTIVITY	
SUMMARY OF CHANGES	
JUSTIFICATION	
GENERAL STATEMENT	
HEAD START	
RUNAWAY AND HOMELESS YOUTH PROGRAM	
SERVICE CONNECTION FOR YOUTH ON THE STREETS	
CAPTA STATE GRANTS	
CHILD ABUSE DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES	
COMMUNITY-BASED CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION	
CHILD WELFARE SERVICES	
CHILD WELFARE RESEARCH, TRAINING AND DEMONSTRATION	
ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES	
ABANDONED INFANTS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	
CHAFEE EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS	
ADOPTION INCENTIVES	
NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS	
SOCIAL SERVICES RESEARCH & DEMONSTRATION	
DISASTER HUMAN SERVICES CASE MANAGEMENT	
COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	
COMMUNITY SERVICES DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES	
ASSETS FOR INDEPENDENCE	
FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND SERVICES/BATTERED WOMEN'S SHEL	

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE HOTLINE	187
FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION	190

FY 2015 Proposed Appropriation Language and Language Analysis

For carrying out, except as otherwise provided, the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act, the Head Start Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, sections 303 and 313 of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Native American Programs Act of 1974, title II of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978 (adoption opportunities), the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988, part B-1 of title IV and sections 413, 1110, and 1115 of the Social Security Act; for making payments under the Community Services Block Grant Act ("CSBG Act''), sections 473B and 477(i) of the Social Security Act, and the Assets for Independence Act; for necessary administrative expenses to carry out such Acts and titles I, IV, V, X, XI, XIV, XVI, and XX of the Social Security Act, the Act of July 5, 1960, the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981, title IV of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and section 501 of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980; and for the administration of prior year obligations made by the Administration for Children and Families under the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act and the Help America Vote Act of 2002, [\$10,346,943,000]\$10,277,062,000, of which \$37,943,000, to remain available through September 30, [2015]2016, shall be for grants to States for adoption incentive payments, as authorized by section 473A of the Social Security Act and may be made for adoptions completed before September 30, [2014]2015: Provided, That subsection (b)(5) of such section 473A shall apply to funds appropriated under this heading by substituting ["2013"] "2014" for "2012": Provided further, That [\$8,598,095,000]\$8,868,389,000 shall be for making payments under the Head Start Act: Provided *further*, That of the amount in the previous proviso, [\$8,073,095,000]\$8,193,389,000 shall be available for payments under section 640 of the Head Start Act[, of which \$100,000,000 shall be available for a cost of living adjustment notwithstanding section 640(a)(3)(A) of such Act: Provided further, That for purposes of allocating funds under section 640 of the Head Start Act, subsection (a)(2) of such section shall be applied by substituting "fiscal year 2012" for "the prior fiscal year" each place it appears in

such subsection]: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided for making payments under the Head Start Act, 25,000,000 shall be available for allocation by the Secretary to supplement activities described in paragraphs (7)(B) and (9) of section 641(c) of such Act under the Designation Renewal System, established under the authority of sections 641(c)(7), 45A(b)(12) and 645A(d) of such Act: Provided *further*, That amounts allocated to Head Start grantees at the discretion of the Secretary to supplement activities pursuant to the previous proviso shall not be included in the calculation of the "base grant" in subsequent fiscal years, as such term is used in section 5 640(a)(7)(A) of the Head Start Act: Provided *further*, That notwithstanding section 640 of the Head Start Act, of the amount provided for making payments under the Head Start Act, [\$500,000,000]\$650,000,000 shall be available through March 31, [2015]2016 for [expansion of] Early Head Start programs as described in section 645A of such Act, for conversion of Head Start services to Early Head Start services as described in section 645(a)(5)(A) of such Act, and for [new] discretionary grants for high quality infant and toddler care through Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships, to entities defined as eligible under section 645A(d) of such Act, and, notwithstanding section 645A(c)(2) of such Act, these funds are available to serve children under age 4: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available in the immediately preceding proviso, up to [\$10,000,000]\$15,000,000 shall be available for the Federal costs of administration and evaluation activities of the program described in such proviso: Provided further, That an Early Head Start agency awarded funds for an Early Head Start-Child Care Partnership after October 1, [2014]2015, shall not be subject to the requirements of the system for designation renewal as defined by section 641 of the Head Start Act, for this award only, prior to 18 months after the date of such award: Provided further, That amounts provided under the seventh proviso under this heading in Public Law 113-76 shall not be included in the calculation of the "base grant" in fiscal year 2015, as such term is used in section 640(a)(7)(A) of the Head Start Act: Provided further, That [\$709,854,000]\$350,000,000 shall be for making payments under the CSBG Act: Provided further, That [\$36,204,000 shall be for sections 680 and 678E(b)(2) of the CSBG Act, of which not less than \$29,883,000 shall be for section 680(a)(2) and not less than \$5,971,000 shall be for section 680(a)(3)(B) of such Act]no more than \$350,000 shall be

reserved under section 674(b)(3) of the CSBG Act, all of which shall be available solely for carrying out section 678E(b)(2) of such Act: Provided further, That to the extent Community Services Block Grant funds are distributed as grant funds by a State to an eligible entity as provided under the CSBG Act, and have not been expended by such entity, they shall remain with such entity for carryover into the next fiscal year for expenditure by such entity consistent with program purposes: *Provided further*, That [the Secretary shall establish procedures regarding the disposition of intangible assets and program income that permit such assets acquired with, and program income derived from, grant funds authorized under section 680 of the CSBG Act to become the sole property of such grantees after a period of not more than 12 years after the end of the grant period for any activity consistent with section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG Act: *Provided further*, That intangible assets in the form of loans, equity investments and other debt instruments, and program income may be used by grantees for any eligible purpose consistent with section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG Act: Provided further, That these procedures shall apply to such grant funds made available after November 29, 1999: Provided further, That funds appropriated for section 680(a)(2) of the CSBG Act shall be available for financing construction and rehabilitation and loans or investments in private business enterprises owned by community development corporations:] to the extent funds for the Assets for Independence Act are distributed as grant funds to a qualified entity and have not been expended by such entity within three years after the date of award, such funds may be recaptured and, during the fiscal year of such recapture, reallocated among other qualified entities, to remain available to such entities for five years; Provided further, That notwithstanding section 414(e) of such Act, the Secretary may award up to \$1,000,000 to support evidence-based evaluation: Provided further, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$5,762,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the PHS Act to carry out the provisions of section 1110 of the Social Security Act: Provided further, That amounts provided under this heading to carry out section 1110 of the Social Security Act, other than amounts made available for that purpose under the previous proviso shall remain available until expended; Provided further, That section 14 303(a)(2)(A)(i) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act shall not apply to amounts provided herein: *Provided further*, That

\$1,864,000 shall be for a human services case management system for federally declared disasters, to include a comprehensive national case management contract and Federal costs of administering the system: *Provided further*, That up to \$2,000,000 shall be for improving the Public Assistance Reporting Information System, including grants to States to support data collection for a study of the system's effectiveness. (Department of Health and Human Services Appropriations Act, 2014)

Language Provision	Explanation
[, of which \$100,000,000 shall be available for a	This technical change that directs specific funding
cost of living adjustment notwithstanding section	to provide for a cost of living adjustment is no
640(a)(3)(A) of such Act]: [<i>Provided further</i> , That	longer needed since the request follows the
for purposes of allocating funds under section 640	statutory formula.
of the Head Start Act, subsection (a)(2) of such	
section shall be applied by substituting "fiscal year	
2012" for "the prior fiscal year" each place it	
appears in such subsection:]	
Provided further, That amounts provided under the	This language is inserted to keep grants supported
seventh proviso under this heading in Public Law	by the \$500 million funds appropriated for Early
113-76 shall not be included in the calculation of	Head Start-Child Care Partnerships in FY 2014
the "base grant" in fiscal year 2015, as such term	from counting toward base grants, thus ensuring
is used in section $640(a)(7)(A)$ of the Head Start	that any continuation funding for the Early Head
Act:	Start-Child Care Partnerships comes from the \$650
	million identified for that purpose.
[\$36,204,000 shall be for sections 680 and	This language is deleted because no funding is
678E(b)(2) of the CSBG Act, of which not less	being requested for the Community Economic
than $$29,883,000$ shall be for section $680(a)(2)$ and	Development or Rural Communities Facilities
not less than \$5,971,000 shall be for section	programs.
680(a)(3)(B) of such Act]	
no more than \$350,000 shall be reserved under	This language is inserted to allow funding for the
section 674(b)(3) of the CSBG Act, all of which	CSBG report.
shall be available solely for carrying out section	•
678E(b)(2) of such Act:	
[<i>Provided further</i> , That the Secretary shall establish	This language can be deleted since no funding is
procedures regarding the disposition of intangible	being requested for activities under section
assets and program income that permit such assets	680(a)(2)(A).
acquired with, and program income derived from,	
grant funds authorized under section 680 of the	
CSBG Act to become the sole property of such	
grantees after a period of not more than 12 years	
after the end of the grant period for any activity	
consistent with section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG	
Act: <i>Provided further</i> , That intangible assets in the	
form of loans, equity investments and other debt	
instruments, and program income may be used by	
grantees for any eligible purpose consistent with	

section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG Act: Provided	
<i>further</i> , That these procedures shall apply to such	
grant funds made available after November 29,	
1999: <i>Provided further</i> , That funds appropriated for	
section 680(a)(2) of the CSBG Act shall be	
available for financing construction and	
rehabilitation and loans or investments in private	
business enterprises owned by community	
development corporations:]	
Provided further, That to the extent funds for the	This language is inserted to allow for the recapture
Assets for Independence Act are distributed as	and reallocation of unexpended Assets for
grant funds to a qualified entity and have not been	Independence funds.
expended by such entity within three years after the	
date of award, such funds may be recaptured and,	
during the fiscal year of such recapture,	
reallocated among other qualified entities, to	
remain available to such entities for five years	
Provided further, That notwithstanding section	This language is inserted to increase the funding
414(e) of such Act, the Secretary may award up to	available for evaluations to \$1,000,000.
\$1,000,000 to support evidence-based evaluation	
Provided further, That amounts provided under this	This language is being inserted to allow greater
heading to carry out section 1110 of the Social	flexibility in funding research contracts.
Security Act, other than amounts made available	
for that purpose under the previous proviso shall	
remain available until expended	

Authorizing Legislation

		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2015
Sta	tutory Citations	Amount	FY 2014	Amount	Budget
2.00		Authorized	Enacted	Authorized	Request
1.	Head Start [Section 639	Such sums	\$8,098,095,000	Such sums	\$8,218,389,000
1.	of the Head Start Act]	Such Sums	\$0,090,090,000	Such sums	¢0,210,209,000
	[Authority expires				
	9/30/2012]				
2.	Head Start Training and	2 1/2% to 3% of	(203,3216,704)	2 1/2% to 3% of	(206,499,105)
	Technical Assistance	the annual	(200,0210,701)	the annual	(200,1)),100)
	(T/TA) [Section	appropriation		appropriation	
	640(a)(2)(C)(i) of the	of which not		of which not	
	Head Start Act]	less than 20%		less than 20%	
	[Authority expires	for Early Head		for Early Head	
	9/30/2012]	Start; and, of		Start; and, of	
	,	remainder, not		remainder, not	
		less than 50%		less than 50%	
		for direct use		for direct use	
		by Head Start		by Head Start	
		grantees; not		grantees; not	
		less than 25%		less than 25%	
		for State-based		for State-based	
		T/TA; and the		T/TA; and the	
		balance for		balance for	
		T/TA related		T/TA related to	
		to achieving		achieving	
		compliance		compliance	
		with the Head		with the Head	
		Start		Start	
		Performance		Performance	
		Standards,		Standards,	
		except that not		except that not	
		less than		less than	
		\$3,000,000		\$3,000,000 will	
		will be for		be for Family	
		Family		Literacy	
		Literacy		programs	
		programs		Programmi	
3.	Head Start Research,	Not more than	(20,000,000)	Not more than	(20,000,000)
.	Demonstration,	\$20,000,000,		\$20,000,000, of	,,,
	Evaluation including the	of which not		which not more	
	Head Start National	more than		than	
	Impact Studies [Section	\$7,000,000 for		\$7,000,000 for	
	640(a)(2)(D) of the Head	the Head Start		the Head Start	
	Start Act] [Authority	National		National	
	expires 9/30/2012]	Impact Studies		Impact Studies	

Sta	tutory Citations	FY 2014 Amount Authorized	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Amount Authorized	FY 2015 Budget Request
4.	Discretionary Payments [Section 640(a)(2)(E) of the Head Start Act] [Authority expires 9/30/2012]	Not more than \$42,000,000	(42,000,000)	Not more than \$42,000,000	(42,000,000)
5.	Indian [including Early Head Start] and Migrant and Seasonal expansion [Section 640(a)(3)(A)(i)(II) and 640(a)(3)(A)(ii)(I) of the Head Start Act] [Authority expires 9/30/2012]	Of any appropriation increase, \$10,000,000 (each) or 5% of the increase, whichever is less, except that no funds will be allocated for expansion if the appropriation increase will not permit a cost of living increase equal to at least 50% of the prior year increase in the CPI-U	0	Of any appropriation increase, \$10,000,000 (each) or 5% of the increase, whichever is less, except that no funds will be allocated for expansion if the appropriation increase will not permit a cost of living increase equal to at least 50% of the prior year increase in the CPI-U	0
6.	Head Start Quality Improvement [Section 640(a)(4)(A)(i) and 640(a)(4)(B)(i)(I) of the Head Start Act] [Authority expires 9/30/2012]	After awarding COLA, T/TA and Indian and Migrant and Seasonal expansion, 40% of the balance (except that the allocation shall be 45% if the 15% reserved for the State Advisory Councils is not required)	0	After awarding COLA, T/TA and Indian and Migrant and Seasonal expansion, 40% of the balance (except that the allocation shall be 45% if the 15% reserved for the State Advisory Councils is not required)	0

		FY 2014	EX 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015
Sta	tutory Citations	Amount	FY 2014	Amount	Budget
	-	Authorized	Enacted	Authorized	Request
7.	Head Start/EHS	After awarding	0	After awarding	0
	Expansion [Section	COLA, T/TA		COLA, T/TA	
	640(a)(4)(A)(ii) and	and Indian and		and Indian and	
	640(a)(4)(B)(i)(II) of the	Migrant and		Migrant and	
	Head Start Act]	Seasonal		Seasonal	
	[Authority expires	expansion,		expansion,	
	9/30/2012]	45% of the		45% of the	
		balance		balance (except	
		(except that		that the	
		the allocation		allocation shall	
		shall be 55% if		be 55% if the	
		the 15%		15% reserved	
		reserved for		for the State	
		the State		Advisory	
		Advisory		Councils is not	
		Councils is not		required)	
		required)			
8.	State Advisory Councils	After awarding	0	After awarding	0
	[Section 640(a)(4)(A)(iii)	COLA, T/TA		COLA, T/TA	
	of the Head Start Act]	and Indian and		and Indian and	
	[Authority expires	Migrant and		Migrant and	
	9/30/2012]	Seasonal		Seasonal	
		expansion,		expansion,	
		15% of the		15% of the	
		balance		balance	
		remaining will		remaining will	
		be reserved,		be reserved,	
		except that no		except that no	
		more than		more than	
		\$100,000,000		\$100,000,000	
		cumulatively		cumulatively	
		through FY		through FY	
		2012 shall be		2012 shall be	
		awarded for		awarded for	
6		this purpose	(0.0.0.0.0.0.0.)	this purpose	(0.00.000)
9.	Head Start Collaboration	In the same	(8,826,000)	In the same	(8,826,000)
	grants [Section	amount as the		amount as the	
	640(a)(2)(B)(vi) of the	corresponding		corresponding	
	Head Start Act]	collaboration		collaboration	
	[Authority expires	grant provided		grant provided	
	9/30/2012]	for FY 2007		for FY 2007	

Statutory Citations 10. Head Start Fellowships [Section 648A(d)(6) of the Head Start Act with funds reserved for Discretionary Payment] [Authority expires	FY 2014 Amount Authorized Not more than \$1,000,000	FY 2014 Enacted (1,000,000)	FY 2015 Amount Authorized Not more than \$1,000,000	FY 2015 Budget Request (1,000,000)
9/30/2012] 11. Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships	Authorized in appropriation language which includes up to \$10 million for the Federal costs of administration, including monitoring cost and evaluation activities	500,000,000	Authorized in appropriation language which includes up to \$15 million for the Federal costs of administration, including monitoring cost and evaluation activities	650,000,000
12. Runaway and Homeless Youth Basic Center Program [Section 388(a)(1) of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act]	Such sums	53,350,000	Such sums	53,350,000
13. Runaway and Homeless Youth Transitional Living Program, including Maternity Group Homes [Section 388(a)(2)(B) of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act]	45% of the amount reserved under section 388(a)(2)(A), increasing to not more than 55% when warranted	43,650,000	45% of the amount reserved under section 388(a)(2)(A), increasing to not more than 55% when warranted	43,650,000
14. Periodic Estimate of Incidence and Prevalence of Youth Homelessness [Section 388(3)(B) of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act]	Such sums	0	Such sums	2,000,000

Statutory Citations	FY 2014 Amount Authorized	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Amount Authorized	FY 2015 Budget Request
15. Education and Prevention Grants to Reduce Sexual Abuse Runaway, Homeless and Street Youth [Section 388(a)(4) of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act]	Such sums	17,141,000	Such sums	17,141,000
16. CAPTA State Grants [Section 112(a)(1) of Section I of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act]	Such sums	25,310,000	Such sums	25,310,000
 17. Child Abuse Discretionary Activities [Section 112(a)(2)(A) of Section 1 of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act] 	30% of amount under section 112(a)(1)	28,744,000	30% of amount under section 112(a)(1)	28,744,000
 18. Community-Based Child Abuse Grants for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect [Section 209 of Section I of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act] 	Such sums	39,764,000	Such sums	39,764,000
19. Child Welfare Services [Section 425 of the Social Services Act]	\$325,000,000	268,735,000	\$325,000,000	268,735,000
20. Child Welfare Research, Training and Demonstration Projects [Section 426 of the Social Security Act]	Such sums	24,984,000	Such sums	24,984,000
21. Adoption Opportunities [Section 205 of Section II of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act]	Such sums	40,622,000	Such sums	40,622,000
22. Abandoned Infants Assistance [Section 302(a)(1) of Section III of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act]	Such sums	11,063,000	Such sums	11,063,000

Statutory Citations 23. Chafee Education and	FY 2014 Amount Authorized \$60,000,000	FY 2014 Enacted 43,257,000	FY 2015 Amount Authorized \$60,000,000	FY 2015 Budget Request 43,257,000
Training Vouchers [Section 477(h)(2) of the Social Security Act]	\$00,000,000	45,257,000		45,257,000
24. Adoption Incentives [Section 473A(h) of the Social Security Act]	\$43,000,000	37,943,000	\$43,000,000	37,943,000
25. Native American Programs [Section 816(a) of the Native American Programs Act of 1974] (Authorization for the program expired at the end of FY 2002, except for Native Language Program authorized through FY 2012)	Such sums	46,520,000	Such sums	46,520,000
26. Social Services Research and Demonstration [Section 1110 of the Social Security Act]	Such sums	0	Such sums	9,000,000
27. Community Services Block Grant [Section 674(a) of the Community Services Block Grant Act] (Authorization for the program expired at the end of FY 2003)	Such sums	674,000,000	Such sums	350,000,000
28. Assets for Independence [Section 416 of the Assets for Independence Act] (Authorization for the program expired at the end of FY 2003)	\$25,000,000	19,026,000	\$25,000,000	19,026,000
29. Family Violence Prevention and Services Programs/Battered Women's Shelters Grants to States and Tribes [Section 303(a) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act]	\$175,000,000	133,521,000	\$175,000,000	135,000,000

Statutory Citations 30. Domestic Violence	FY 2014 Amount Authorized \$3,500,000	FY 2014 Enacted 4,500,000	FY 2015 Amount Authorized \$3,500,000	FY 2015 Budget Request 4,500,000
Hotline [Section 303(b) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act]	\$3,500,000	4,500,000	\$3,300,000	4,500,000
 31. Specialized Services for Abused Parents and their Children [Section 303(a)(2)(A)(i) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act] 	When appropriated amounts under Section 303 of the FVPSA exceeds \$130M, the Secretary shall make available not less than 25% of the excess amount	0	When appropriated amounts under Section 303 of the EVPSA exceeds \$130M, the Secretary shall make available not less than 25% of the excess amount	0
32. Federal Administration (Includes Center for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships)	Such sums	199,000,000	Such sums	206,200,000
33. Disaster Human Services Case Management [Authorization is being established through appropriations language]	\$2,000,000	1,864,000	\$2,000,000	1,864,000
Unfunded Authorizations:				
Statutory Citations	FY 2014 Amount Authorized	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Amount Authorized	FY 2015 Budget Request
1. Centers of Excellence in Early Childhood [Section 675B of the Head Start Act] (Authority expired 9/30/2012)	Such sums as may be necessary to make bonus grants to centers of excellence for activities described in section 675B(d) and 675B(e)	0	Such sums as may be necessary to make bonus grants to centers of excellence for activities described in section 675B(d) and 675B(e)	0

Sta	tutory Citations	FY 2014 Amount Authorized	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Amount Authorized	FY 2015 Budget Request
2.	Community Economic Development Program [Section 674(b)(3) of the Community Services Block Grant Act] (Authorization for the program expired at the end of FY 2003)	9% of section 674(a)	29,883,000	9% of section 674(a)	0
3.	Rural Community Facilities Program [Section 680(a)(3) of the Community Services Block Grant Act] (Authorization for the program expired at the end of FY 2003)	From amounts reserved under 674(b)(3) of the Community Services Block Grant Act	5,971,000	From amounts reserved under 674(b)(3) of the Community Services Block Grant Act	0
4.	Collaborative Grants to Increase Long-Term Stability of Victims [Section 41404 of the Violence Against Women Act] (Authorization expired at the end of FY 2011.)	\$10,000,000	0	\$10,000,000	0
5.	Domestic Violence Prevention Enhancement and Leadership through Alliances [Section 303(c) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act]	\$6,000,000	0	\$6,000,000	0
Tot	tal request level		\$10,346,943,000		\$10,277,062,000
Tot	tal request level against inite authorizations		\$508,846,000		\$510,325,000

		Authorization		
		Level in Last	Appropriations	
	Last Year of	Year of	in Last Year of	Appropriations
Program	Authorization	Authorization	Authorization	in FY 2014
Adoption Awareness				
Programs	FY 2005	Such sums	12,453,000	0
Native American				
Programs (including				
Language Preservation	FY 2002, FY			
Grants)	2012^{1}	Such sums	45,826,000	46,520,000
Community Services				
Block Grant	FY 2003	Such sums	645,762,000	674,000,000
Community Economic				
Development Program	FY 2003	9% of CSBG	27,082,000	29,883,000
Assets for Independence	FY 2003	\$25,000,000	24,827,000	19,026,000

Appropriations Not Authorized by Law

¹ The last year of authorization for the Native American Programs Act of 1974 was FY 2002. The last year of authorization for the corresponding Esther Martinez Native American Languages Preservation Act of 2006 was FY 2012.

Year	Budget Estimate to Congress	House <u>Allowance</u>	Senate <u>Allowance</u>	Appropriation
2006 Appropriation Rescission Section 202 Transfer <i>Hurricane Relief</i> Total	8,377,293,000	8,688,707,000	9,037,153,000	8,938,536,000 -89,334,000 -5,123,000 <i>90,000,000</i> 8,934,079,000
2007 Appropriation	8,238,603,000	8,652,666,000	8,856,185,000	8,938,454,000
2008 Appropriation Rescission Total	8,239,709,000	9,146,940,000	9,213,332,000	9,129,990,000 -159,501,000 8,970,489,000
2009 Appropriation Supplemental, P.L. 111-5 Total	8,493,210,000	9,305,723,000	9,184,205,000	9,301,111,000 3,150,000,000 12,451,111,000
2010 Appropriation 1% Transfer to HRSA Total	9,459,559,000	9,436,851,000	9,310,465,000	9,314,532,000 -1,352,000 9,313,180,000
2011 Appropriation Rescission Total	10,312,070,000	10,356,000,000	10,359,627,000	9,538,433,000 -19,077,000
2012 Rescission Total	9,639,598,000	9,989,073,000	9,845,685,000	9,519,356,000 9,926,709,000 -18,762,000
2013 Rescission Sequestration 1% Transfer Total	9,688,767,000			9,907,947,000 9,768,337,000 -19,537,000 -489,726,000 -20,339,000 9,238,735,000

Appropriations History Table

Year	Budget Estimate to Congress	House <u>Allowance</u>	Senate <u>Allowance</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
2014	11,083,182,000			10 246 042 000
2015	10,277,062,000			10,346,943,000

Amounts Available for Obligation

Budgetary Resources	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Annual, B.A.	\$9,768,337,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000
Rescission	-19,537,000	0	0
Sequestration	-489,726,000	0	0
Subtotal, Net Budget Authority	\$9,259,074,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000
Secretary's 1 % Transfer	-20,339,000	0	0
Transfer from: Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013	100,000,000	0	0
Transfer from: Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013, Sequestration	-5,023,000	0	0
Subtotal, Adjusted Budget Authority	\$9,333,712,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000
PHS Evaluation Fund	5,762,000	5,762,000	5,762,000
Offsetting Collections from Federal Funds	26,125,000	29,064,000	29,064,000
Unobligated balance, lapsing	-1,068,000	0	0
Unobligated balance, Disaster Relief, start of year	0	87,056,000	29,977,000
Unobligated balance, Disaster Relief, end of year	-87,056,000	-29,977,000	0
Total Obligations	\$9,277,476,000	\$10,438,848,000	\$10,341,864,000

Budget Authority by Activity

<u>Activity</u>	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 <u>Enacted</u>	FY 2015 President's Budget
Head Start	\$7,573,095,000	\$8,598,095,000	\$8,868,389,000
Runaway and Homeless Youth Program	91,101,000	97,000,000	99,000,000
Education and Prevention Grants to Reduce Sexual Abuse	16,751,000	17,141,000	17,141,000
Child Abuse State Grants	24,734,000	25,310,000	25,310,000
Child Abuse Discretionary Activities	24,091,000	28,744,000	28,744,000
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention	38,860,000	39,764,000	39,764,000
Child Welfare Services	262,622,000	268,735,000	268,735,000
Child Welfare Research, Training and Demonstration	24,416,000	24,984,000	24,984,000
Adoption Opportunities	36,662,000	40,622,000	40,622,000
Abandoned Infants Assistance Program	10,811,000	11,063,000	11,063,000
Chafee Education and Training Vouchers	42,273,000	43,257,000	43,257,000
Adoption Incentives	37,230,000	37,943,000	37,943,000
Native American Programs	45,462,000	46,520,000	46,520,000
Social Services Research and Demonstration	0	0	9,000,000
Disaster Human Services Case Management	1,864,000	1,864,000	1,864,000
Community Services Block Grant	635,284,000	674,000,000	350,000,000
Community Services Discretionary Activities	32,755,000	35,854,000	0
Assets for Independence	18,593,000	19,026,000	19,026,000
Battered Women's Shelters and Domestic Violence Hotline	124,217,000	138,021,000	139,500,000
Federal Administration	196,617,000	197,701,000	204,832,000
Center for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships	1,299,000	1,299,000	1,368,000
Total, Budget Authority	\$9,238,736,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000

Activity	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013	94,977,000	0	0
Total, Program Level	\$9,333,712,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000

Summary of Changes

	\$10,346,943,000
	(\$10,438,848,000)
	\$10,277,062,000
	(\$10,341,864,000)
	-\$69,881,000
FY 2014	Change from
Enacted	Base
\$500,000,000	+\$150,000,000
\$8,098,095,000	+\$120,294,000
\$0	+\$9,000,000
\$199,000,000	+\$7,200,000
\$0	+\$2,000,000
\$133,521,000	+\$1,479,000
	+\$289,973,000
	Enacted \$500,000,000 \$8,098,095,000 \$0 \$199,000,000 \$0

Decreases:

A. Program:		
1) Community Services Block Grant: Consistent with prior requests to target CSBG resources to high-performing, innovative agencies.	\$674,000,000	-\$324,000,000
2) Community Economic Development: No funding requested in FY 2015.	\$29,883,000	-\$29,883,000
3) Rural Community Facilities: No funding requested in FY 2015.	\$5,971,000	-\$5,971,000
Subtotal, Program Decreases		-\$359,854,000
Total, Decreases		-\$359,854,000
Net Change		-\$69,881,000

Justification

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$9,238,736,000	\$10,346,943,000	\$10,277,062,000	-\$69,881,000
Disaster Relief Appropriations of 2013	94,977,000	0	0	0
FTE	1,303	1,344	1,402	+58

General Statement

The Children and Families Services Programs appropriations account incorporates funding for programs serving children, youth, families, Native Americans, victims of child abuse and neglect and domestic violence, and other vulnerable populations. The FY 2015 request for Children and Families Services Programs is \$10,277,062,000; a decrease of \$69,881,000 from the FY 2014 enacted level.

Highlights of the FY 2015 request for Children and Families Services Programs include:

<u>Head Start (+\$270.3 million)</u> – This increase will allow ACF to directly support an estimated 929,139 Head Start and Early Head Start slots and provide access to high-quality early learning opportunities to more than 100,000 children through investments in Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships in the base Budget (+\$150 million) and the Opportunity, Growth, and Security Initiative (+\$800 million). A \$120 million increase is provided to support a 1.5 percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) that will allow Head Start programs to keep pace with increasing costs without diminishing quality or services to children and their families. This funding level also continues to include \$25 million to support implementation of in transitional funding for the Designation Renewal System (DRS), to minimize disruption of services to Head Start children and families during the transition period to new Head Start providers.

<u>Federal Administration (+\$7.2 million)</u> – This funding will provide continued support to the Department's Center for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships as well as support 1,402 FTE in ACF, an increase of 58 FTE from the FY 2014 estimate. The additional FTE will be funded entirely from program funding and support expanded program responsibilities under current law and President's Budget legislative requests.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth (+\$2 million)</u> – This request includes \$2 million for the Prevalence, Needs and Characteristics of Homeless Youth study to provide a periodic estimate of the incidence and prevalence of youth homelessness, which is currently authorized by the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act.

<u>Social Services Research and Demonstration (+\$9 million)</u> – This request includes \$3 million for a 5-year evaluation study to assess which features of early care and education programs most influence child outcomes, and how variations in such program features interact with characteristics of children, families and communities to produce results. In addition, this request includes \$6 million for the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-Being (NSCAW).

<u>Violent Crime Reduction (+\$1.5 million)</u> – The additional funding will be directed to shelter and supportive services under the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act.

The following programs are proposed for elimination in this budget: Community Economic Development and Rural Community Facilities, the same as the FY 2014 President's Budget.

HEAD START

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Head Start	\$7,668,072,000	\$8,098,095,000	\$8,218,389,000	+\$120,294,000
Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013	\$94,976,541	\$0	\$0	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 639 of the Head Start Act

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Head Start program was established as part of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-452) and is authorized through FY 2012 under the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-134). The program provides grants directly to local public and private non-profit and for-profit agencies to provide comprehensive early learning and development services to economically disadvantaged children and families, with a special focus on helping preschoolers develop the education and skills required to be successful in school. In FY 1995, the Early Head Start (EHS) program was established to serve pregnant women and children from birth to three years of age in recognition of the mounting evidence that the earliest years are critical to children's growth and development.

Head Start and EHS programs promote school readiness by enhancing the cognitive, physical behavioral and social-emotional development of children through the provision of educational, health, nutritional, social and other services to enrolled children and families. Head Start programs are expected to collaborate with other early care and education programs in their communities and to work closely with local school systems to assure the gains children achieve in Head Start are sustained as they leave Head Start and enter public school.

All Head Start grantees must, unless a waiver is granted, contribute 20 percent of the total cost of the program from non-federal funds. No more than 15 percent of total program costs may be used for program administration. At least 90 percent of the enrollees in a program must be children from families with income below the federal poverty level, families receiving public assistance, homeless families or children in foster care. However, if a program can show that it has met the needs of all interested and eligible families in its community, using the above criteria, that program may propose to fill up to 35 percent of funded enrollment with children whose family income is between 100 to 130 percent of the poverty line. Head Start programs must ensure that at least ten percent of funded enrollment is reserved for children with disabilities.

Head Start grants are awarded to public and private agencies on a competitive basis to provide comprehensive services that promote the school readiness of children ages birth to five from low-income families. Head Start grantees provide the services as described in the Head Start Performance Standards and in accordance with the Head Start Act of 2007. The Office of Head Start is responsible for oversight of these grantees, to ensure the performance standards are met and the best quality of care is provided to the enrolled children. The Head Start Enterprise System serves as the data repository to support the oversight of the data collection, monitoring and reporting requirements.

The Head Start Monitoring System investment enables the implementation of the Head Start Monitoring Protocol, an important tool used to gather data during on-site reviews. The Protocol measures seven areas of grantee performance and contains key indicators that are used to assess each grantee. Performance areas are centered around select Head Start Program Performance Standards, Head Start Act citations, and fiscal regulations. All performance areas include a series of key indicators, compliance measures, and targeted questions to consider that are used by the Monitoring Review Team to better evaluate each grantee. The IT system plays a fundamental role in collection and organization of evidence associated with the Protocol.

One-time emergency funding in FY 2013, provided under the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113-2), of \$100,000,000 from the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund was transferred to the Children and Family Services Program for disaster response and recovery and other expenses directly related to Hurricane Sandy for payments under the Head Start Act. These supplemental emergency funds are available to grantees affected by the hurricane, until the end of FY 2015, for repair or reconstruction of damaged Head Start centers and for temporary services including mental health services provided to children and their families served by these centers.

In FY 2013, Head Start funding was cut by approximately \$400 million due to sequestration, which resulted in 57,265 fewer children having access to Head Start as well as many programs having to reduce the number of days or hours that they served children. This funding was restored in FY 2014.

The FY 2014 appropriation also provided \$500 million to establish Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships, which expand access to high quality early learning and development opportunities for infants and toddlers. Through these Partnerships, Early Head Start grantees will partner with center-based and family child care providers who agree to meet the Program Performance Standards with funding and technical assistance from the Early Head Start program. Early Head Start grantees will be encouraged to partner with child care providers who serve a high proportion of children receiving child care subsidies to provide full-day, full year, high-quality slots that meet the needs of low-income working families. Of total funding, \$10 million is provided for the federal administration and evaluation of this program.

The FY 2014 funding is available through March 31, 2015 in order to provide sufficient time to initiate this new program and conduct a competition. All entities currently eligible to apply for Early Head Start funding will be able to apply for Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships. This includes states, local governments, public and private non-profits, and for-profit agencies. Programs will be required to meet the Early Head Start Performance Standards and partner with child care providers, especially those caring for children receiving a Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) subsidy. These new awards for Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships will be exempt from DRS requirements for a period of 18 months, the same grace period provided to existing grantees when the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007 became law. However, these grantees will continue to undergo Head Start monitoring during this time period.

Raising the Bar on Quality

Improving the quality of Head Start is a key element of the Administration's overall education agenda to help children meet their full potential and make our country more competitive. Children should be in engaging Head Start programs where activities are developmentally appropriate and promote increased vocabulary, early literacy, early math, problem solving, and healthy social interaction skills. Research has shown that Head Start helps prepare children for kindergarten; however, it also shows that more needs to be done to increase the effectiveness and lasting impact of the program.

Since Head Start was last reauthorized by Congress in 2007, the Department has taken dramatic steps to raise the bar on Head Start quality. ACF implemented the largest reform in Head Start's history with the Designation Renewal System (DRS), which provides a structure for identifying lower performing programs that are required to compete for continued funding. Grantees that fall short on quality benchmarks, including classroom quality, health and safety, financial accountability, and program management standards are designated for competition. Competitions began in 2012 and awards from the third round of competition will be made in FY 2015.

In FY 2015, we will build on the real progress that has been made with these reforms and improvements by expanding program duration and investing in teacher quality. Funds would be provided through the Opportunity, Growth, and Security Initiative for this purpose.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	
2013 Hurricane Sandy	
2014	

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Head Start program is \$8,868,389,000, an increase of \$270,294,000 above the FY 2014 enacted level. This funding level will provide services to an estimated 929,139 slots for Head Start and Early Head Start children and their families and tens of thousands additional children through Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships. This enrollment level reflects the decision of many grantees to convert Head Start slots into Early Head Start slots based on their assessments of community need and the improvements in quality that have been initiated by DRS. The Budget also provides a 1.5 percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) that will allow Head Start programs to keep pace with increasing costs without diminishing quality or services to children and their families. This funding level continues to include \$25 million in transitional funding for the Designation Renewal System (DRS) to minimize disruption of services to Head Start children and families during the transition period to new Head Start providers from a low-performing Head Start.

The request increases the funding for the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships by \$150 million from the FY 2014 enacted funding level to \$650 million in FY 2015. An additional \$800 million is provided through the Opportunity, Growth, and Security Initiative for Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships, for a total of \$1.5 billion, which would provide sufficient resources to support access to high-quality early learning opportunities for a total of more than 100,000 children.

¹ Fiscal Year 2013 Hurricane Sandy funding after the \$5 million sequestration reduction.

² Fiscal Year 2014 Head Start funding includes funding of \$500,000,000 to support the President's Early Head Start–Child Care Partnerships.

Performance Goals for Head Start

The 2007 reauthorization of the Head Start program raised standards for Head Start teacher qualifications, and significant progress has been made. The law required that by October 1, 2013, at least 50 percent of Head Start teachers nationwide in center-based programs have a BA or Advanced Degree in early childhood education. Based on data from FY 2013, we are far surpassing this requirement with 66 percent of Head Start center-based teachers having a BA or Advanced degree, nearly doubling the percentage compared with 10 years ago. The law also requires that as of October 1, 2011, all pre-school, center-based teachers who do not have a BA or Advanced Degree have at least an associate (AA) degree or higher as well as evidence of the relevance of their degree and experience in early childhood education. Thus the goal for fiscal years 2011 through 2015 for performance measure 3C is to reach 100 percent. The most recent FY 2013 data indicates that 94.6 percent of Head Start teachers had an AA degree or higher, missing the target of 100 percent, but improving over the FY 2012 result (93 percent). More Head Start teachers have degrees than ever before, and are better equipped to deliver quality instruction to Head Start children. Of the 44,973 Head Start teachers in FY 2013, 42,527 had an AA degree or higher; of these degreed teachers, 12,370 have an AA degree, 24,705 have a BA degree, and 5,452 have a graduate degree. Not included in these numbers are an additional 1,713 teachers with a Child Development Associate (CDA) or state credential (no degree) and the 232 teachers who do not have a degree but are enrolled in an Early Childhood Education (ECE) degree program. Of the teachers with a CDA or state credential, 54.3 percent are enrolled in an ECE degree program. ACF continues to provide training and technical assistance funds directly to grantees to increase the qualifications of teachers.

ACF is committed to ensuring that Head Start is serving the full number of children for which Congress has appropriated funds. Therefore, ACF has established an efficiency goal for the Head Start program of decreasing under-enrollment in Head Start programs. The most recent data available indicate that, during the 2012-2013 program year, Head Start grantees had, on average, not enrolled 0.7 percent of the children they were funded to serve, meeting the FY 2013 target. This represents approximately 6,250 children who could have been served using the Head Start funds appropriated and awarded to grantees. For FY 2015, under-enrollment in Head Start programs is projected to drop to 0.6 percent, as a result of continued program support and technical assistance. ACF has undertaken specific efforts to improve and standardize how grantees report enrollment. Per the 2007 reauthorization of the Head Start Act, ACF now collects online enrollment data on a monthly basis from all Head Start grantees through the Head Start Enterprise System, and will review these data semi-annually. The Office of Head Start (OHS) will collaborate with grantees to develop plans and timetables for reducing or eliminating under-enrollment and will provide technical assistance to implement such plans with those programs operating with less than their funded enrollment for more than four consecutive months, as described in the Head Start Act. In cases where grantees remain chronically under-enrolled, ACF has reduced their funding commensurate with the actual enrollment.

In support of the current Priority Performance Goal to improve the quality of early childhood education programs for low-income children, OHS is striving to increase the percentage of Head Start children in high quality classrooms. Progress is measured by reductions in the proportion of Head Start grantees receiving a score in the low range on the CLASS: Pre-K, which measures teacher-child interaction on a seven-point scale in three broad domains: emotional support, classroom organization, and instructional support. In FY 2012, OHS analyzed the CLASS: Pre-K data from the reviews that occurred during the FY 2012 monitoring year, which covered approximately one-third of Head Start grantees, and from this data established a baseline of 25 percent scoring in the low range on the CLASS: Pre-K. An analysis of CLASS scores for a new cohort of approximately one-third of Head Start grantees that received on-site monitoring in the 2012-2013 Head Start "school year" indicated that 31 percent of grantees scored in the low range, thus missing the FY 2013 target of 23 percent. OHS used this broader set of data to revise future performance targets and set a new target for the 2014 program year of 27 percent of grantees

scoring in the low range on the CLASS: Pre-K and 26 percent in the 2015 program year. Once the final cohort of Head Start grantees receive monitoring reviews in FY 2014, it will be possible to finalize a true baseline and set future performance goals in this domain.

All grantees scoring in the low range (below 2.5) in FY 2013 did so for the Instructional Support domain. (Nationwide for the CLASS: Pre-K tool, scores are typically higher in the domains of Emotional Support and Classroom Organization than in the domain of Instructional Support.) This data demonstrates that while Emotional Support and Classroom Organization scores are easier to move in one year's time, improving Instructional Support scores is far more difficult and requires more time and more intensive efforts. To date, OHS has invested in building its CLASS-related resources and making those resources available to grantees.

In response to the data from the FY 2013 CLASS reviews, OHS plans to provide more intentional targeted assistance to those grantees that score in the low range on CLASS. Using a case management approach, OHS will flag grantees that score in the low range, conduct more analysis on the specific dimensions that are particularly challenging for those grantees, and develop a process for working more directly with those grantees on strategies for improvement. By FY 2015, OHS aims to achieve a target of 26 percent by providing training and technical assistance to grantees based on their CLASS scores, conducting inter-rater reliability checks to ensure the reliability of CLASS assessments, and conducting CLASS trainings for additional assessors needed to maintain reliability of CLASS reviewers.

Head Start maintains three IT investments - the Head Start Enterprise System (HSES), the Head Start Monitoring System (HSMS), and the Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center (ECLKC). The HSES provides a single, authoritative repository of up-to-date information about Head Start grantees and program operations, supporting long term goal 3.6 and annual performance measures 3B, 3C, and 3F. The HSMS contains both the instruments and process used to conduct federal monitoring of Head Start grantees supporting annual performance goal 3D. The ECLKC offers relevant, timely information to Head Start grantees and the early childhood community in an easy-to-use format. It continues to grow and evolve and is designed to be a comprehensive public resource for anyone involved with or interested in early childhood education.

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>3A</u> : Reduce the proportion of Head Start grantees receiving a score in the low range on any of the three domains on the basis of the Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS: Pre- K). (Outcome)	FY 2013: 31% Target: 23% (Target Not Met)	27% ¹ (Average of FY12+FY13 results –1PP)	26% (Average of FY12+FY13 results –2PP)	- 1
<u>3.6LT and 3B</u> : Increase the percentage of Early Head Start children completing all medical screenings. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 84.3% Target: 93% (Target Not Met)	93%	93%	Maintain
<u>3.7LT</u> : Percentage of parents of children in pre-K Head Start year who report reading to child three times per week. (Outcome)	FY 2010: 77% Target: N/A (Historical Actual)	N/A	80%	N/A
<u>3C:</u> Increase the percentage of Head Start teachers with AA, BA, Advanced Degree, or a degree in a field related to early childhood education. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 94.6% Target: 100% (Target Not Met but Improved)	100%	100%	Maintain
<u>3D</u> : Reduce the number of grantees with repeat deficiencies through the provision of targeted technical assistance. ² (Outcome)	FY 2013: 1 grantee Target: 0 grantees (Target Not Met)	0 grantees	0 grantees	Maintain

¹ The FY 2014 and FY 2015 targets for this performance measure have been updated based on the most recent data.

² The purpose of this measure is to respond to the 2005 GAO report that cited grantees with repeat financial deficiencies. The goal of this measure is to capture data on grantees that have repeat financial deficiencies as monitored through Office of Head Start monitoring review data. The FY 2005 – 2006 data found 25 grantees had one or more deficiencies in financial management. Monitoring data from FY 2008 – 2009 determined that two grantees had repeat financial deficiencies, thus two grantees is the baseline. Instead of tracking the same grantees every three years, OHS is modifying this measure to report annually how many grantees in that year have repeat financial deficiencies from their monitoring review. Modifying the measure to look at repeat financial deficiencies among all Head Start grantees annually, as opposed to focusing on the same grantees every three years, is more consistent with the purpose of the measure.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>3F</u> : Decrease under-enrollment in Head Start programs, thereby	FY 2013: 0.7%	0.6% (Prior Result	Prior Result -0.1PP	N/A
increasing the number of	Target:	-0.1PP)	-0.111	
children served per dollar.	0.7%	0.111)		
(Efficiency)				
	(Target Met)			
<u>3i</u> : Number of Early Head Start	FY 2013: 126,594	N/A	N/A	N/A
medical screenings completed. ³	(Historical Astual)			
(Output) 3ii: Number of Head Start	(Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
teachers without a degree who	FY 2013: 2,811	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
are enrolled in an Early	(Historical Actual)			
Childhood Education degree				
program. (Output)				
<u>3iii</u> : Number of Head Start	FY 2013: 52,923	N/A	N/A	N/A
teachers with at least an AA				
degree. (Output)	(Historical Actual)	27/4		NT/A
<u>3iv</u> : Number of teacher's aides	FY 2013: 14,517	N/A	N/A	N/A
with at least an AA degree. (Output)	(Historical Actual)			
3v: Number of Head Start staff	FY 2013: 57,317	N/A	N/A	N/A
who are current or former Head	1 1 2013. 37,317	1 1/ 2 1	1.1/21	1.1/1.1
Start parents. (Output)	(Historical Actual)			

³ The Head Start output measures listed include Head Start, Early Head Start, and Migrant and Seasonal Head Start programs.

Resource and Program Data Head Start

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$7,280,376,635	\$7,782,419,626	\$7,899,155,920
(Head Start)	(6,055,802,584)	(6,413,589,992)	(6,509,793,842)
(Early Head Start)	(1,224,574,051)	(1,368,829,634)	(1,389,362,578
DRS Transition Funding	23,561,221	25,000,000	25,000,000
Reauthorization	25,501,221	25,000,000	23,000,000
Research/Evaluation	18,914,007	20,000,000	20,000,000
Demonstration/Development	10,714,007	20,000,000	20,000,000
Training/Technical Assistance	189,329,847	203,321,704	205,459,725
(TTA Head Start)	(146,468,158)	(160,852,520)	(162,326,856
(TTA Early Head Start)	(42,861,689)	(42,469,184)	(42,915,767
Monitoring Support	39,749,101	42,000,000	42,000,000
Program Support	21,150,064	25,353,670	26,773,355
Total, Resources	\$7,573,080,875	\$8,098,095,000	\$8,218,389,00
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	2,073	2,137	2,18
New Starts			
#	405	420	46.
\$	\$1,002,871,379	\$917,706,240	\$854,476,883
Continuations			
#	1,668	1,717	1,723
\$	\$6,410,657,010	\$7,003,385,440	\$7,184,468,433
Contracts			
#	41	40	43
\$	\$146,869,335	\$164,092,913	\$164,685,449
Interagency Agreements			
#	7	6	8
\$	\$9,027,006	\$8,237,919	\$8,322,351

Notes:

1. Total Resources - The following Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships funding is not included in this total: Competitive grant funding of \$477.5 million in FY 2014 and \$618.7 million in FY 2015; Research/Evaluation funding of \$825 thousand in FY 2014 and \$3.7 million in FY 2015; Monitoring Support funding of \$5.9 million in FY 2015; and Program Support funding of \$10 million in FY 2014 and \$15 million in FY 2015 for Federal Administration and Evaluation activities associated.

2. DRS Transition Funding - FY 2014 and FY 2015 funding will be used to implement the Designation Renewal System.

3. Research/Evaluation includes funding authorized under Section 640(a)(2)(D) of the Head Start Act that includes a funding limit of no more than \$20 million. Information technology support, contract fees and overhead cost related to research are paid from these funds.

4. Monitoring Support - Monitoring/on-site reviews funding authorized under Section 640(a)(2)(E) of the Head Start Act that includes a funding limit of not more than \$42 million.

5. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, contract fees and panel reviews costs.

Number of Grantees, Children, Staff and Classroom Data	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted ²	FY 2015 Estimate
Number of Grantees	1,668	1,622	1,672
Funded Slots for Children in Head Start Programs:	903,679	929,139	929,139
(Head Start)	796,953	813,313	813,313
(Early Head Start)	106,726	115,826	115,826
Number of Staff	236,730	243,095	243,095
Number of Teachers	62,935	72,402	72,402
Percent of Staff that are Teachers	27%	30%	30%
Average Teacher Salary	\$28,000	\$28,792	\$29,220
Number of Teachers with AA Degree	17,765	21,000	21,000
Percent of Teachers with AA Degree	28%	29%	29%
Average Teacher Salary with AA Degree	\$24,500	\$24,819	\$25,190
Number of Teachers with BA Degree	29,202	34,030	34,030
Percent of Teachers with BA Degree	46%	47%	47%
Average Teacher Salary with BA Degree	\$29,900	\$30,289	\$30,740
Number of Teachers with Advanced Degree	5,956	6,120	6,120
Percent of Teachers with Advanced Degree	9%	8%	8%
Average Teacher Salary with Advanced Degree	\$39,500	\$40,014	\$40,610
Number of Head Start (pre-school) Teachers ³	44,973	46,240	46,240
Percent of head Start Teachers with BA degree or higher, in Early Childhood Education/related field	62%	67%	68%
Average Salary for a full-time Head Start (pre-school) teacher	\$29,700	\$30,086	\$30,540
Volunteers	1,234,569	1,471,024	1,471,024
Number of Classrooms	57,209	59,167	59,167

Additional Head Start Program Data¹

¹ The FY 2014 enacted and FY 2015 estimate does not factor the impact of the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships in the totals shown in this table.

 $^{^{2}}$ The FY 2013 includes some of the impacts of the funding reductions as a result of sequestration.

³ These teacher credentials only include the Early Head Start data all other teacher degrees categories include teachers in all types of Head Start programs including Early Head Start and Migrant and Seasonal Head Start.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Head Start

FY 2015 Competitive Grants

			CFDA # 93.600	
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
41.1	110 107 425	127 242 020	100 050 074	1 010 144
Alabama	119,127,435	127,342,930	129,253,074	1,910,144
Alaska	13,620,059	14,559,352	14,777,742	218,390
Arizona	115,364,819	123,320,830	125,170,642	1,849,812
Arkansas	71,235,587	76,148,272	77,290,496	1,142,224
California	907,791,108	970,355,599	984,910,932	14,555,333
Colorado	76,563,134	81,843,228	83,070,876	1,227,648
Connecticut	55,675,595	59,515,202	60,407,930	892,728
Delaware	14,537,628	15,540,200	15,773,303	233,103
District of Columbia	26,406,201	28,227,276	28,650,685	423,409
Florida	296,886,652	317,361,122	322,121,539	4,760,417
Georgia	188,185,745	201,163,773	204,181,230	3,017,457
Hawaii	24,252,595	25,925,150	26,314,027	388,877
Idaho	25,823,967	27,604,888	28,018,961	414,073
Illinois	297,848,105	318,388,880	323,164,713	4,775,833
Indiana	109,182,574	116,712,233	118,462,916	1,750,683
Iowa	56,161,053	60,034,140	60,934,652	900,512
Kansas	56,665,929	60,573,834	61,482,442	908,608
Kentucky	118,926,772	127,128,428	129,035,354	1,906,926
Louisiana	159,175,043	170,152,378	172,704,664	2,552,286
Maine	29,881,312	31,942,044	32,421,175	479,131
Mamiland	94 707 956	00 540 642	01 007 997	1 259 245
Maryland Massachusetts	84,707,856	90,549,642 124,311,175	91,907,887	1,358,245
	116,291,273		126,175,843	1,864,668
Michigan Minnesota	253,637,405	271,129,236 84,870,462	275,196,175	4,066,939 1,273,057
Mississippi	79,395,066 170,863,528	182,646,947	86,143,519 185,386,651	2,739,704
	101 (00.404	140 7 (1 (22	142 072 046	0 111 404
Missouri	131,680,424	140,761,622	142,873,046	2,111,424
Montana	22,728,186	24,295,610	24,660,044	364,434
Nebraska	39,976,290	42,733,212	43,374,210	640,998
Nevada	28,389,666	30,347,529	30,802,742	455,213
New Hampshire	14,726,242	15,741,821	15,977,948	236,127
New Jersey	141,738,929	151,513,802	153,786,509	2,272,707
New Mexico	59,271,662	63,359,268	64,309,657	950,389
New York	468,088,685	500,369,917	507,875,466	7,505,549
North Carolina	162,733,497	173,956,238	176,565,582	2,609,344
North Dakota	19,008,227	20,319,109	20,623,896	304,787

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	271.641.292	290,374,742	294,730,363	4,355,621
Oklahoma	92,546,734	98,929,120	100,413,057	1,483,937
Oregon	66,619,228	71,213,552	72,281,755	1,068,203
Pennsylvania	248,077,875	265,186,298	269,164,092	3,977,794
Rhode Island	23,731,021	25,367,606	25,748,120	380,514
South Carolina	94,007,553	100,490,683	101,998,043	1,507,360
South Dakota	20,472,763	21,884,644	22,212,914	328,270
Tennessee	129,934,956	138,895,781	140,979,218	2,083,437
Texas	530,284,863	566,855,389	575,358,220	8,502,831
Utah	42,748,187	45,696,269	46,381,713	685,444
Vermont	14,349,584	15,339,186	15,569,274	230,088
Virginia	109,243,252	116,777,096	118,528,752	1,751,656
Washington	111,301,412	118,977,193	120,761,851	1,784,658
West Virginia	55,150,049	58,953,413	59,837,714	884,301
Wisconsin	99,670,343	106,544,001	108,142,161	1,598,160
Wyoming	12,733,819	13,611,994	13,816,174	204,180
Subtotal	6,479,061,180	6,925,842,316	7,029,729,949	103,887,633
Indian Tribes	212,154,294	226,785,288	230,187,067	3,401,779
Subtotal	212,154,294	226,785,288	230,187,067	3,401,779
American Samoa	2,146,605	2,294,643	2,329,063	34,420
Guam	2,349,935	2,511,995	2,549,675	37,680
Northern Mariana Islands	1,661,469	1,776,049	1,802,690	26,641
Palau	1,331,245	1,423,052	1,444,398	21,346
Puerto Rico	263,475,474	281,645,776	285,870,463	4,224,687
Virgin Islands	8,930,321	9,546,191	9,689,384	143,193
Migrant Program	309,266,112	330,594,316	335,553,231	4,958,915
Subtotal	589,161,161	629,792,022	639,238,904	9,446,882
Total States/Territories	7,280,376,635	7,782,419,626	7,899,155,920	116,736,294
Discretionary Funds	23,561,221	25,000,000	25,000,000	0
Other	79,813,172	87,353,670	88,773,355	1,419,685
Training and Technical				
Assistance	189,329,847	203,321,704	205,459,725	2,138,021
Subtotal, Adjustments	292,704,240	315,675,374	319,233,080	3,557,706
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$7,573,080,875	\$8,098,095,000	\$8,218,389,000	\$120,294,000

Notes:

1. No funding related to the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships is included for these activities in the table.

2. Discretionary Funds - FY 2014 and FY 2015 include \$25 million to minimize disruptions in Head Start services to children and families during the implementation of the Designation Renewal System. The Competitive grant funding for the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships of \$477.5 million in FY 2014 and \$618.7 million in FY 2015 is not included in this total.

3. Other - Includes funding for Research/Evaluation, Monitoring Support, and Program Support. The Research/Evaluation totals are \$825 thousand in FY 2014 and \$3.7 million in FY 2015; the Monitoring Support total is \$5.9 million in FY 2015; and the Program Support totals are

thousand in FY 2014 and \$3.7 million in FY 2015; the Monitoring Support total is \$5.9 million in FY 2015; and the Program Support totals are \$10 million in FY 2014 and \$15 million in FY 2015 for Federal Administration and Evaluation activities associated.

4. Training and Technical Assistance - No training and technical assistance funding is included in the table for the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships in FY 2014 (\$12.5 million) and FY 2015 (\$16.2 million).

RUNAWAY AND HOMELESS YOUTH PROGRAM

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Basic Center Program	\$50,097,000	\$53,350,000	\$53,350,000	\$0
Transitional Living Program	41,004,000	43,650,000	43,650,000	0
Prevalence, Needs and Characteristics of Homeless Youth	0	0	2,000,000	+2,000,000
Total, Budget Authority	\$91,101,000	\$97,000,000	\$99,000,000	+\$2,000,000

Authorizing Legislation - Section 388 of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act

2015 Authorization Such sums as may be appropriated pending congressional action

<u>Program Description and Accomplishments</u> – The Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Program serves as the national leader for the provision of shelter services to unaccompanied homeless youth. The RHY program administers grants to public and private organizations to establish and operate youth emergency shelter and transitional living programs.

Part A, the **Basic Center Program (BCP)**, funds grants to community-based public and private agencies for the provision of outreach, crisis intervention, temporary shelter, counseling, family unification and aftercare services to runaway and homeless youth and their families. Basic Centers can provide up to 21 days of shelter for as many as 20 youth at each facility with an exception in those jurisdictions that require a higher limit in order to be licensed as a BCP. Funds available for the BCP are allotted among the states using a formula based on the population of youth under age 18 as a proportion of the national population. BCPs provide youth with an opportunity to receive individual and family counseling, mental and physical health services as well as education and employment assistance.

Part B, the **Transitional Living Program** (**TLP**), provides grants to public and private organizations for community-based, adult-supervised group homes and host homes for youth ages 16 to 22 who cannot safely live with their families. Youth entering a TLP under the age of 18 are eligible for up to 21 months of service until they reach the age of 18. All youth between the ages 18 and 22 are eligible for up to 18 months of TLP services. TLPs provide long-term safe, stable, and nurturing environment for homeless youth. Services include counseling in basic life skills, interpersonal skill building, educational advancement, job attainment skills, and physical and behavioral health care. These services are designed to help youth that are homeless develop the skills necessary to make a successful transition to self-sufficient living. The TLP also funds maternity group homes, which are specifically designed to meet the needs of pregnant and parenting homeless youth. These homes provide the services described above in addition to parenting support.

Funding also is provided for the national toll-free runaway and homeless youth crisis hotline that responds to between 100,000-120,000 calls a year. Of these calls, 44 percent come from youth, 36 percent come from parents and the remaining 20 percent are general information and client-related calls.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010 \$97,734,00	0
2011 \$97,539,00	0
2012 \$97,355,00	0
2013	0
2014 \$99,000,00	

In an attempt to gain greater insight into how RHY programs support the lives of youth transitioning into adulthood, FY 2013 marked the beginning of the Transitional Living Program Evaluation. The ACF Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) has contracted with Abt Associates, Inc, to conduct a study which is designed to capture service dosage, program design, and youth outcomes around housing, protective factors, and well-being. Data will be captured at baseline, three, six, twelve, and eighteen months for the 1250 youth who will make up the experimental and comparison groups. This five year study is expected to conclude in FY 2017.

During FY 2013, the TLP program exceeded the target of 86 percent with an actual result of 87.7 percent safe exit rate, defined as discharge from the program into an immediate living situation that is both safe and appropriate (one of 28 specific living situations). Programs are expected to maintain a safe exit rate of 86 percent or better through FY 2015. Improvements in this area were achieved through ACF's promotion and support of innovative strategies that help grantees: (1) encourage youth to complete the program and achieve their developmental goals instead of dropping out; (2) stay connected with youth as they transition out of program residencies and provide preventive, follow-up and after care services; (3) track exiting youth more closely; (4) report accurate data and maintain updated youth records to reduce the number of youth whose exit situations are unknown; and (5) analyze data to discover patterns of participation and opportunities for improved services. These objectives are consistently communicated through conferences, technical assistance services, mailings, conference calls, webinars and the direct efforts of federal staff through continuous oversight and onsite monitoring. These efforts also are supported by the FYSB-funded Runaway and Homeless Youth Training and Technical Assistance Center operating out of Louisville, KY.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Runaway and Homeless Youth Program is \$99,000,000, an increase of \$2,000,000 from the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will support 309 Basic Center Programs and 221 Transitional Living Programs. This request includes \$2 million for the Prevalence, Needs and Characteristics of Homeless Youth study to provide a periodic estimate of the incidence and prevalence of youth homelessness, which is currently authorized by the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act.

These new funds are being requested again this year directly in support of the Administration's *Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness*. As the most far-reaching and ambitious plan to end homelessness in our history, *Opening Doors*, sets forth a goal of ending youth homelessness by 2020. The framework for the plan to end youth homelessness lays out a set of strategies for data collection to obtain confident estimates of the number of unaccompanied homeless youth; on-going information collection on characteristics and needs of unaccompanied homeless youth; and building the capacity and using effective interventions to prevent homelessness, reduce new homelessness, and eliminate chronic homelessness. Prevalence estimates on homeless youth and the information that will be generated relating to needs and characteristics will show the overall scale of the problem of youth homelessness at given times; demonstrate the extent to which more or fewer youth are becoming homeless; provide clarity on the magnitude of the problem and facilitate action toward reducing rates and episodes of youth homelessness; and significantly improve understanding of the diversity of needs for this

population to enable the implementation of more effective and efficient service strategies at the program level.

In addition to requesting new funds for the Prevalence, Needs, and Characteristics of Homeless Youth study, ACF proposes a reauthorization for programmatic changes including revising the area of demonstration for the next 5-year period to test interventions including services to Lesbians, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning (LGBTQ) Youth in alignment with the U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness Framework for Ending Youth Homelessness. Studies suggest that LGBTQ youth represent a disproportionately large share of homeless youth when compared to the overall population. Such projects would help to fill a gap in knowledge on effective interventions for this highly vulnerable population. Changes were proposed to improve services and data collection as discussed in the A-19.

In an effort to build capacity of TLP programs to serve youth who identify as LGBTQ who are experiencing homelessness, FYSB awarded a grant/cooperative agreement in September 2013 to build the capacity of RHY providers to serve LGBTQ youth. The purpose of this targeted 3-year grant/cooperative agreement is to support knowledge development to strengthen efforts for better understanding and addressing the needs of LGBTQ youth experiencing homelessness. Efforts include identifying innovative LGBTQ specific intervention strategies, determining culturally appropriate screening and assessment tools, learning of services and systems of support that respond to the needs of the LGBTQ youth, pinpointing the gaps in services, and better understanding the needs of LGBTQ youth served by RHY agencies.

The RHY program continues its effort to improve efficiency. For example, the TLP program has concentrated on the goal of "program completion." The program completion rate is derived from the number of exiting TLP youth who have completed their program according to their individual plan plus those who finish early as a result of a positive opportunity, divided by all TLP youth who exited the program. Program completion is associated with safer exits and improved educational and employment progress. The program has succeeded in increasing the completion rate of youth in the TLP program from 45.6 percent in FY 2005 to 63 percent in FY 2013, exceeding the FY 2013 target of 58 percent. By FY 2015, it is expected that 62 percent of youth will either complete their plans successfully or leave ahead of schedule based on a positive opportunity.

The FY 2015 request for this program is aligned with the *Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness* September 2012 amendment for *Meeting the Needs of Unaccompanied Youth.* For the past year, ACF has worked to review and identify improved performance measures and program indicators to help assess long term outcomes experienced by youth who use our shelter programs. ACF has also joined with other federal partners that serve homeless populations to discuss moving toward a shared language, shared data elements and data collection instruments.

The 2015 Budget supports ongoing interagency efforts to improve outcomes for disconnected youth by providing targeted funding and continuing last year's request for Performance Partnerships pilot authority. Performance Partnership pilots would allow states and localities enhanced flexibility in administering federal discretionary youth-serving programs in exchange for greater accountability for results. The Budget requests a total of \$25 million — \$15 million in Department of Education and \$10 million in the Department of Labor's Workforce Innovation Fund — to support pilot development through targeted technical assistance and other cross-agency activities to improve educational, employment and other key outcomes for disconnected youth. These may include youth-focused Pay for Success projects and evaluations. The Department of Health and Human Services does not request additional funds for this purpose, but will continue to collaborate with the Department of Education and the Department of Labor on these pilots.

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>4.1LT and 4A</u> : Increase the proportion of youth living in safe and appropriate settings after exiting ACF-funded Transitional Living Program (TLP) services. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 87.7% Target: 86% (Target Exceeded)	86%	86%	Maintain
4.2LT and 4B: Increase the proportion of youth who complete the Transitional Living Program (TLP) by graduating or who leave ahead of schedule based upon an opportunity. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 63.5% Target: 58% (Target Exceeded)	60%	62%	+2
<u>4C</u> : Increase the proportion of Transitional Living Program (TLP) youth who are engaged in community service and service learning activities while in the program. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 36.9% Target: 39.8% (Target Not Met)	37.6% (Prior Result +2%)	Prior Result +2%	N/A
4D: Maintain the proportion of youth who are prevented from running as a result of Basic Center Programs' (BCP) non-shelter, preventive services as a percentage of all youth receiving such services. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 94.2% Target: 96% (Target Not Met)	96%	96%	Maintain
4i: Number of Basic Center Program grants. (Output)	FY 2013: 321 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
4ii: Number of youth entered BCP for services in the shelter. (Output)	FY 2013: 34,550 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
4iii: Number of Transitional Living Program grants. (Output)	FY 2013: 205 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>4iv</u> : Number of youth entered TLP for services in the residency. (Output)	FY 2013: 3,322 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Resource and Program Data Basic Center Program

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$45,128,726	\$48,015,000	\$48,015,000
Research/Evaluation	769,207	702,668	702,668
Demonstration/Development	191,577	350,000	350,000
Training/Technical Assistance	3,483,861	3,728,895	3,728,895
Program Support	523,390	553,437	553,437
Total, Resources	\$50,096,761	\$53,350,000	\$53,350,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	305	309	309
New Starts			
#	97	116	93
\$	\$15,195,409	\$18,516,163	\$14,943,033
Continuations			
#	208	193	216
\$	\$32,421,815	\$32,348,837	\$35,921,967
Contracts			
#	5	4	4
\$	\$2,238,659	\$2,244,122	\$2,244,122
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$240,260	\$240,260	\$240,260

Notes:
1. Training and Technical Assistance - Includes training and technical assistance, National Clearinghouse logistical support, management information systems and hotline.
2. Program Support - Includes information technology support, printing, contract fees and grants/panel review costs.

Resource and Program Data Transitional Living Program

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$37,154,500	\$39,285,000	\$39,285,000
Research/Evaluation	539,021	539,021	539,021
Demonstration/Development	861,480	800,000	800,000
Training/Technical Assistance	1,744,341	2,118,947	2,118,947
Program Support	704,965	907,032	907,032
Total, Resources	\$41,004,307	\$43,650,000	\$43,650,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	211	221	221
New Starts			
#	104	44	(
\$	\$18,893,498	\$7,220,361	\$0
Continuations			
#	107	177	221
\$	\$19,975,264	\$34,064,639	\$41,285,000
Contracts			
#	3	4	3
\$	\$1,658,128	\$1,887,501	\$1,887,501
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	2
\$	\$476,799	\$476,799	\$476,799

Notes:

1. Training and Technical Assistance - Includes training and technical assistance, National Clearinghouse logistical support, management information systems and hotline.

2. Program Support - Includes information technology support, printing, contract fees and grants/panel review costs.

Resource and Program Data Prevalence, Needs and Characteristics of Homeless Youth

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			\$2,000,000
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support			
Total, Resources	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	0	0	1
New Starts			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Continuations			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES State Table - Runaway and Homeless Youth - Basic Center

FY 2015 Formula Grants

CFDA # 93.623

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
41-1		710 722	710 702	0
Alabama	646,460 187,160	710,723 200,000	710,723 200,000	0 0
Alaska Arizona	935,762	1,030,291	1,030,291	0
Arizona Arkansas	401,315	425,515	425,515	0
California	5,560,913	5,677,925	5,677,925	0
Colorado	726,287	730,636	730,636	0
Connecticut	480,472	517,502	517,502	0
Delaware	124,288	200,000	200,000	0
District of Columbia	683,035	465,995	465,995	0
Florida	2,286,492	2,474,593	2,474,593	0
Georgia	1,305,325	1,555,833	1,555,833	0
Hawaii	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
Idaho	227,994	242,179	242,179	0
Illinois	1,817,256	1,942,405	1,942,405	0
Indiana	862,083	975,386	975,386	0
Iowa	408,790	435,230	435,230	0
Kansas	260,187	428,047	428,047	0
Kentucky	899,502	924,861	924,861	0
Louisiana	657,370	691,275	691,275	0
Maine	357,998	286,937	286,937	0
Maryland	372,747	794,623	794,623	0
Massachusetts	1,052,693	903,692	903,692	0
Michigan	2,028,333	1,516,548	1,516,548	0
Minnesota	972,394	769,158	769,158	0
Mississippi	382,451	476,488	476,488	0
Missouri	799,195	882,435	882,435	0
Montana	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
Nebraska	301,573	279,590	279,590	0
Nevada	171,600	410,118	410,118	0
New Hampshire	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
New Jersey	1,186,116	1,267,031	1,267,031	0
New Mexico	702,468	306,227	306,227	0
New York	2,483,380	2,754,988	2,754,988	0
North Carolina	1,321,643	1,364,677	1,364,677	0
North Dakota	187,160	200,000	200,000	0

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	1,592,626	1,693,283	1,693,283	0
Oklahoma	490,353	543,160	543,160	0
Oregon	1,163,507	594,335	594,335	0
Pennsylvania	1,111,026	1,755,374	1,755,374	0
Rhode Island	168,564	200,000	200,000	0
South Carolina	374,159	658,714	658,714	0
South Dakota	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
Tennessee	775,120	914,506	914,506	0
Texas	3,856,969	4,036,505	4,036,505	0
Utah	514,497	511,010	511,010	0
Vermont	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
Virginia	729,924	1,134,910	1,134,910	0
Washington	1,231,770	982,479	982,479	0
West Virginia	179,482	241,030	241,030	0
Wisconsin	774,661	806,406	806,406	0
Wyoming	187,160	200,000	200,000	0
Subtotal	44,876,060	47,112,620	47,112,620	0
American Samoa	0	70,000	70,000	0
Guam	65,506	70,000	70,000	0
Northern Mariana Islands	0	70,000	70,000	0
Puerto Rico	187,160	622,380	622,380	0
Virgin Islands	0	70,000	70,000	0
Subtotal	252,666	902,380	902,380	0
Total States/Territories	45,128,726	48,015,000	48,015,000	0
Other	1,484,174	1,606,105	1,606,105	0
Training and Technical	1,	1,000,100	1,000,100	Ũ
Assistance	3,483,861	3,728,895	3,728,895	0
Subtotal, Adjustments	4,968,035	5,335,000	5,335,000	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$50,096,761	\$53,350,000	\$53,350,000	\$0

SERVICE CONNECTION FOR YOUTH ON THE STREETS

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$16,751,000	\$17,141,000	\$17,141,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 351 of the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Runaway and Homeless Youth Act authorizes funding for grants to public and private organizations for street-based services to runaway, homeless, and street youth, who have been subjected to, or are at risk of being subjected to, sexual abuse, prostitution, sexual exploitation, or other forms of victimization.

Youth receive provisions for their basic needs, including food, clothing, hygiene or first aid packages, information about services and safe places, and encouragement to enter them. An established data collection tool captures the number of contacts, as well as the tangible assistance and information on referral services to Runaway and Homeless Youth shelters.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010 \$1'	7,971,000
2011 \$1'	7,935,000
2012 \$1'	7,901,000
2013 \$10	5,751,000
2014 \$1'	7,141,000

Since FY 2010, a performance measure for the Street Outreach Program (SOP) was established to capture the average number of street youth contacts per agency who agree to leave the street and spend at least one night in a shelter setting. The FY 2013 actual result shows an agency average of 153 street youth who accepted shelter, demonstrating a decrease for performance on this measure as compared to the previous year (FY 2012 actual result of 165). Contacts for this program have also decreased from 772,732 reported in FY 2012 to 668,165 contracts in FY 2013. During FY 2013, Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) programs were significantly impacted by the sequestration where RHY grantees were required to take a reduction in funding for the year. This undoubtedly created hardships for service providers who were tasked to serve an extremely vulnerable population and try to maintain the same level of services with less financial support. The ACF Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) has been working with grantees to ensure that contacts and outreach services reflect on the ground personal contact and interaction with vulnerable street youth in places where they congregate.

In 2012, the first ever SOP data collection effort was launched to examine the implementation of services. Specifically, the purpose of the SOP Data Collection Project is to obtain information on service utilization and needs from a subset of homeless street youth being served by eleven of FYSB's SOP grantees. The goal is to learn about street youths' needs from their perspective, to better understand which services youth find helpful/not helpful, and/or alternative services they feel could be useful to them. Data are

collected from youth via focus groups and computer-assisted personal interviews. The findings from the effort are expected in spring of FY 2014.

Information related to the program evaluation is included under the Runaway and Homeless Youth program section.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Service Connection for Youth on the Street is \$17,141,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will be used for the SOP to assist private, non-profit agencies in meeting the critical needs of the runaway, homeless and street youth population by building relationships between grantee staff and youth receiving street-based outreach services and educational information.

As previously noted, the SOP established a performance measure to track the average number of street youth contacts per agency who are provided shelter for at least one night. By FY 2015, the program aims to improve by at least two percent over the previous year's actual result.

The FY 2015 President's Budget request for this program is aligned with the Opening Doors: the Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness September 2012 amendment for *Meeting the Needs of Unaccompanied Youth*.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>4E</u> : Increase the average number of annual street youth contacts per Street Outreach Program grantee who are provided shelter for at least one night. (Outcome)	FY 2013: 153 Target: 168 (Target Not Met)	156 (Prior Result +2%)	Prior Result +2%	N/A
<u>4v</u> : Number of Street Outreach Program (SOP) grants. (Output)	FY 2013: 138 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Resource and Program Data Service Connection for Youth on the Streets

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
			Ŭ
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$14,789,168	\$15,426,900	\$15,426,900
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance	625,369	315,258	315,258
Program Support	1,336,686	1,398,842	1,398,842
Total, Resources	\$16,751,223	\$17,141,000	\$17,141,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	109	129	129
New Starts			
#	52	20	43
\$	\$8,036,971	\$2,248,804	\$5,141,125
Continuations			
#	57	109	86
\$	\$7,113,566	\$13,178,096	\$10,285,775
Contracts			
#	3	2	2
\$	\$1,360,426	\$1,473,840	\$1,473,840
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	2	2
\$	\$240,260	\$240,260	\$240,260

Notes:

1. Program Support - Includes funding for information technology support, contract fees and grants/panel review costs.

CAPTA STATE GRANTS

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$24,734,000	\$25,310,000	\$25,310,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 112(a)(1) of Title I of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act

Allocation MethodFormula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) State Grant program provides grants to states to improve child protective service systems. Grants are based on an initial allocation of \$50,000 per state with additional funds distributed in proportion to the state's population of children under the age of 18. This program assists states in improving: intake, assessment, screening and investigation of child abuse and neglect reports; risk and safety assessment protocols; training for child protective services workers and mandated reporters; programs and procedures for the identification, prevention and treatment of child abuse and neglect; development and implementation of procedures for collaboration among child protection services, domestic violence, and other agencies; and services to disabled infants with life-threatening conditions and their families. In addition, under this program, states perform a range of prevention activities including addressing the needs of infants born with prenatal drug exposure, referring children not at risk of imminent harm to community services, implementing criminal record checks for prospective foster and adoptive parents and other adults in their homes, training child protective services workers, protecting the legal rights of families and alleged perpetrators, and supporting Citizen Review Panels. The CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010 reauthorized the program through FY 2015. Reauthorization includes new state plan assurances and a focus on collaboration and linkages between domestic violence and child abuse and neglect. Reauthorization also includes a new funding formula adjustment should appropriations exceed FY 2009 amounts by more than \$1 million.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$26,535,000
2011	\$26,482,000
2012	\$26,432,000
2013	\$24,734,000
2014	\$25,310,000

In order to evaluate whether the program has contributed to a decrease in the rate of repeat maltreatment, the program tracks the percentage of children with substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment within six months of a previously substantiated or indicated report of maltreatment. ACF continues to see reductions in the percentage of victims who experience repeat maltreatment. From FY 2003 to FY 2010, the national rate of repeat maltreatment fell from 8.5 percent to 6.5 percent, exceeding the FY 2010 target of 6.6 percent. In FY 2011, there was a slight increase in the rate of children with a subsequent substantiated or indicated report of maltreatment from 6.5 percent, missing the target of 6.3 percent. In FY 2012, there was a slight decrease in the rate from the previous year – 6.7 down to 6.6 percent – however this result still fell short of the FY 2012 target of 6.5 percent. ACF will continue to

support states in their efforts to support children and families who are experiencing a crisis through grants such as CAPTA to improve state child protective service systems.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Child Abuse State Grants is \$25,310,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will continue to help support improved child protection systems, including prevention services for families. Child abuse and neglect continues to be a significant problem in the United States. CAPTA funds support efforts of the states to establish and maintain effective systems of child protection, a critical element in eliminating the tragedy of child abuse and neglect.

In addition to the continued emphasis on reducing the rate of repeat maltreatment, the CAPTA State Grant program is working to improve states' average response time between maltreatment report and investigation. This performance measure is calculated based on the median of all states' average response times in hours from screen-in reports to the initiation of an investigation. In FY 2012, the average response time decreased significantly to 57.83 hours (as compared to the FY 2011 actual result of 62.5 hours), which exceeded the target of 59.38 hours. ACF has been and will continue to work with all states to improve the accuracy and completeness of the data, as well as to improve performance in ensuring that states respond to reports of abuse and neglect in a timely manner. Reducing the response time between maltreatment report and investigation improves the likelihood of identifying children in need of services in a timely manner and preventing additional maltreatment. This measure is targeted to decrease by five percent each year through FY 2015.

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>7B</u> : Decrease the percentage of children with substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment that have a repeated substantiated or indicated report of maltreatment within six months. (CAPTA) (Outcome)	FY 2012: 6.6% Target: 6.5% (Target Not Met but Improved)	Prior Result -0.2PP	Prior Result -0.2PP	N/A
<u>7C</u> : Improve states' average response time between maltreatment report and investigation, based on the median of states' reported average response time in hours from screened-in reports to the initiation of the investigation. (CAPTA) (Outcome and Efficiency)	FY 2012: 57.83 hrs Target: 59.38 hrs (Target Exceeded)	Prior Result -5%	Prior Result -5%	N/A

Resource and Program Data CAPTA State Grants

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$24,734,090	\$25,310,000	\$25,310,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support			
Total, Resources	\$24,734,090	\$25,310,000	\$25,310,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	56	56	50
New Starts			
#	56	56	50
\$	\$24,734,090	\$25,310,000	\$25,310,000
Continuations			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - CAPTA State Grants

FY 2015 Formula Grants

			CFD	A # 93.00
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	380,472	389,796	389,796	(
Alaska	105,250	106,542	106,542	(
	526,474	539,834	539,834	(
Arizona Arkansas	258,307	264,828	264,828	(
California	2,768,474	2,842,348	2,842,348	(
Camorina	2,700,474	2,042,540	2,042,040	,
Colorado	410,655	422,117	422,117	(
Connecticut	285,527	289,813	289,813	(
Delaware	110,007	111,966	111,966	(
District of Columbia	80,883	83,085	83,085	(
Florida	1,221,144	1,259,550	1,259,550	(
Georgia	780,012	802,501	802,501	(
Hawaii	139,308	141,570	141,570	(
Idaho	175,521	178,935	178,935	(
Illinois	958,353	975,923	975,923	(
Indiana	518,408	530,945	530,945	
Iowa	262,381	268,477	268,477	
Kansas	262,250	268,884	268,884	(
Kentucky	349,338	357,712	357,712	(
Louisiana	377,849	387,800	387,800	(
Maine	128,933	130,360	130,360	
Maryland	444,826	456,097	456,097	
Massachusetts	461,942	473,508	473,508	(
Michigan	723,119	735,048	735,048	
Minnesota	424,563	435,652	435,652	(
Mississippi	269,966	275,240	275,240	(
Missouri	464,026	474,126	474,126	
Montana	115,193	117,082	117,082	
Nebraska	184,888	190,041	190,041	
Nevada	244,615	250,535	250,535	(
New Hampshire	132,090	133,057	133,057	
New Jersey	648,940	662,372	662,372	
New Mexico	202,291	205,464	205,464	
New York	1,306,633	1,338,325	1,338,325	
North Carolina	720,709	740,987	740,987	
North Dakota	94,318	96,723	96,723	

CFDA # 93.669

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	839,599	854,963	854.062	0
Oklahoma	324,476	333,263	854,963 333,263	0
•	303,252	· · · · · ·	,	0
Oregon Pennsylvania	859,556	310,081 877,843	310,081 877,843	0
Rhode Island	,	,	,	0
Knode Island	114,367	115,418	115,418	0
South Carolina	366,813	376,330	376,330	0
South Dakota	109,564	111,700	111,700	0
Tennessee	487,486	501,492	501,492	0
Texas	2,090,849	2,161,028	2,161,028	0
Utah	308,102	318,345	318,345	0
Vermont	86,948	87,458	87,458	0
Virginia	593,449	611,105	611,105	0
Washington	513,762	528,977	528,977	0
West Virginia	162,819	166,057	166,057	0
Wisconsin	438,837	448,166	448,166	0
Wyoming	89,563	90,945	90,945	0
Subtotal	24,227,107	24,830,414	24,830,414	0
American Samoa	50,000	57,091	57,091	0
Guam	50,000	65,809	65,809	0
Northern Mariana Islands	50,000	55,182	55,182	0
Puerto Rico	306,983	243,337	243,337	0
Virgin Islands	50,000	58,167	58,167	0
Subtotal	506,983	479,586	479,586	0
Total States/Territories	24,734,090	25,310,000	25,310,000	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$24,734,090	\$25,310,000	\$25,310,000	<u>\$0</u>

CHILD ABUSE DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$24,091,000	\$28,744,000	\$28,744,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 112(a)(2) of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Child Abuse Discretionary Activities account funds a number of research and demonstration grants and contracts that seek to expand the evidence base for child welfare programs with the goal of improving child outcomes as lessons learned are adopted by communities across the country. The program funds research on the causes, prevention, identification and treatment of child abuse and neglect, and investigative, administrative and judicial procedures. It also funds projects to compile, publish and disseminate training materials; provide technical assistance; demonstrate and evaluate methods and procedures to prevent and treat child abuse and neglect; and develop or expand effective collaboration between child protective services and domestic violence agencies. In addition, the program funds a national resource center on issues relating to child maltreatment and a national clearinghouse, the Child Welfare Information Gateway, which gathers and disseminates information on the incidence of child abuse and neglect and on promising programs of prevention and treatment. The CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010, Public Law 111-320, focused on new areas: collaboration between domestic violence and child protection, issues facing Indian and Native populations, and the unique needs of children under age 3 and those with disabilities.

Research and demonstration grants are awarded competitively to public and private agencies, including state and local government agencies, universities, and voluntary and faith-based organizations. Contracts may be awarded to public, nonprofit and proprietary organizations. Projects supported by grants and contracts awarded under this program may run up to five years, depending upon the availability of funds.

One Quality Improvement Center is funded through this program: 1) the National Quality Improvement Center on Preventing the Abuse and Neglect of Infants and Young Children (a.k.a. National Quality Improvement Center on Early Childhood) which works to improve the social, physical, behavioral, cognitive, and emotional well-being of children zero to five years old, and their families, who are at risk of abuse and neglect by fostering collaborative research and demonstration projects across the child abuse prevention, child welfare, early childhood, and other health, education, and social service systems.

Child abuse discretionary grants support a wide range of efforts intended to increase the knowledge base on evidence-based practices and strategies for their implementation; facilitate systems improvement in state, county and local programs; identify and evaluate effective strategies to reduce child abuse and neglect of infants and young children; and demonstrate effective approaches to address issues identified in the Child and Family Service Reviews. Examples of currently funded projects include:

- Partnerships to Demonstrate the Effectiveness of Supportive Housing for Families in the Child Welfare System;
- Planning Grants to Develop A Model Intervention for Youth/Young Adults With Child Welfare Involvement At-Risk of Homelessness;

- Building Child Welfare Capacity for Continuous Quality Improvement Project;
- National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect; and
- Rigorous Evaluations of Existing Child Abuse Prevention Programs.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2011	
2012	
2013	

The National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) allows states to report child welfare data to ACF. NCANDS supports three annual performance measures related to the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) State Grant Program and the Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) Program.

Performance measurement for Child Abuse Discretionary Activities is part of a broader Child Welfare performance program area.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Child Abuse Discretionary Activities is \$28,744,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will support grants designed to assist and enhance national, state and local efforts to prevent, identify and treat child abuse.

Child abuse discretionary grants support a wide range of efforts intended to increase the knowledge base on evidence-based practices and strategies for their implementation; facilitate systems improvement in state, county, and local programs; identify and evaluate effective strategies to reduce child abuse and neglect of infants and young children; and demonstrate effective approaches to address issues identified in Child and Family Service Reviews. Examples of currently funded projects include:

- National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect
- Innovative Approaches to Child Maltreatment Research Fellowships for University-based Doctoral Candidates and Faculty for Research in Child Maltreatment

Resource and Program Data Child Abuse Discretionary Activities

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budge
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation	\$1,866,640	\$2,557,000	\$2,267,000
Demonstration/Development	11,086,542	16,780,000	17,092,000
Training/Technical Assistance	10,337,353	8,539,000	8,522,000
Program Support	700,760	868,000	863,000
Total, Resources	\$23,991,295	\$28,744,000	\$28,744,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	37	46	4
New Starts			
#	19	21	18
\$	\$8,351,284	\$6,500,000	\$6,576,000
Continuations			
#	18	25	27
\$	\$9,255,298	\$11,994,000	\$11,392,000
Contracts			
#	9	10	
\$	\$5,449,053	\$9,332,000	\$9,863,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	4	3	
\$	\$935,500	\$918,000	\$913,000

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support and grant paneling review.

COMMUNITY-BASED CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$38,860,000	\$39,764,000	\$39,764,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 209 of Title II of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act

Allocation MethodFormula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) grants are provided to state lead agencies to disburse funds for community child abuse and neglect prevention activities. Funds are used to develop, operate, expand and enhance community-based efforts to strengthen and support families to prevent child abuse and neglect; foster the development of a continuum of preventive services through state and community-based public private partnerships; and finance public information activities focusing on the healthy and positive development of families and child abuse and neglect prevention activities. Voluntary home visiting programs are a core local service, as are programs that focus on prevention services to families that include children or parents with disabilities. The CBCAP program was reauthorized through FY 2015 by Public Law 111-320, the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010, and includes a new emphasis on prevention services for homeless youth and adult former victims, as well as, substance abuse treatment and domestic violence services.

Seventy percent of a state's grant amount is calculated on the basis of the number of children under 18 in the state, with a minimum award of \$200,000 per state. The remaining part of the grant award is allotted among the states based on the amount leveraged by the state from private, state, or other non-federal sources and directed through the state lead agency in the preceding fiscal year for community-based child abuse prevention services.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$41,689,000
2011	
2012	\$41,527,000
2013	\$38,860,000
2014	\$39,764,000

The National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) allows states to report child welfare data to ACF. NCANDS supports three annual performance measures related to the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) State Grant Program and CBCAP.

One performance indicator the CBCAP program tracks is the rate of first-time child maltreatment victims per 1,000 children (see Outcome and Output Table below). In recent years, ACF has seen some improvement in this area, as the rate of first time victims declined from 7.18 victims of maltreatment per 1,000 children in the population in FY 2008 down to a rate of 6.82 in FY 2011. The rate for FY 2012 stayed fairly constant with an actual result of 6.83, which fell short of the target of 6.77 per 1,000.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the CBCAP program is \$39,764,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will support 60 grants designed to assist and enhance national, state and local efforts to prevent child abuse, helping to address the significant need for resources that can support direct services to families including trauma-based services, as well as strengthen the service delivery infrastructure within the states.

Because the use of evidence-based and evidence-informed practices promotes more efficient and effective use of program funds, ACF developed an efficiency measure to reflect progress towards this goal. Currently, the Children's Bureau and its National Resource Center for CBCAP are working closely with the states to promote the greater use of the evidence base in funding decisions. A baseline of 27 percent was established for this performance measure in FY 2006, which captured the percentage of total funds going towards evidence-based and evidence-informed programs when this reporting was initiated. ACF has made steady progress on this measure, with the percent of CBCAP funding directed toward evidencebased or evidence-informed practices rising to 34 percent in FY 2007, 37 percent in FY 2008, 49 percent in FY 2009, 57 percent in FY 2010, 62 percent in FY 2011, and 73.7 percent in FY 2012, significantly exceeding the FY 2012 target of 65.3 percent. This large increase may be due to increased attention and emphasis on the importance of evidence-based and evidence-informed practice. The annual target of a three percentage point annual increase in the amount of funds devoted to evidence-based practice through FY 2015 was selected as a meaningful increment of improvement since it was anticipated that it would take time for states to adjust their funding priorities to meet these new requirements. ACF is committed to continuing to work with CBCAP grantees to invest in evidence-based practices, while continuing to promote evaluation and innovation, so as to expand the availability of evidence-informed and evidencebased practice over time.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>7A</u> : Decrease the rate of first-time victims per 1,000 children (CBCAP) (Outcome)	FY 2012: 6.83 Target: 6.77 (Target Not Met)	Prior Result -0.05PP	Prior Result -0.05PP	N/A
<u>7D</u> : Increase the percentage of Community- Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) total funding that supports evidence-based and evidence-informed child abuse prevention programs and practices. (CBCAP) (Efficiency)	FY 2012: 73.7% Target: 65.3% (Target Exceeded)	Prior Result +3PP	Prior Result +3PP	N/A
<u>7i</u> : Number of children receiving preventive services through CBCAP and other sources. (Output)	FY 2011: 3.3 million (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Resource and Program Data Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$37,149,868	\$37,634,000	\$37,735,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	416,852	416,000	417,000
Training/Technical Assistance	1,135,131	1,454,000	1,454,000
Program Support	158,000	260,000	158,000
Total, Resources	\$38,859,851	\$39,764,000	\$39,764,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	60	60	60
New Starts			
#	56	57	56
\$	\$37,149,868	\$39,034,000	\$39,135,000
Continuations			
#	4	3	4
\$	\$1,497,983	\$416,000	\$417,000
Contracts			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$54,000	\$156,000	\$54,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$158,000	\$158,000	\$158,000

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support and grant paneling reviews.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention

			CFD	A # 93.590
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alahama	460 229	470 477	470 477	0
Alabama	460,338	472,477	472,477	0
Alaska	419,592	424,224	424,224	0
Arizona	698,879 225 077	717,034	717,034	0
Arkansas	235,077	242,259	242,259	0
California	3,312,634	3,399,462	3,399,462	0
Colorado	570,976	587,053	587,053	0
Connecticut	884,591	902,447	902,447	0
Delaware	206,566	206,705	206,705	0
District of Columbia	223,094	223,581	223,581	0
Florida	1,418,542	1,462,836	1,462,836	0
Georgia	943,332	970,630	970,630	0
Hawaii	260,528	261,804	261,804	0
Idaho	202,361	202,411	202,411	0
Illinois	1,174,499	1,197,296	1,197,296	0
Indiana	988,950	1,012,525	1,012,525	0
Iowa	448,563	459,697	459,697	0
Kansas	717,748	735,143	735,143	0
Kentucky	1,522,854	1,557,100	1,557,100	0
Louisiana	387,623	398,963	398,963	0
Maine	209,272	209,468	209,468	0
Maryland	632,449	648,834	648,834	0
Massachusetts	500,531	514,057	514,057	0
Michigan	772,158	785,809	785,809	ů 0
Minnesota	1,957,472	2,002,074	2,002,074	0
Mississippi	236,358	241,960	241,960	0
Missouri	494,575	506,352	506,352	0
Montana	207,571	207,731	207,731	0
Nebraska	415,180	419,718	419,718	0
Nevada	303,814	312,114	312,114	0
New Hampshire	209,942	210,152	210,152	0
Now Jorgov	2,374,135	7 171 802	2,424,893	0
New Jersey	· · ·	2,424,893		0
New Mexico	360,781	364,172	364,172	0
New York	1,402,594	1,437,377	1,437,377	0
North Carolina	980,553	1,007,623	1,007,623	0
North Dakota	202,276	202,324	202,324	0

FY 2015 Formula Grants

CFDA # 93.590

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	979,555	998,594	998,594	0
Oklahoma	719,266	737,575	737,575	0
Oregon	273,648	280,943	280,943	0
Pennsylvania	885,884	905,631	905,631	0
Rhode Island	236,269	237,034	237,034	0
South Carolina	409,330	420,915	420,915	0
South Dakota	202,615	202,670	202,670	0
Tennessee	754,487	775,404	775,404	0
Texas	2,561,666	2,644,240	2,644,240	0
Utah	371,601	384,519	384,519	0
Vermont	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Virginia	598,436	617,551	617,551	0
Washington	598,599	616,924	616,924	0
West Virginia	252,710	253,821	253,821	0
Wisconsin	489,970	501,401	501,401	0
Wyoming	203,290	203,360	203,360	0
Subtotal	36,073,734	36,908,887	36,908,887	0
Indian Tribes	416,852	415,270	415,270	0
Subtotal	416,852	415,270	415,270	0
American Samoa	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Guam	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Northern Mariana Islands	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Puerto Rico	276,134	207,689	207,689	0
Virgin Islands	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Subtotal	1,076,134	1,007,689	1,007,689	0
Total States/Territories	37,566,720	38,331,846	38,331,846	0
Other	212,000	232,154	232,154	0
Training and Technical				
Assistance	1,081,131	1,200,000	1,200,000	0
Subtotal, Adjustments	1,293,131	1,432,154	1,432,154	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$38,859,851	\$39,764,000	\$39,764,000	\$0

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$262,622,000	\$268,735,000	\$268,735,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 425 of the Social Security Act

Allocation MethodFormula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program helps state and tribal public welfare agencies to develop and expand their child and family services programs by: 1) protecting and promoting the welfare of all children; 2) preventing the neglect, abuse, or exploitation of children; 3) supporting at-risk families through services which allow children, when appropriate, to remain safely with their families or return to their families in a timely manner; 4) promoting the safety, permanence, and well-being of children in foster care and adoptive families; and 5) providing training, professional development and support to ensure a well-qualified child welfare workforce. Services are available to children and their families without regard to income.

Funds are distributed to states in the form of grants. Each state receives a base amount of \$70,000. Additional funds are distributed in proportion to the state's population of children under age 21 multiplied by the complement of the state's average per capita income. The state match requirement is 25 percent. Eligible Indian tribes must meet plan requirements specified in regulation.

This program (title IV-B subpart 1) is linked to the title IV-E Foster Care and Adoption Assistance Programs, as well as subpart 2 of title IV-B, the Promoting Safe and Stable Families Program. The same state or tribal agency must administer, or supervise the administration of all these programs. The broad goal of all the programs is to strengthen the families of at-risk children. Taken together, these programs provide a continuum of services to help children and their families.

The Child and Family Services Improvement and Innovation Act (P. L. 112-34) reauthorized the program for five years through FY 2016. Among other changes, the law requires that grantees' title IV-B plans identify activities to address the developmental needs of children served under title IV-B and title IV-E programs, and to reduce the length of time that children under the age of five are without a permanent family. In addition, P.L. 112-34 expanded requirements related to the oversight of the health care needs of children in foster care. As part of the health care coordination and oversight plan that child welfare agencies are required to develop in collaboration with State Medicaid agencies, pediatricians and other experts, grantees will now need to outline:

- How the agency will monitor and treat emotional trauma associated with a child's maltreatment and removal, in addition to other health needs identified through screenings; and
- Protocols for the appropriate use and monitoring of psychotropic medications, as part of its current oversight of prescription medicines. These protocols include, for example, a description of efforts to monitor the side effects of psychotropic medications, procedures that safeguard against the combination of multiple psychotropic medications where such use is lacking scientific evidence, and procedures for obtaining informed consent from caregivers and youth. To support grantees in developing these plans, ACF has provided technical assistance in the form of

information memoranda, a series of six topic-specific webinars, and a summit convening child welfare, Medicaid, and mental health leaders from across the country to address their State's strategies for improving oversight and monitoring of psychotropic medications. Ongoing efforts will be directed towards helping grantees refine their plans and troubleshoot barriers to implementation.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	. \$281,744,000
2011	. \$281,181,000
2012	. \$280,650,000
2013	
2014	\$268,735,000

One key performance measure for the Child Welfare Services program focuses on children who have been removed from their homes and placed in foster care (annual measure 7Q); this trauma can be aggravated further when a child is moved from one placement setting to another while in care. It is, therefore, in the best interest of the child to keep the number of placement settings to a minimum. In recent years, ACF has met or exceeded the target of 80 percent of children experiencing no more than two placement settings in the first year in foster care. In FY 2012, 85.3 percent of children who had been in foster care for less than 12 months had no more than two placements, which is an improvement over the FY 2011 actual result of 84.6 percent. This improvement again exceeded the FY 2012 target of 80 percent. ACF is providing technical assistance to the states to improve placement stability for children in care, and states are employing a number of strategies, including increasing the use of relatives as placement resources and improving training and support for foster parents to improve retention and prevent placement disruptions.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Child Welfare Services program is \$268,735,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. This funding level will support grants to help improve state child welfare services with a goal of keeping families together when appropriate.

Federal law requires that every child in foster care have a case plan that specifies the permanency goal for the child (e.g., reunification or adoption) and details the types of services the child and parents will receive to facilitate achievement of that goal. Despite this requirement, a significant proportion of cases in recent years have been reported as having no case goal or "case plan goal not yet determined" even when children have been in care for a year or more. Because identifying an appropriate goal is a crucial first step in moving a child to permanency, annual performance measure 7R seeks to decrease the percentage of cases reported as lacking a case plan goal. Specifically, the measure is computed from the number of children in foster care for 12 or more months with either a missing or "Not Yet Determined" case goal divided by the total number of children who were in foster care at least 12 months or more. In FY 2011, states did not achieve the targeted 2.8 percent; in FY 2011, 3.9 percent of children in foster care did improve to 3.7 percent without a case plan goal. ACF will continue to work with states to identify technical assistance needs and other supports that will assist their improvement in this area. By FY 2015, the program aims to reduce the percentage of children in foster care without a case plan goal by at least 0.5 percentage points from the previous fiscal year.

Outputs and Outcomes Table

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>7Q</u> : For those children who had been in care less than 12 months, maintain the percentage that has no more than two placement settings. (Child Welfare Services) (Outcome)	FY 2012: 85.3% Target: 80%	80%	84%	+ 4
	(Target Exceeded)			
$\frac{7R}{12}$: Decrease the percent of foster children in care 12 or more months with no case plan	FY 2012: 3.7%	Prior Result	Prior Result -0.5PP	N/A
goal (including case plan goal "Not Yet Determined"). (Child Welfare Services,	Target: 3.4%	-0.5PP		
PSSF, Foster Care) (Efficiency)	(Target Not Met)			

Resource and Program Data Child Welfare Services

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$262,622,022	\$268,735,000	\$268,735,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support			
Total, Resources	\$262,622,022	\$268,735,000	\$268,735,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	244	245	245
New Starts			
#	244	245	245
\$	\$262,622,022	\$268,735,000	\$268,735,000
Continuations			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Child Welfare Services

FY 2015 Formula Grants

			CFD	A# 75.045
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	4,558,223	4,659,297	4,659,297	0
	4,558,225 208,324	4,039,297 194,356	4,039,297	0
Alaska				
Arizona Arkansas	5,503,131	5,642,766	5,642,766	0 0
	2,936,247	3,007,841	3,007,841	
California	28,997,532	30,793,211	30,793,211	0
Colorado	3,936,734	4,113,141	4,113,141	0
Connecticut	1,724,369	1,819,313	1,819,313	0
Delaware	769,818	804,816	804,816	0
District of Columbia	311,824	327,771	327,771	0
Florida	13,914,915	14,803,039	14,803,039	0
Georgia	9,618,551	9,928,542	9,928,542	0
Hawaii	1,048,912	1,086,174	1,086,174	0
Idaho	1,738,955	1,806,168	1,806,168	0
Illinois	9,964,934	10,238,445	10,238,445	0
Indiana	6,309,324	6,506,901	6,506,901	0
Iowa	2,728,068	2,741,795	2,741,795	0
Kansas	2,563,507	2,652,076	2,652,076	0
Kentucky	4,227,402	4,281,248	4,281,248	0
Louisiana	4,120,089	4,231,108	4,231,108	0
Maine	1,065,399	1,069,359	1,069,359	0
Maryland	3,738,825	3,752,750	3,752,750	0
Massachusetts	3,728,526	3,725,612	3,725,612	0
Michigan	8,831,639	9,019,652	9,019,652	0
Minnesota	4,065,719	4,182,426	4,182,426	0
Mississippi	3,205,822	3,241,117	3,241,117	0
Missouri	5,356,321	5,413,443	5,413,443	0
Montana	651,275	641,831	641,831	0
Nebraska	1,612,253	1,649,765	1,649,765	0
Nevada	2,306,140	2,562,950	2,562,950	0
New Hampshire	972,307	968,113	968,113	0
New Jersey	5,080,888	5,256,844	5,256,844	0
New Mexico	1,527,492	1,547,482	1,547,482	0
New York	12,130,858	11,851,451	1,851,451	0
North Carolina	8,769,958	9,094,131	9,094,131	0
	8,769,938 449,093			
North Dakota	449,093	440,569	440,569	0

CFDA # 93.645

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	10,234,570	10,362,280	10,362,280	0
Oklahoma	1,311,036	1,357,429	1,357,429	0
Oregon	3,203,037	3,293,671	3,293,671	0
Pennsylvania	9,681,431	9,776,551	9,776,551	0
Rhode Island	833,017	830,860	830,860	0
South Carolina	4,477,518	4,600,623	4,600,623	0
South Dakota	435,533	421,778	421,778	0
Tennessee	5,818,485	5,942,558	5,942,558	0
Texas	24,244,801	25,305,943	25,305,943	0
Utah	3,529,983	3,638,318	3,638,318	0
Vermont	540,656	540,242	540,242	0
Virginia	5,753,363	5,920,018	5,920,018	0
Washington	4,892,541	5,124,630	5,124,630	0
West Virginia	1,690,741	1,705,367	1,705,367	0
Wisconsin	4,744,425	4,813,028	4,813,028	0
Wyoming	382,984	426,738	426,738	0
Subtotal	250,447,495	258,115,537	258,115,537	0
Indian Tribes	6,094,216	6,329,344	6,329,344	0
Subtotal	6,094,216	6,329,344	6,329,344	0
American Samoa	294,074	181,487	181,487	0
Guam	713,168	323,023	323,023	0
Northern Mariana Islands	287,471	150,265	150,265	0
Puerto Rico	4,286,148	3,435,479	3,435,479	0
Virgin Islands	499,450	199,865	199,865	0
Subtotal	6,080,311	4,290,119	4,290,119	0
Total States/Territories	262,622,022	268,735,000	268,735,000	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$262,622,022	\$268,735,000	\$268,735,000	\$0

CHILD WELFARE RESEARCH, TRAINING AND DEMONSTRATION

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$24,416,000	\$24,984,000	\$24,984,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 426 of the Social Security Act

2015 Authorization Such sums as may be appropriated

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – Section 426 of the Social Security Act provides the Secretary broad authority to award discretionary grants to: institutions of higher education and to other nonprofit agencies and organizations engaged in research or child welfare activities for special child welfare projects which are of regional or national significance and for demonstration projects on promising approaches that contribute to the advancement of child welfare; state or local public child welfare agencies for demonstration projects using child welfare research to encourage experimental and special types of child welfare services; public or other nonprofit institutions of higher learning for special projects for training personnel for work in the field of child welfare, including traineeships; and for contracts or jointly financed cooperative arrangements with states and other organizations and agencies for the conduct of research, special projects, or demonstration projects relating to child welfare.

Given the importance of skilled child welfare workers, this funding promotes effective child welfare practice and supports leadership development and skill building to implement change. Administration of awards to colleges and universities for child welfare professional education stipend programs; delivery of child welfare training curriculum on leadership and effective change management; development of a comprehensive workforce framework, convening and providing leadership academies for State Agency Directors and Deans and Directors of Schools of Social Work, State agency middle managers and on-line training for front-line supervisors; facilitation of a national peer network of child welfare leaders focused on professional development of their workforce; and strategic dissemination of effective and promising workforce practices are critical components of this funding. This funding will advance Federal priorities while supporting training systems and workforce initiatives at the State and national levels.

<u>Innovative Approaches to Foster Care</u> – In addition to the training activity funded under Section 426, \$18 million funds demonstration projects started in FY 2010 that aim to test innovative approaches to reducing long-term foster care placements, particularly among subgroups of children that have higher rates of long term foster care placements. This five-year grant program provides funding to states, localities, tribes, and public or private non-profit institutions for the purpose of expanding the evidence base for practice in this area. Grantees demonstrating an improvement in the outcomes for children in long-term foster care will be eligible to receive bonus funding, which will be awarded in addition to upfront funding.

The demonstration program takes a multi-faceted approach to reduce the number of children who stay in foster care for extended periods of time. The demonstration design encourages an upfront investment in evidence-based or evidence-informed services and provides states and localities with flexibility to address the unique needs of children and families at the local child welfare agency level. The demonstration design also provides a financial incentive for grantees to attain successful outcomes, particularly for children who have been in foster care for an extended period of time or who exhibit specific risk factors

that make them likely to remain in care for extended periods. These children tend to be older and have significant needs that may have contributed to the length of time they are in care. They may have serious physical and/or mental health needs or behaviors that have contributed to difficulty in finding a suitable permanent placement. The upfront funding provides grantees with resources and flexibility to implement an array of services, including those that are time intensive, in order to achieve better outcomes.

The demonstrations present an opportunity to test innovative approaches to delivering child welfare services. Subsequently, a key component of the demonstration program is conducting a rigorous national cross-site evaluation. A common set of performance measures will be used by the grantees to establish baseline and subsequent comparison values.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$27,207,000
2011	
2012	
2013	
2014	
	+= .,,,

Performance measurement for the Child Welfare Research, Training and Demonstration program is part of a broader Child Welfare performance program area.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Child Welfare Research, Training and Demonstration is \$24,984,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. The funding will continue to support grants for child welfare professionals and students and grants to maintain training resources and opportunities in the field of child welfare, as well as discretionary grants to continue support for the Innovative Approaches to Foster Care demonstration.

Resource and Program Data Child Welfare Research, Training and Demonstration

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation	\$1,154,969	\$2,248,000	\$3,250,000
Demonstration/Development	15,622,958	15,624,000	6,967,000
Training/Technical Assistance	7,066,724	6,712,000	14,164,000
Program Support	568,744	400,000	603,000
Total, Resources	\$24,413,395	\$24,984,000	\$24,984,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	8	7	4
New Starts			
#	2	0	3
\$	\$5,238,304	\$0	\$6,869,000
Continuations			
#	6	7	2
\$	\$14,673,834	\$19,865,000	\$5,239,000
Contracts			
#	4	3	5
\$	\$4,101,257	\$4,719,000	\$12,476,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support and grant paneling review.

ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$36,662,000	\$40,622,000	\$40,622,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 205 of Title II of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act.

2015 Authorization Such sums as may be appropriated

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Adoption Opportunities program funds grants and contracts to public and private organizations to remove barriers to adoption and to provide permanent, loving homes for children who would benefit from adoption, particularly children with special needs. Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) Foster Care Data estimates as of July, 2013 indicated that there are approximately 102,000 children in the public foster care system waiting to be adopted. About 59,000 of these children are immediately available for adoption. Waiting children include those that have a goal of adoption whether or not the parental rights have been terminated, whereas children are typically school-aged, in sibling groups, have experienced neglect or abuse, or have a physical, mental, or emotional disability. The Adoption Opportunities program was reauthorized through FY 2015 by Public Law 111-320, the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010.

Major program activities are: 1) developing and implementing a national adoption and foster care data gathering and analysis system; 2) developing and implementing a national adoption information exchange system; 3) developing and implementing an adoption training and technical assistance program; 4) conducting ongoing, extensive recruitment efforts on a national level – to encourage the adoption of older children, minority children, and special needs children; 5) providing for post legal adoption services for families who have adopted children with special needs; 6) increasing the effective use of public or private agencies (including community-based) by states for the recruitment of adoptive and foster families and assistance in placement of children; 7) promoting programs to increase the number of older children adopted from foster care; 8) maintaining a National Resource Center for Special Needs Adoption; 9) providing for programs aimed at increasing the number of minority children (who are in foster care and have the goal of adoption) placed in adoptive families, with a special emphasis on recruitment of minority families; and 10) promoting programs that improve child well-being, adoption and post-adoption outcomes by creating a service array that proves early access to effective mental and behavioral health services that match the needs of children and families, including addressing the effects of trauma.

Demonstration grants are awarded through a competitive process to public and private agencies, including state and local governments, universities, private non-profit, and for-profit agencies. These demonstration grants test new models of service delivery to address and eliminate barriers to adoption, including interjurisdictional adoptions, and help find permanent families for children who would benefit from adoption, particularly children with special needs.

Projects funded through the Adoption Opportunities program include the National Quality Improvement Center on the Representation of Children in the Child Welfare System, which generates and disseminates knowledge on the representation of children and youth in the child welfare system, as well as a number of demonstration grants, including Diligent Recruitment of Families for Children in the Foster Care System.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	. \$26,379,000
2011	. \$39,253,000
2012	. \$39,179,000
2013	. \$36,662,000
2014	. \$40,622,000

ACF continues to use a national ad campaign, which produced a series of Public Service Announcements (PSAs) featuring strategic messages about adoption, the most recent one focusing on the adoption of preteens, ages 8-12, from foster care. As of September, 2013, approximately 20,736 foster children previously featured on the initiative's website found permanent, adoptive homes. During FY 2013, the initiative averaged nearly 5 million page views and 1 million unique visitors to the AdoptUsKids website per month, in addition to an average of about 2,300 phone and email inquiries regarding adoption of children from foster care, including those who are registered on the site. Approximately two hundred new families who have approved home studies register on the site each month and use it to search for children who may fit well into their families. Approximately 4,700 child-specific inquiries were made each month by registered families to the child's case manager via the website in FY 2013.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Adoption Opportunities program is \$40,622,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will support 47 grants to facilitate the adoption process and provide technical assistance to enable states to increase the number of children adopted, especially children with special needs.

A performance measure that examines the adoption rate (7T) was developed as an appropriate measure of success in moving children toward adoption, taking into account the size of the pool of children in foster care for whom adoption is the appropriate goal. In FY 2010, the adoption rate was 12.2 percent with 54,000 children adopted, again exceeding that year's target of 10.20 percent. In FY 2011 performance on this measure has remained relatively constant at 12.1 percent (with 51,000 children adopted). In FY 2012, the adoption rate again remained relatively flat at 12.0 percent and 52,000 children adopted, which again exceeded the annual target (10.4 percent). Future year targets were revised upward to improve rigor in light of the most recent data. By FY 2015, ACF aims to continue progress in this area and achieve a target of 12.5 percent.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>7.8LT and 7T</u> : Increase the adoption rate. (Foster Care, Adoption Opportunities, Adoption Incentives, Adoption Assistance) (Outcome)	FY 2012: 12.0% Target: 10.4%	12.3% 1	12.5%	+ 0.2
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>7iii</u> : Number of children featured on the AdoptUsKids website who were	FY 2013: 1,507	N/A	N/A	N/A
subsequently placed for adoption. (Output)	(Historical Actual)			

¹ The FY 2014 target for this performance measure has been updated. As part of the FY 2014 President's budget, ACF set a new target of 12.6 percent for FY 2014. However, based on additional analysis of recent performance and trends, ACF has now adjusted this target to 12.3 percent.

Resource and Program Data Adoption Opportunities

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation	\$301,397	\$382,000	
Demonstration/Development	25,013,744	26,892,000	\$27,080,000
Training/Technical Assistance	10,457,933	12,363,000	12,557,000
Program Support	790,044	985,000	985,000
Total, Resources	\$36,563,118	\$40,622,000	\$40,622,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	64	40	47
New Starts			
#	14	4	7
\$	\$6,574,461	\$10,065,000	\$2,937,000
Continuations			
#	50	36	40
\$	\$25,253,468	\$22,362,000	\$29,678,000
Contracts			
#	4	6	5
\$	\$4,053,579	\$7,545,000	\$7,322,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	2	2	2
\$	\$681,610	\$650,000	\$685,000

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, grant paneling and printing.

ABANDONED INFANTS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$10,811,000	\$11,063,000	\$11,063,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 302(a)(1) of Title III of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act

2015 Authorization Such sums as may be appropriated

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Abandoned Infants Assistance program provides grants to public and private community and faith-based entities for development, implementation and operation of projects that: 1) prevent abandonment of infants and young children exposed to HIV/AIDS and drugs, including the provision of services to family members for any condition that increases the probability of abandonment of an infant or young child; 2) identify and address the needs of abandoned infants, especially those born with AIDS, exposed to drugs, and infants and young children who have a life-threatening illness or other special medical need; 3) assist these children to reside with their natural families, if possible, or in foster care; 4) recruit, train and retain foster families for abandoned infants and young children; 5) carry out residential care programs for abandoned children and children with AIDS who are unable to reside with their families or to be placed in foster care; 6) establish programs of respite care for families and foster families of infants and young children exposed to HIV/AIDS and drugs; 7) recruit and train health and social services personnel to work with families, foster families and residential care staff; and 8) prevent the abandonment of infants and young children by providing needed resources through model programs. This program also funds technical assistance, and training related to the planning, development and operation of the projects. The Abandoned Infants Assistance program was reauthorized through FY 2015 under Public Law 111-320, the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$11,575,000
2011	\$11,605,000
2012	
2013	\$10,811,000
2014	\$11,063,000

Performance measurement for the Abandoned Infants Assistance program is part of a broader Child Welfare performance program area.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Abandoned Infants Assistance program is \$11,063,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will support service demonstration grants to prevent the abandonment of infants and young children with AIDS, and/or drug-exposed infants and to reunify and strengthen families impacted by substance abuse by providing comprehensive supportive services to family caregivers and to children and adolescents which could include a therapeutic recreational or camp setting.

Resource and Program Data Abandoned Infants Assistance Program

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	\$9,106,972	\$9,433,000	\$9,531,000
Training/Technical Assistance	1,219,599	1,225,000	1,225,000
Program Support	473,972	405,000	307,000
Total, Resources	\$10,800,543	\$11,063,000	\$11,063,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	21	21	21
New Starts			
#	8	1	0
\$	\$3,514,641	\$1,100,000	\$0
Continuations			
#	13	20	21
\$	\$6,686,930	\$9,433,000	\$10,631,000
Contracts			
#	2	2	1
\$	\$292,572	\$223,000	\$125,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$306,400	\$307,000	\$307,000

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support and grant paneling review.

CHAFEE EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS

F	Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
T	Total, Budget Authority	\$42,273,000	\$43,257,000	\$43,257,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 477 of the Social Security Act

2015 Authorization	Such sums as may be appropriated
Allocation Method	Formula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments -

The Chafee Foster Care Independence Program is composed of the discretionary Chafee Education and Training Voucher (CETV) program, and the mandatory formula grant program (see Foster Care and Permanency). CETV provides vouchers of up to \$5,000 per year for expenses related to post-secondary education assistance, such as tuition, books, fees, supplies and vocational training to foster care youth up to 21 years of age. Participants who turn 21 while working toward the completion of a degree or training program may remain eligible for the voucher program until they are 23 years of age. To avoid creating a disincentive to the achievement of permanency for older children, the vouchers also are available to individuals leaving foster care after the age of 16 through adoption or kinship guardianship. Funding for these vouchers is distributed to the states based on the state's proportion of children in foster care compared to the national total of all children in foster care. Tribes with an approved title IV-E plan or a title IV-E tribal/state agreement also have the option, beginning in FY 2010, to receive directly a portion of the state's Chafee Foster Care Independence Program and CETV allotments to provide services to tribal youth.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$45,351,000
2011	\$45,260,000
2012	\$45,174,000
2013	
2014	\$43 257 000
2011	\$10, 2 07,000

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the discretionary Chafee Foster Care Independence Program is \$43,257,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. This will provide approximately 17,000 vouchers for former foster care youth, increasing the prospect that these youth will be able to secure work and become contributing members of society.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>7iv</u> : Number of youth receiving ETV funding. (Output)	PY 2011: 17,117 ¹ (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

 $^{^{1}}$ The program year for this data is July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011 to align with the school year, rather than the federal fiscal year.

Resource and Program Data Chafee Education and Training Vouchers

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$41,638,584	\$42,608,000	\$42,608,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	326,423	390,000	387,000
Training/Technical Assistance	50,000		
Program Support	256,808	259,000	262,000
Total, Resources	\$42,271,815	\$43,257,000	\$43,257,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	56	55	55
New Starts			
#	55	55	55
\$	\$41,638,584	\$42,608,000	\$42,608,000
Continuations			
#	1	0	(
\$	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$326,423	\$390,000	\$387,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for staff and associated overhead.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Chafee Education and Training Vouchers

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	553,377	489,398	489,398	
Alaska	191,147	202,691	202,691	
Arizona	1,137,374	1,444,375	1,444,375	
Arkansas	390,029	398,193	398,193	
California	5,711,015	5,825,141	5,825,141	
Colorado	678,056	644,126	644,126	
Connecticut	514,813	489,613	489,613	
Delaware	88,310	85,733	85,733	
District of Columbia	187,803	166,423	166,423	
Florida	2,065,103	2,096,227	2,096,227	
Georgia	793,330	823,104	823,104	
Hawaii	117,259	115,777	115,777	
Idaho	141,506	132,409	132,409	
Illinois	1,843,648	1,785,162	1,785,162	
Indiana	1,126,505	1,216,146	1,216,146	
Iowa	663,007	671,917	671,917	
Kansas	606,206	636,999	636,999	
Kentucky	695,927	748,852	748,852	
Louisiana	473,531	433,924	433,924	
Maine	135,444	162,239	162,239	
Maryland	596,121	524,057	524,057	
Massachusetts	900,765	914,417	914,417	
Michigan	1,577,149	1,558,221	1,558,221	
Minnesota	522,024	583,287	583,287	
Mississippi	375,920	392,506	392,506	
Missouri	969,950	1,070,646	1,070,646	
Montana	187,490	207,841	207,841	
Nebraska	534,774	548,950	548,950	
Nevada	484,505	509,249	509,249	
New Hampshire	77,546	82,407	82,407	
New Jersey	673,040	734,795	734,795	
New Mexico	194,283	205,803	205,803	
New York	2,608,760	2,567,062	2,567,062	
North Carolina	898,884	907,871	907,871	
N. J. D. L.	111 407	110.000	110.007	

111,407

118,996

118,996

0

North Dakota

FY 2015 Formula Grants

CFDA # 93.599

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	1,261,322	1,274,410	1,274,410	0
Oklahoma	865,337	980,085	980,085	0
Oregon	913,010	919,339	919,339	0
Pennsylvania	1,481,419	1,555,431	1,555,431	0
Rhode Island	188,744	183,162	183,162	0
South Carolina	399,330	334,027	334,027	0
South Dakota	147,045	150,114	150,114	0
Tennessee	799,182	856,045	856,045	0
Texas	3,146,670	3,177,496	3,177,496	0
Utah	282,280	296,794	296,794	0
Vermont	105,554	104,618	104,618	0
Virginia	506,452	491,330	491,330	0
Washington	992,401	1,025,990	1,025,990	0
West Virginia	467,679	489,506	489,506	0
Wisconsin	684,222	685,008	685,008	0
Wyoming	92,595	103,331	103,331	0
Subtotal	41,159,250	42,121,243	42,121,243	0
Indian Tribes	23,360	24,436	24,436	0
Subtotal	23,360	24,436	24,436	0
Puerto Rico	455,974	462,466	462,466	0
Subtotal	455,974	462,466	462,466	0
Total States/Territories	41,638,584	42,608,145	42,608,145	0
Other	633,231	648,855	648,855	0
Subtotal, Adjustments	633,231	648,855	648,855	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$42,271,815	\$43,257,000	\$43,257,000	\$0

Notes: 1. Other reflects set-aside for training, technical assistance, and program support.

ADOPTION INCENTIVES

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$37,230,000	\$37,943,000	\$37,943,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Section 473A(h) of the Social Security Act

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action

Allocation MethodFormula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Adoption Incentives program was created as part of the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997. The original program authorized the payment of adoption incentive funds to states that were successful in increasing the number of children adopted from the public foster care system. The amount of the payments to states was based on increases in the number of children adopted from the foster care system in a year, relative to a baseline number and the number of children adopted with special needs, relative to a baseline number, once a state exceeded its baseline for the total number of adoptions.

While there has been a significant increase in adoptions since the mid-1990s, some groups of children needing a permanent home remain less likely to be adopted. Analysis of data from the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) shows that once a child waiting for adoption reaches eight or nine years old, the probability that the child will continue to wait in foster care exceeds the probability that the child will be adopted. Furthermore, older children now constitute 42 percent of the pool of children waiting for adoptive families, but constitute only 26 percent of the children adopted. The program was amended during reauthorization in 2003 to target incentives specifically to older children. Under this revised framework, ACF awards incentives using three baselines: one for the total number of children adopted; one for children with special needs under age nine; and one for children age nine and older.

The Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008 reauthorized the Adoption Incentives program and extended it through FY 2013. The law, P.L. 110-351, also updated the base year used to measure increases to FY 2007 and introduced an incentive award for states exceeding their highest ever foster child adoption rate. Under the law, incentive payments are provided to the extent that a state increases the number of adoptions above a base period. To be eligible for adoption incentive awards, states must exceed their baseline in at least one of the following three categories: total adoptions placed by the public agency, older child public agency adoptions, or exceeding their highest ever foster child adoption rate since FY 2002. States also can earn an incentive bonus for exceeding their baseline in special needs adoptions of children under the age of nine. However, to receive the incentive for special needs adoptions, the state also must exceed its baseline for one of the other three categories listed above. For each adoption that qualifies for a total public agency adoption bonus or special needs adoption bonus, the state receives a \$4,000 payment. For each adoption that qualifies for an older child adoption bonus, the state receives an \$8,000 payment. Incentive awards for states that exceed their highest adoption rate since FY 2002 are only available if there are funds remaining after awarding total adoption, special needs, and older child adoption incentive payments. States may spend the incentive award to provide any service to children and families that is allowable under title IV-B or title IV-E of the Social Security Act.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2011 \$39,421,000 2012 \$39,346,000 ¹ 2013 \$37,230,000	2010	
2012	2011	\$39,421,000
2013 \$37,230,000	2012	\$39,346,000 ¹
	2013	\$37,230,000
2014 \$37,943,000		

A performance measure was established to evaluate the progress of the Adoption Incentives program in reducing the gap between the percentage of children age nine and older waiting to be adopted and those actually adopted. Reducing this gap between the percentage of children age nine and older waiting to be adopted and those actually adopted is difficult for several reasons including, but not limited to, the desire of some prospective parents to adopt infants or young children rather than older children; and the perception of prospective parents that older children may have greater emotional or mental health needs due to a history of abuse and neglect and length of time spent in foster care. Performance on this measure has fluctuated in recent years. In FY 2009, the target of a gap of 12.2 percent was not achieved, with actual performance remaining relatively flat with a gap of 16.3 percent. In FY 2010, performance improved as the gap lessened to 15.7 percent, but was still short of the FY 2010 target of a gap of 14.3 percent. In FY 2011, ACF exceeded the target of 15.4 percent with actual performance reported as 14.2 percent. In FY 2012, performance in this area stayed relatively stable at 14.4 percent. Although the FY 2012 target of 13.9 percent was not met, ACF continues to work with states in this area in order to see a decrease in the gap by two percent each fiscal year through 2015.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Adoption Incentives program is \$37,943,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. In recent years, appropriations have not been sufficient to cover the Adoption Incentives awards earned by states, but states have been made whole in the subsequent year. The budget proposes to reauthorize the Adoption Incentive program at the current funding level through FY 2018 with a modification to target states' use of incentive funds to trauma-informed services to improve social and emotional well-being of children waiting for adoption or those having achieved adoption. This would build upon the requirement in title IV-B, subpart 1, per the amendment made by the Child and Family Services Improvement and Innovation Act (P. L. 112-34), that child welfare agencies monitor and treat emotional trauma associated with a child's maltreatment and removal. Research has shown that children who are adopted from foster care have higher rates of behavior problems following their adoption than do children in the general population. This suggests that although achieving permanency through adoption for waiting children and youth is critical, it is not sufficient to ensure the longer-term behavioral health of children who have been adopted. Targeting the use of Adoption Incentive funds to services to improve the social and emotional well-being of children waiting for adoption or those having achieved adoption will, therefore, help to improve outcomes for adopted children.

The allowable use of incentive funds is fairly broad under current law. States can use their adoption incentive dollars for any services to children and families allowed under titles IV-E and IV-B. The proposal to focus the use of funds to trauma-informed services to improve the social and emotional wellbeing of children waiting for adoption or those having achieved adoption is consistent with the Secretary's priority to promote the social and emotional well-being of children and youth who are receiving child welfare services pursuant to the growing body of evidence. Additionally, it would provide clarity to include an explicit prohibition of transferring incentive funds to a state's general fund as well as to specify that incentive fund amounts paid to a state shall be used to supplement and not supplant any other funds which are available for the same general purposes in the state.

¹ In addition, \$2.6 million was available for use in FY 2012 as result of a recovery of an FY 2011 obligation.

By FY 2015, ACF expects to decrease the gap between the percentage of children age nine and older waiting to be adopted and those actually adopted by two percent under the previous year's actual result. In support of increasing the adoptions of children and older youth from foster care, ACF also continues to support the AdoptUsKids initiative and a series of public service announcements focused on the adoption of teens from foster care. During FY 2013, the initiative averaged nearly five million page views and one million unique visitors to the AdoptUsKids website per month, in addition to an average of about 1,356 phone and email inquiries regarding adoption of children from foster care, including those who are registered on the site. Approximately 200 new families who have approved home studies register on the site each month and use it to search for children who may fit well into their families. Approximately 4,700 child-specific inquiries were made each month by registered families to the child's case manager via the website in FY 2013.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
7.8LT and $7T$: Increase the adoption	FY 2012: 12.0%	12.3% 1	12.5%	+ 0.2
rate. (Foster Care, Adoption	Torract			
Opportunities, Adoption Incentives, Adoption Assistance) (Outcome)	Target: 10.4%			
Adoption Assistance) (Outcome)	10.770			
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>7.12 LT and 7U</u> : Decrease the gap	FY 2012: 14.4%	Prior Result	Prior	N/A
between the percentage of children		-2%	Result	
nine and older waiting to be adopted	Target:		-2%	
and those actually adopted. (Adoption	13.9%			
Incentives) (Outcome)				
	(Target Not Met)			
<u>7v</u> : Number of children nine and older	FY 2012: 13,184 ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
actually adopted. (Adoption				
Incentives) (Output)	(Historical Actual)			

¹ The FY 2014 target for this performance measure has been updated. As part of the FY 2014 President's budget, ACF set a new target of 12.6 percent for FY 2014. However, based on additional analysis of recent performance and trends, ACF has now adjusted this target to 12.3 percent.

² The actual result for FY 2012 has been updated per updated state reports.

Resource and Program Data Adoption Incentives

Data Category	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$37,229,534	\$37,943,000	\$37,943,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support			
Total, Resources	\$37,229,534	\$37,943,000	\$37,943,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	55	TBD	TBD
New Starts			
#	25	TBD	TBD
\$	\$32,509,028	TBD	TBD
Continuations			
#	30	25	TBD
\$	\$4,720,506	\$11,386,972	TBD
Contracts			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	0
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes:

. 1. Does not reflect release of all Adoption Incentives funding in FY 2014 and FY 2015 since no awards have been made at this time.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Adoption Incentives

FY 2015 Formula Grants

CFDA #

93.603

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	1,187,106	0	0	0
Alaska	490,719	0	0	0
Arizona	5,003,006	0	0	0
Arkansas	1,904,694	0	0	0
California	0	0	0	0
Colorado	47,398	0	0	0
Connecticut	0	0	0	0
Delaware	2,589	0	0	0
District of Columbia	0	0	0	0
Florida	3,948,932	0	0	0
Georgia	0	0	0	0
Hawaii	17,602	0	0	0
Idaho	403,288	0	0	0
Illinois	0	0	0	0
Indiana	2,569,444	0	0	0
Iowa	0	0	0	0
Kansas	173,716	0	0	0
Kentucky	813,679	0	0	0
Louisiana	1,469,486	0	0	0
Maine	11,390	0	0	0
Maryland	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	0	0	0	0
Michigan	0	0	0	0
Minnesota	9,319	0	0	0
Mississippi	976,376	0	0	0
Missouri	1,242,445	0	0	0
Montana	5,177	0	0	0
Nebraska	0	0	0	0
Nevada	1,938,293	0	0	0
New Hampshire	1,553	0	0	0
New Jersey	0	0	0	0
New Mexico	12,425	0	0	0
New York	0	0	0	0
North Carolina	116,080	0	0	0
North Dakota	105,754	0	0	0

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
		-	-	
Ohio	0	0	0	0
Oklahoma	921,296	0	0	0
Oregon	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	122,698	0	0	0
Rhode Island	0	0	0	0
South Carolina	2,310,652	0	0	0
South Dakota	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	0	0	0	0
Texas	8,449,283	0	0	0
Utah	860,787	0	0	0
Vermont	0	0	0	0
Virginia	306,883	0	0	0
Washington	260,581	0	0	0
West Virginia	1,247,770	0	0	0
Wisconsin	216,253	0	0	0
Wyoming	82,860	0	0	0
Subtotal	37,229,534	0	0	0
Total States/Territories	37,229,534	0	0	0
Other	0	37,943,000	37,943,000	0
Subtotal, Adjustments	0	37,943,000	37,943,000	0
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$37,229,534	\$37,943,000	\$37,943,000	\$0

Notes: 1. Does not reflect release of Adoption Incentives funding in FY 2014 and FY 2015 since no awards have been made at this time.

NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$45,462,000	\$46,520,000	\$46,520,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 816 of the Native American Programs Act of 1974

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The programs authorized under the Native American Programs Act (NAPA) of 1974 promote cultural preservation and economic self-sufficiency by serving Native Americans, including 566 federally-recognized tribes, 60 state-recognized tribes and Alaska Native organizations, Native Hawaiian communities, and native populations throughout the Pacific Basin. Native American Programs assist tribal and village governments, Native American institutions and organizations in their efforts to support and develop stable, diversified local economies. Tribes and non-profit organizations use funds to develop and implement sustainable community-based social and economic programs and services to reduce dependency on public funds.

NAPA authorizes funds for projects that promote business development, capacity-building, entrepreneurial activities, financial education, language preservation, as well as the implementation of environmental laws, regulations, and ordinances. Some projects provide services to assist Native Americans with disabilities, the elderly and at-risk youth. Other projects create employment and educational opportunities and some seek to preserve native languages. The Esther Martinez Native American Languages Preservation Act of 2006 reauthorized the Native American languages grant program and created funding opportunities for language nests, survival schools, and language restoration programs.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$48,773,000
2011	\$48,675,000
2012	
2013	\$45,462,000
2014	\$46,520,000

The Administration for Native Americans (ANA) encourages grantees to partner with other tribes, organizations, and agencies to maximize ANA funding and further advance their project goals. Over the last four years, ANA has focused on how to improve transparency and strengthen monitoring and evaluation processes. These improvements have allowed ANA to more accurately report how its grantees are utilizing ANA funding and measure progress toward project objectives and also track their compliance to mandated reporting. For example, beginning in FY 2008, ANA initiated a tracking system to monitor the timeliness of mandated quarterly reports. This system was significantly updated in FY 2009 and ANA now is able to determine the number of reports turned in on time and, as a result, able to quickly notify program specialists and training and technical assistance (T/TA) providers for at-risk grantee follow-up. ANA has found grantee failure to provide reports on time is often the first sign of

more serious problems. Tracking these reports serves as an early warning system, which enables ANA to quickly assess projects that are at risk and provide early intervention. In FY 2012, 80 percent of projects met ANA reporting requirements in a timely manner, exceeding the FY 2012 target of 75 percent and besting the previous year's result by eight percentage points. ANA intends to continue closer monitoring of reporting, which will result in better project compliance and overall project success.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Native American Programs is \$46,520,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will be used to continue to support activities that cover a wide range of community-based social and economic development projects that emphasize self-sufficiency, ensure the preservation and enhancement of Native American languages and enable tribes to plan, develop, and implement environmental improvement programs.

The President and the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services have expressed strong support for strengthening economic development and creating employment opportunities for all Americans. Building upon their commitment to create more jobs and strengthen the economy, ANA, through the Sustainable Employment and Economic Development Strategies (SEEDS) program, seeks to fund projects that will implement strategies that focus on job creation and business opportunities in Native American communities. ANA will allow the use of funds for activities that lead to business startup or business development, as consistent with the ANA's economic development strategies, provided that the expenditures result in the creation of positions that can be filled with local residents.

As previously noted, ANA is continuing its recent focus on performance measures and goals that are within the control of ACF. With respect to the measure on the percentage of applicants who receive T/TA from ANA and then go on to score in the funding range, ANA expects to increase this result by one percentage point over the average of the previous six actual results by 2015. Another performance measure examines the percentage of ANA projects that form or strengthen five or more partnerships. Community partnerships lead to sustainability, the "core" of all projects funded through ANA. ANA has found a direct correlation between the number of project partnerships and project success. ANA will continue to facilitate those partnerships and provide more native communities with opportunities to develop comprehensive goals. In FY 2012, ANA exceeded the target (89 percent) of projects that formed or strengthened five or more partnerships with an actual result of 92 percent. By FY 2015, ANA aims to increase its performance in this area by one percent over the average of the prior six years' results. Since the size of native communities varies drastically, ANA intends to promote partnerships widely at trainings and through T/TA providers, webinars, newsletters and other communications.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>9A</u> : Increase the percentage of	FY 2012: 80%	1 % over avg	1 % over avg	N/A
projects that meet Administration		prior 6 actuals	prior 6 actuals	
for Native American (ANA)	Target:			
reporting requirements in a timely	75%			
manner. (Outcome)				
	(Target Exceeded)			

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>9B</u> : Increase the percentage of	FY 2012: 74%	1% over avg	1 % over avg	N/A
projects that meet or exceed funded objectives. (Outcome)	Target: 69% (Target Exceeded)	prior 5 actuals	prior 6 actuals	
<u>9C</u> : Increase the percentage of	(Target Exceeded) FY 2012: 92%	1 % over avg	1 % over avg	N/A
projects that formed or strengthened five or more partnerships. ¹ (Outcome)	Target: 89%	prior 6 actuals	prior 6 actuals	IV/A
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>9D</u> : Increase the percentage of applicants who receive ANA Training/Technical Assistance (T/TA) and go on to score in the funding range. (Efficiency)	FY 2012: 66% Target: 72%	1 % over avg prior 6 actuals	1 % over avg prior 6 actuals	N/A
<u>9E</u> : Increase the percentage of	(Target Not Met) FY 2012: 72%	1 % over avg	1 % over avg	N/A
grantees that reported sustaining project activities after ANA funding has ended. (Outcome)	Target: 73% (Target Not Met)	prior 6 actuals	prior 6 actuals	
9i: Number of jobs created through	FY 2012: 273	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANA funding. (Output)	(Historical Actual)	10/11	10/11	1011
<u>9ii</u> : Amount of non-federal resources leveraged. (Output)	FY 2012: \$8.84 million	N/A	N/A	N/A
9iii: Number of ANA projects	(Historical Actual) FY 2012: 51 ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>sinc</u> Number of ANA projects involving intergenerational activities. (Output)	(Historical Actual)	11/21	11/73	19/23
<u>9iv</u> : Number of youth involved in	FY 2012: 20,917	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANA projects. (Output)	youth			
	(Historical Actual)			

 ¹ Performance measures for ANA have been renumerated starting with measure 9C.
 ² In FY 2012, 51 of the 64 ANA projects visited involved intergenerational activities.

Resource and Program Data Native American Programs

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Enacted	President's Budget	Request
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$39,430,435	\$39,283,421	\$39,283,421
Research/Evaluation	39,337	45,000	45,000
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance	4,044,767	4,914,821	4,914,821
Program Support	1,947,438	2,276,758	2,276,758
Total, Resources	\$45,461,977	\$46,520,000	\$46,520,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	173	227	227
New Starts			
#	61	111	111
\$	\$14,650,565	\$13,674,830	\$13,674,830
Continuations			
#	112	116	116
\$	\$24,779,870	\$25,608,591	\$25,608,591
Contracts			
#	5	5	5
\$	\$5,985,223	\$7,190,260	\$7,190,260
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	1
\$	\$46,319	\$46,319	\$46,319

Notes:

1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, contract fees and grants panel review costs.

SOCIAL SERVICES RESEARCH & DEMONSTRATION

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Social Services Research and Demonstration	\$0	\$0	\$9,000,000	+\$9,000,000
PHS Evaluation Funds	\$5,762,000	\$5,762,000	\$5,762,000	0
Total, Program Level	\$5,762,000	\$5,762,000	\$14,762,000	+\$9,000,000

Authorizing Legislation – Section 1110 of the Social Security Act and Section 241 of the Public Health Service Act

Allocation MethodCompetitive Grant/Contract

<u>Program Description and Accomplishments</u> – Social Services Research and Demonstration funds support research and evaluation efforts that address the goals of increased stability and economic independence for American families and services that are more effective, cost less, and respond better to customer needs.

Projects are conducted through contracts, cooperative agreements and grants. Evaluation results and data from projects are disseminated to other federal agencies, states, Congress, researchers and others through publications, the internet, conferences, and workshops. As examples, topics of recent projects include subsidized and transitional jobs; career pathways; and approaches to improving program enrollment, engagement, and completion through the use of insights from behavioral economics and psychology.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	
2014	

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Social Services Research and Demonstration is \$14,762,000, a \$9,000,000 increase from the FY 2014 enacted level. Of this amount, \$5,762,000 will be made available through Public Health Service (PHS) evaluation funds as authorized in section 241 of the Public Health Service Act. PHS Evaluation funds will be used to support ongoing and new research projects such as the Subsidized and Transitional Employment Demonstration and Evaluation and a systematic review of the evidence base for employment and training and workforce development interventions.

¹ National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-Being (NSCAW) was partially funded under SSRD in FY2012; \$475,000 was reprogrammed within Children and Family Services

As requested in the FY 2014 President's Budget and as part of the Administration's government-wide initiative to strengthen program evaluation, this request includes \$3,000,000 for a 5-year evaluation study to assess which features of early care and education programs most influence child outcomes, and how variations in such program features interact with characteristics of children, families and communities to produce results. The study will incorporate a rigorous research design intended to enhance the strength of findings, moving beyond global measures of quality and simple linear associations between levels of quality and children's outcomes. The study also will consider the extent of children's exposure to early care and education of differing quality.

This request includes \$6,000,000 for the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-Being (NSCAW). NSCAW is a groundbreaking study of the child welfare population. The study had previously been funded from the Children's Research and Technical Assistance account and section 429(e) of the Social Security Act. The study provides objective, nationally representative data on the experiences, functioning, and well-being of children and families who come to the attention of child welfare authorities. Data from the study are widely used by researchers and are foundational to the efforts of ACF to improve the social and emotional well-being of children both in and out of foster care. After providing \$6 million annually for the study since 1996, Congress did not provide funds for it in FY 2012. Since the study is a high priority, HHS redirected \$1,477,147 in other FY 2012 funds to support completion of the current wave of data collection. However, the study cannot be continued without dedicated funding. This request will allow the study to continue. It allows a broad range of analyses on numerous topics to inform child welfare policy and practice, including information about service needs and service access for children and their families. Continued funding will support enrollment of a new sample of children, so that the study can examine changes in the population served and in the child welfare system over time.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
23i: Total number of grants (SSRD and PHS). (Output)	FY 2013: 13	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	(Historical Actual)			
23ii: Total number of contracts (SSRD and PHS). (Output)	FY 2013: 6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	(Historical Actual)			

Resource and Program Data Social Services Research & Demonstration

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation	\$5,573,207	\$5,231,053	\$14,230,163
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support	186,191	530,947	531,837
Total, Resources	\$5,759,398	\$5,762,000	\$14,762,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	13	18	18
New Starts			
#	10	9	9
\$	\$1,396,186	\$675,000	\$675,000
Continuations			
#	3	9	9
\$	\$392,000	\$787,762	\$787,762
Contracts			
#	8	11	14
\$	\$3,851,849	\$3,713,544	\$12,712,654
Interagency Agreements			
#	3	4	4
\$	\$64,698	\$530,100	\$530,100

Notes:

1. Program Support includes funding for information technology, grant/panel reviews and administrative fees.

DISASTER HUMAN SERVICES CASE MANAGEMENT

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$1,864,000	\$1,864,000	\$1,864,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation – Appropriation language

2015 Authorization Such sums as may be appropriated

Allocation Method Direct Federal

Program Description and Accomplishments – This program was designed in consultation with the HHS Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR) and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as collaboration between the three organizations consistent with the command structure and reporting requirements in the National Incident Management Plan (NIMS) and the National Response Framework (NRF). Drawing upon existing human services and disaster management networks and expertise, the Administration of Children and Families (ACF) assists states in establishing the capacity to coordinate and provide case management services in the event of a presidentially declared disaster for which Individual Assistance (IA) is approved.

Human services federal disaster case management (DCM) involves the following major tasks: (1) identifying persons in need of disaster case management as a result of a disaster caused unmet needs, utilizing outreach prioritizations developed in consultation with the impacted state; (2) identifying needed services (financial assistance, housing, transportation, child care, medical and behavioral health services, etc.) and conducting "asset mapping" for client individuals and families, with a particular focus on children, older adults, individuals with access and functional needs, and other at-risk populations to help them develop a recovery plan; (3) providing information to disaster survivors that will assist them in returning home or relocate to a new home if necessary; (4) referring disaster evacuees to service providers for needed services in the area of their temporary domicile; (5) referring disaster evacuees to FEMA contacts in order to identify assistance; and (6) providing ongoing support and tracking progress of disaster survivors throughout the recovery process. The Disaster Case Human Services Management (DHSCM) Program administered by ACF is designed to assist disaster survivors in successfully navigating the complex relief and social services systems that address disaster-caused unmet needs as well as support the recovery of individuals, families, and communities.

The federal program deploys specialist DCM Assessment Teams to Presidentially declared disasters to develop an objective assessment of the unmet human services needs in communities, and the capabilities of communities and states to meet the DCM needs of their disaster survivors. The federal program supports the ongoing training, screening and credentialing of personnel nationwide to prepare for deployment when the DHSCM program is activated by FEMA. While the Stafford Act funds are used for deployed disaster case management services as requested by the impacted states, the annual program operations funding ensures full capability of the assets and infrastructure required for an activation mission. The Stafford Act was amended by the Post Katrina Emergency Reform Act of 2006, which authorized case management by stating that "the President may provide case management services, including financial assistance, to state or local government agencies or qualified private organizations to provide such services to survivors of major disasters to identify and address unmet needs." Implementing this approach ensures that disaster survivors are linked with existing services and are able to recover more rapidly following a disaster. Ongoing training, screening and credentialing are critical

to building and maintaining a strong deployable federal disaster human services case management workforce. The Federal Disaster Human Services Case Management program provides the expertise and critical infrastructure that supports ongoing management, policy development, coordination and monitoring of disaster human services.

Funding for the program during the first five years has been as follows:

2010\$	
2011\$	1,996,000
2012\$	1,992,000
2013\$	1.864,000
2014 \$	1 864 000

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Disaster Human Services Case Management program is \$1,864,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. This funding will be used to maintain and expand existing deployable capacity, and continue the enhancement of the infrastructure and personnel required to provide a rapidly deployable Federal Disaster Human Services Case Management capability. In addition, the request will provide support and technical assistance to states for joint Federal-State provision of disaster case management services to disaster survivors; facilitate the transition from federal to a state led disaster case management program; continuous program assessment and performance improvement; and expansion of assets and capabilities that meet states' needs. Funding will also ensure nationwide disaster case management capability to assist states in the provision of disaster case management capability to assist states in the provision of disaster case (FTE) federal staff and the infrastructure required for management and operations of the ongoing mission of Disaster Human Services Case management through the ACF Office of Human Services Emergency Preparedness and Response (OHSEPR) program.

Resource and Program Data Disaster Human Services Case Management

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	\$1,607,265	\$1,660,000	\$1,638,000
Training/Technical Assistance			
Program Support	256,992	204,000	226,000
Total, Resources	\$1,864,257	\$1,864,000	\$1,864,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	0	0	(
New Starts			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Continuations			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	1	1]
\$	\$1,607,265	\$1,660,000	\$1,638,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for salaries and benefits associated overhead and travel.

COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$635,284,000	\$674,000,000	\$350,000,000	-\$324,000,000

Authorizing Legislation - Section 674(a) of the Community Services Block Grant Act

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action

Allocation MethodFormula Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) provides grants to states, territories and tribes for poverty reduction, including services to address employment, education, housing assistance, nutrition, energy, emergency services, health, and substance abuse. Each state submits an annual application (State Plan) and certifies that the state agrees to provide: (1) a range of services and activities having a measurable and potentially major impact on causes of poverty in communities where poverty is an acute problem; and (2) activities designed to assist low-income participants, including the elderly, in becoming self-sufficient.

Allocations to states, territories and tribes are based on relative percentages of 1981 funding levels under Section 221 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended. The Community Services Block Grant Act requires states to distribute 90 percent of the funds to eligible entities, and use no more than five percent for administrative costs and up to five percent for other costs and/or technical assistance. In most cases, CSBG eligible entities are Community Action Agencies (CAAs), along with a smaller number of local governments and migrant and seasonal farm worker organizations. In 2012, the CSBG Network of 1,045 CSBG eligible entities served 99 percent of U.S. Counties.

Community Action Agencies' (CAAs) core federal support, institutional framework, and mission come from CSBG. CSBG is used by CAAs to build an infrastructure to support services and activities that address the unique causes and conditions of poverty in the area served by the CAA. The CSBG Act requires CAAs to coordinate a broad mix of federal, state, local and private funds in order to address the complex issues of poverty. In 2012, for every \$1.00 of CSBG, the CSBG Network leveraged \$6.91 from state, local, and private sources, including the value of volunteer hours. The purpose of CSBG flexibility is to support CAAs in coordinating across programs and in filling service gaps in their community, resulting in improved outcomes for communities, families and individuals. CSBG funding supports functions such as shared measurement systems, community and customer engagement, comprehensive community assessment and planning, accountable governance and integrated service delivery. CAAs that perform these functions well serve as anchor institutions in rural, urban and suburban areas all across the country.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$700,000,000
2011	\$678,640,000
2012	\$677,357,000
2013	\$635,284,000
2014	\$674,000,000

States allocate funds to organizations designated as CAAs using formulas outlined in State Plans submitted to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. States must award funding to the designated CAAs and may not reduce funding below the proportional share of funding the CAA received in the previous fiscal year. However, four exceptions exist: changes in recent Census data; designation of a new CAA; severe economic dislocation; or failure of a CAA to comply with state requirements. States are required to notify a CAA of its deficiencies, provide technical assistance if appropriate and allow sufficient time to correct the problem. If a CAA does not correct its deficiency, the state may begin the process of terminating CSBG funding to that CAA. While states may pursue a process to terminate funding, current law does not provide a mechanism to allow for the immediate suspension and redistribution of funds in cases involving evidence of criminal wrongdoing or gross negligence. This limitation in the current law often results in delays in securing interim services to low-income people and communities and can result in service gaps.

The performance management system used by the CSBG Network to document accomplishments is Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA). ROMA was created in 1994 and is based on principles contained in the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993. The ROMA cycle includes: high-quality, comprehensive needs assessments; CSBG plans that target services to the highest priority needs; challenging outcome goals; and documentation and analysis of progress and results according to a consistent set of criteria and national performance indicators.

Currently, ROMA serves primarily as a system of national accountability for the overall efforts of CAAs. ROMA incorporates outcome data that is made possible in whole or in part with CSBG funding, and is a management tool which ensures the purpose of CSBG funding: to provide a mechanism for local communities to assess and address the local conditions of poverty and better focus federal, state, local and private resources to solve the conditions of poverty.

The CSBG Network uses CSBG dollars to leverage funds from other federal, state and local sources to achieve family, individual and community change. According to information submitted by the states as part of their annual reporting requirements, in FY 2012, CSBG dollars accounted for four percent of funds administered through the CSBG Network. These funds provide a flexible strategic resource to address community needs not sufficiently addressed through other funding sources. During that same year, the CSBG Network reported that 32.5 million conditions of poverty that create barriers to economic security were addressed for low-income individuals, families and communities. This result exceeded the FY 2012 target by over six million. These barriers were overcome in many ways. For example:

- The CSBG Network was able to help 103,246 unemployed individuals obtain a job;
- More than 22,200 individuals were able to complete Adult Basic Education or GED coursework and receive certificates or diplomas through CSBG Network initiatives;
- CSBG Network efforts assisted 162,000 low-income people in obtaining safe and affordable housing;
- The CSBG Network assisted 2,163,400 people obtain food assistance; and
- During a time where child poverty increased, the CSBG Network was able to provide services to 6 million children in FY 2012 (e.g. before or after school program placement opportunities for low income children).

The data above is just a sample of what is collected from each CAA, compiled at the state level and then finally at the national level. The data currently are not used as criteria for funding allocations to local CAAs. The ROMA and NPI framework can provide a foundation for additional targeting of resources based on outcomes and effectiveness of services, and since FY 2012, several efforts have been underway to strengthen the effectiveness of services and efficiency of CSBG.

In FY 2012, in response to the GPRA Modernization Act and the Administration's call for CSBG reform, the Administration for Children and Families (ACF), through its Office of Community Services (OCS), initiated the 'ROMA Next Generation' effort to identify methods to increase performance and improve outcomes by ensuring the use of actionable data for decision-making at all levels of the CSBG Network – local, state and federal. Through a task force and a ROMA Center of Excellence (COE), OCS identified ways in which CSBG performance information can most effectively guide the delivery of services and allocation of resources to address high-priority community needs. This effort allowed for a strategic process for performance management, including the refinement of materials and training related to ROMA.

Also in FY 2012, OCS initiated a new Organizational Standards Center of Excellence (COE) focused on creating organizational standards. In July 2013, after extensive input from all levels of the Network, the Organizational Standards COE recommended to ACF a set of standards for CAAs related to key organizational management issues. These organizational standards will support increased accountability. While nationwide implementation of the standards and state-based funding allocation would require legislative changes, OCS is working within its administrative authority to develop guidance on initial implementation. OCS will continue to engage national partners, CSBG state offices and CAAs throughout the process.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Community Services Block Grant is \$350,000,000, a decrease of \$324,000,000 from the FY 2014 enacted level.

Consistent with prior requests, the 2015 Budget targets CSBG resources to high-performing innovative agencies to make best use of the requested funds, and employs a three-pronged approach for increasing accountability and local innovation: 1) reward high performers; 2) provide for competition when programs fail to meet organizational standards; and 3) authorize the immediate suspension of funds in instances of fraud and criminal wrongdoing.

In order to reward high performers, HHS looks forward to working with Congress on reforms that will:

- Allow states to create performance incentive systems, allowing up to 10 percent of the block grant to fund CAAs that demonstrate innovation and best practices;
- Establish required organizational standards among CAAs to strengthen accountability by creating high standards and requiring competition when CAAs fail to meet those standards; and
- Ensure the rapid redistribution of funds and seamless delivery of services in instances of fraud and criminal wrongdoing.

In addition to this three-pronged approach for local entities, the proposal includes corresponding increased accountability at the state and federal levels and calls for the establishment of performance management measures for states and the federal office via legislative changes and the use of existing administrative authorities. Building on the existing local performance management system and expanding accountability to the state and federal levels will ensure all levels of government support and enhance the provision of high-quality services to low-income people and places.

Finally, a set of modifications to strengthen and modify CSBG include the following: modernize and clarify eligibility determination procedures; strengthen community needs assessment and planning; and require allocation of resources based on need at the local level.

ACF will maintain the goal of addressing 13 million conditions of poverty for low-income families, individuals, and communities in FY 2015. Although the FY 2012 target was exceeded, the targets for subsequent years are based on a significantly reduced budget request. The target of 13 million for FY 2015 is consistent with the requested budget level of \$350 million (approximately half the previous funding level in FY 2012). In addition, ACF aims to achieve a 16 percent target for the percentage of CSBG funds used by eligible entities for administrative expenses, including agency-wide administrative expenses. This efficiency measure is an indicator of the CSBG Network's ability to provide services to low-income individuals and families through an efficient and cost effective delivery system. A report issued by the Urban Institute found that, on average in FY 2008, eligible entities spent less on agency-wide administrative expenses (6.8 percent) than a comparable group of non-profits (8.2 percent).

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>12.1LT and 12A</u> : Increase the number of	FY 2012: 32.5 million	26 million ¹	13 million	N/A
conditions of poverty addressed for low- income individuals, families and	Target:			
communities as a result of community action	26 million			
interventions. (Outcome)				
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>12B</u> : Reduce total amount of sub-grantee CSBG administrative funds expended each	FY 2012: 16.07%	16%	16%	N/A
year per total sub-grantee CSBG funds	Target:			
expended per year. (Efficiency)	17%			
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>12ii</u> : Number of individuals served. (Output)	FY 2012: 16 million	N/A	N/A	N/A
	(Historical Actual)			

¹ The FY 2014 target for this performance measure has been updated to reflect the final FY 2014 funding level for the CSBG program.

Resource and Program Data Community Services Block Grant

Data Category	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$625,263,333	\$663,352,190	\$344,750,000
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	500,000	825,000	350,000
Training/Technical Assistance	7,053,181	7,194,617	2,494,000
Program Support	2,459,278	2,628,193	2,406,000
Total, Resources	\$635,275,792	\$674,000,000	\$350,000,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	131	131	128
New Starts			
#	116	128	114
\$	\$626,063,333	\$668,852,190	\$344,750,000
Continuations			
#	15	3	14
\$	\$5,499,622	\$925,000	\$2,750,000
Contracts			
#	3	2	1
\$	\$1,253,559	\$1,594,617	\$94,000
Interagency Agreements			
#	3	4	3
\$	\$754,478	\$887,927	\$711,250

Notes:

1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, grant/panel reviews, travel, salaries/benefits and associated overhead costs.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Community Services Block Grant

FY 2015 Formula Grants

			CFD	A # 93.569
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	11,595,647	12,300,441	6,581,085	-5,719,356
Alaska	2,466,775	2,520,606	767,976	-1,752,630
Arizona	5,163,001	5,476,812	2,930,251	-2,546,561
Arkansas	8,598,250	9,120,859	4,879,919	-4,240,940
California	56,379,949	59,806,772	31,998,323	-27,808,449
Colorado	5,492,312	5,826,139	3,117,150	-2,708,989
Connecticut	7,627,480	8,077,958	4,321,937	-3,756,021
Delaware	3,340,193	3,549,270	875,000	-2,674,270
District of Columbia	10,389,050	11,020,505	5,896,284	-5,124,221
Florida	18,378,307	19,495,357	10,430,570	-9,064,787
Georgia	17,009,582	18,043,439	9,653,753	-8,389,686
Hawaii	3,340,193	3,549,270	1,498,881	-2,050,389
Idaho	3,304,029	3,510,842	917,349	-2,593,493
Illinois	29,870,779	31,686,351	16,953,099	-14,733,252
Indiana	9,207,242	9,766,867	5,225,551	-4,541,316
Iowa	6,843,628	7,259,590	3,884,087	-3,375,503
Kansas	5,161,362	5,475,074	2,929,320	-2,545,754
Kentucky	10,660,383	11,308,330	6,050,278	-5,258,052
Louisiana	14,844,945	15,747,234	8,425,218	-7,322,016
Maine	3,333,620	3,542,285	1,881,868	-1,660,417
Maryland	8,676,635	9,204,009	4,924,406	-4,279,603
Massachusetts	15,755,283	16,621,204	8,892,817	-7,728,387
Michigan	23,235,979	24,624,568	13,174,844	-11,449,724
Minnesota	7,609,391	8,071,896	4,318,694	-3,753,202
Mississippi	10,057,420	10,668,719	5,708,068	-4,960,651
Missouri	17,497,981	18,561,524	9,930,943	-8,630,581
Montana	3,069,553	3,261,690	1,188,745	-2,072,945
Nebraska	4,407,658	4,675,560	2,501,557	-2,174,003
Nevada	3,340,193	3,549,270	875,000	-2,674,270
New Hampshire	3,340,193	3,549,270	971,877	-2,577,393
New Jersey	17,323,122	18,376,037	9,831,702	-8,544,335
New Mexico	3,467,113	3,677,848	1,967,752	-1,710,096
New York	54,881,677	58,217,434	31,147,982	-27,069,452
North Carolina	16,580,450	17,605,333	9,419,354	-8,185,979
North Dakota	3,055,238	3,246,478	800,353	-2,446,125

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	24,649,121	26,147,316	13,989,557	-12,157,759
Oklahoma	7,561,949	8,026,859	4,294,599	-3,732,260
Oregon	5,041,904	5,348,354	2,861,522	-2,486,832
Pennsylvania	26,771,550	28,398,748	15,194,138	-13,204,610
Rhode Island	3,495,548	3,708,011	1,983,891	-1,724,120
South Carolina	9,716,064	10,306,616	5,514,332	-4,792,284
South Dakota	2,746,247	2,918,147	914,417	-2,003,730
Tennessee	12,457,212	13,214,372	7,070,065	-6,144,307
Texas	30,420,666	32,269,661	17,265,187	-15,004,474
Utah	3,264,170	3,468,489	1,364,440	-2,104,049
Vermont	3,340,193	3,549,270	1,006,098	-2,543,172
Virginia	10,123,746	10,739,076	5,745,711	-4,993,365
Washington	7,493,363	7,948,817	4,252,843	-3,695,974
West Virginia	7,078,784	7,509,038	4,017,549	-3,491,489
Wisconsin	7,693,806	8,161,442	4,366,604	-3,794,838
Wyoming	3,340,193	3,549,270	875,000	-2,674,270
Subtotal	590,499,129	626,258,327	325,587,946	-300,670,381
Indian Tribes	4,785,113	5,286,559	2,293,203	-2,993,356
Subtotal	4,785,113	5,286,559	2,293,203	-2,993,356
American Samoa	868,341	922,694	454,943	-467,751
Guam	821,818	873,259	430,568	-442,691
Northern Mariana Islands	514,829	547,054	269,730	-277,324
Puerto Rico	26,638,898	28,258,034	15,118,851	-13,139,183
Virgin Islands	1,135,205	1,206,263	594,759	-611,504
Subtotal	29,979,091	31,807,304	16,868,851	-14,938,453
Total States/Territories	625,263,333	663,352,190	344,750,000	-318,602,190
Other	2,459,278	2,628,193	2,406,000	-222,193
Training and Technical				
Assistance	7,553,181	8,019,617	2,844,000	-5,175,617
Subtotal, Adjustments	10,012,459	10,647,810	5,250,000	-5,397,810
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$635,275,792	\$674,000,000	\$350,000,000	-\$324,000,000

COMMUNITY SERVICES DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Community Economic Development	\$28,083,000	\$29,883,000	\$0	-\$29,883,000
Rural Community Facilities	4,671,000	5,971,000	0	-5,971,000
Total, Budget Authority	\$32,755,000	\$35,854,000	\$0	-\$35,854,000

Authorizing Legislation – Sections 674(b)(3) and 680 of the Community Services Block Grant Act

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action on reauthorization.

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – Community Services Discretionary Activities grants are provided to private, locally-initiated community development corporations which sponsor enterprises providing employment, training, and business development opportunities for low-income residents and to multistate, regional organizations which provide training and technical assistance to small, rural communities for the improvement of drinking water and waste water treatment facilities.

Funding for these programs during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	
2011	\$22,954,000
2012	\$34,924,000
2013	\$32,755,000
2014	\$35 854 000

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request does not include funding for the Community Economic Development (CED) or the Rural Community Facilities (RCF) programs, as the services provided under these programs are similar to those currently operating in other agencies. For the past three years, the \$10 million Healthy Food Financing Initiative (HFFI) funding carve-out of CED has stimulated innovation that supports the "Let's Move!" campaign to address the epidemic of childhood obesity. Grants have been awarded to expand access to fresh, affordable, nutritious foods to residents of low-income communities that currently lack these options by developing and equipping grocery stores, farmers markets, and other small retail businesses and by building or expanding healthy food infrastructure and food distribution networks. In order to maximize efficiencies across agencies, \$35 million (an increase of \$13 million over the FY14 enacted level) for HFFI is included as part of the Department of Treasury's request for the Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) program. With these resources, as well as \$13 million requested for the newly authorized HFFI program in the Department of Agriculture, the FY 2015 Budget supports an overall increase of 50 percent in government-wide funding to increase the availability of affordable, healthy foods in underserved urban and rural communities.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>12iii</u> : Number of jobs created by Community Economic Development program. (Output)	FY 2012: 2,714 ¹ (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ Fiscal year 2012 was the first year of a new reporting process. The total represents jobs created by all CED grants that ended on September 30, 2012.

Resource and Program Data Community Economic Development

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$25,689,383	\$27,414,617	
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance	335,026	359,783	
Program Support	2,058,973	2,108,600	
Total, Resources	\$28,083,382	\$29,883,000	\$0
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	37	35	0
New Starts			
#	37	35	(
\$	\$25,689,383	\$27,414,617	\$0
Continuations			
#	0	0	C
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	2	2	(
\$	\$1,349,274	\$1,405,658	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	2	3	0
\$	\$1,044,725	\$1,062,725	\$0

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, monitoring, and grant/panel reviews.

Resource and Program Data Rural Community Facilities

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive	\$4,572,047	\$5,870,940	
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development			
Training/Technical Assistance	31,525	32,471	
Program Support	67,589	67,589	
Total, Resources	\$4,671,161	\$5,971,000	\$0
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	8	8	(
New Starts			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Continuations			
#	8	8	0
\$	\$4,572,047	\$5,870,940	\$0
Contracts			
#	1	1	(
\$	\$31,525	\$32,471	\$0
Interagency Agreements			
#	1	1	C
\$	\$67,589	\$67,589	\$0

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, and grant/panel reviews.

ASSETS FOR INDEPENDENCE

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$18,593,000	\$19,026	\$19,026,000	0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 416 of the Assets for Independence Act

2015 AuthorizationSuch sums as may be appropriated pending Congressional action

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Assets for Independence (AFI) program continues to support local demonstration projects to develop knowledge about what practices work to assist families with limited means to use individual development accounts (IDAs) to accumulate assets. This program seeks to determine: (1) the social, civic, psychological and economic effects of providing individuals and families with limited means an incentive to accumulate assets by saving a portion of their earned income; (2) the extent to which IDAs that promote saving for post-secondary education, home ownership, and micro-enterprise development may be used to enable individuals and families with limited means to increase their economic self-sufficiency; and (3) the extent to which IDAs stabilize and improve families.

Eligible grantees include non-profit organizations, state, local, or tribal governments that apply jointly with nonprofit and low income credit unions or certified Community Development Financial Institutions that partner with a community anti-poverty organization. Every grantee works closely with the financial institution(s) (bank or credit union) that holds the grant funds and the IDAs on deposit. Many grantees collaborate closely with other organizations that provide financial education training and other supportive services for participants.

Each award is fully funded for a five-year project period; however, grantees normally request a no-cost extension for a sixth year to provide more time for asset purchases. In a given year, ACF monitors and provides oversight of approximately 255 active grants, which includes an average of 44 new grants each year. The maximum grant amount is \$1,000,000 for the five-year project period and the average grant amount is approximately \$286,000.

Grantees are required to provide one dollar of non-federal cash for each dollar of their AFI grant received. In addition, grantees must use federal grant funds and at least an equal amount of nonfederal funds to match participant's IDA savings.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010 \$23,90	07,000
2011\$23,9	77,000
2012	59,000
2013	93,000
2014 \$19,02	26.000

ACF has established a performance-based approach for administering the AFI program. As part of this approach, ACF has worked with grantees to develop meaningful program-wide outcome measures used for program administration and devised project-level performance indicators with annual benchmarks.

The program continues to refine the indicators, adjust the annual benchmarks, and train grantees to use these tools for project management (see Outcome and Output table below).

ACF continues to use AFI as a platform for bringing IDAs, financial education, credit and debt counseling, access to federal tax credits, and other asset-building strategies to working families throughout the nation. In FY 2010, ACF launched an agency-wide initiative— the Assets, Savings, Support, Education and Training, or 'ASSET' Initiative— to encourage more service providers to offer financial education, IDAs and other asset-building tools to the families they serve. This approach has brought these strategies to more families and is forging closer working relationships between AFI grantees and other service providers across the nation. For example, through two pilot programs in Massachusetts and Pennsylvania, dozens of Head Start teachers were trained in financial literacy concepts, financial concepts were incorporated into the Head Start curricula for children, and providers reached out to parents through evening financial literacy classes. ACF has provided support through its national AFI Resource Center, which has become an important source of information, best practices, and guidance on IDAs and related services for AFI grantees and other organizations.

Performance on the two key outcomes for the AFI program has fluctuated in recent years. A fundamental performance measure for the AFI program is the amount of earned income participants withdraw from their IDAs to purchase any of the three assets (i.e., a home, small business or post-secondary education), which are allowable purchases under the AFI statute. In FY 2012, the actual result was \$5.3 million. This result did not meet the FY 2012 target of \$5.8 million, but is a significant improvement over the FY 2005 baseline of \$3.8 million. A complementary performance measure tracks the number of participants who use their IDA savings to purchase these assets. In FY 2012, the actual result for this measure was 4,348 participants. This result also did not meet the target of 4,410 participants, but again, this is a significant improvement over the FY 2005 baseline of 2,925 participants. The success of AFI annual measures are framed by the number of eligible applicants enrolled, and participant savings levels, which all take place over a five-year period. The downturn in the economy likely contributed to a lower number of enrolled participants and the lower amounts saved. For instance, participants purchasing assets in 2012 likely started saving in 2008 or 2009, and may have encountered difficulty in maintaining consistent levels of savings. Participants who became unemployed during this time may not have had the required earned income to save or may have had to withdraw funds due to emergency needs. Similarly, the recession may have made it difficult for grantees to raise sufficient match funds and access federal funds to run a successful program, which in turn may have negatively impacted factors such as organizational capacity, training and technical assistance, and supportive services.

In the fall of 2011, ACF launched a random assignment evaluation of the AFI program in two AFI grantee sites. This evaluation will focus on the impact of AFI program participation on short-term savings, savings patterns and asset purchase by low-income individuals and families. This experimental study builds on the 2008 AFI quasi-experimental evaluation as well as various studies of other non-AFI funded IDA projects, and offers the first rigorous, experimental test of the AFI program. While research suggests that IDAs help low-income families save, both experimental research generally and AFI-specific research are limited. This random assignment evaluation will improve understanding of the program's overall impact on early participant outcomes. The two study sites are RISE Financial Pathways in Los Angeles, CA and Prosperity Works in New Mexico. Baseline data collection for the evaluation, including participant enrollment began in winter 2013 and will continue through spring 2014. The final report with results from the 12-month follow-up will be available in late 2015. The 2008 evaluation, which used data collected from the early- to mid-2000s, found that individuals and families derived substantial benefits from participating in the program. Participants were 35 percent more likely to become homeowners, 84 percent more likely to become business owners and nearly twice as likely to pursue post-secondary education or training compared with a corresponding national sample of AFI-eligible non-participants in the general U.S. population.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the AFI program is \$19,026,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. This request continues the FY 2014 President's Budget proposal to provide the authority to recapture and reallocate unused funds to other qualified grantees. Granting HHS authority to recapture and repurpose any unused funds would expand program reach and maximize service provision with existing dollars. This funding level will support an estimated 45 new grants and provide ongoing support for the AFI program, thereby allowing additional low-income individuals and families to save earned income and increase economic self-sufficiency.

The Administration will continue to work with the Congress on legislative changes to reauthorize and modify the program to support ladders of opportunity into the middle class while promoting effective administration and oversight by: 1) incorporating youth savings accounts as a vehicle to support education and economic mobility; 2) expanding access to savings; 3) increasing grantee capacity to provide financial education; 4) streamlining and refining grant administration at both the grantee and federal levels, including granting the Secretary authority to utilize up to \$1,000,000 annually on research and evaluation to better support high quality program evaluation; and 5) revising technical language to simplify program administration.

The specific proposal to incorporate youth savings accounts into AFI would allow the federal government to expand the use of these accounts by leveraging its leadership role and institutional knowledge in asset development. Research shows that young people with savings accounts are more likely to get better grades and complete more years of education, regardless of their family's income level. These young people also are more likely to graduate from high school, even with as little as \$3,000 in savings. AFI would be able to demonstrate and evaluate a range of approaches to promote savings for young people, testing strategies to address different populations, such as those who are exiting foster care. Such demonstrations would allow further exploration of the effects of asset building on educational outcomes including but not limited to high school completion, postsecondary education enrollment, retention, and completion. When offered in conjunction with financial education, IDAs provide an opportunity for voung people to develop and test their financial decision-making. They can set short-and long-term goals, while also acquiring asset-building tools, such as how to access banking services and how to manage credit and debt. This proposal would also expand access to savings by reaching more underserved communities in need of critical asset-building services, by authorizing the use of AFI IDAs for additional types of asset purchases and by expanding the types of organizations eligible to apply for and administer an AFI grant. This proposal would also expand grantee capacity to provide critical participant support services and offer comprehensive financial education services to help meet performance measures and outcome goals.

ACF continues to strengthen program administration, provide support for grantees, and bolster social services with asset-building strategies to better serve the public by forming close working relationships across ACF offices, partnering with other federal agencies, and piloting new approaches through the ASSET Initiative mentioned above. And, ACF continues to seek ways to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the AFI program. As an example, in FY 2012 ACF determined it would be programmatically beneficial and cost effective to eliminate a monitoring contract and instead conduct monitoring activities with federal staff, redirecting these funds to program grants.

As previously mentioned, AFI measures program success against two core outcome measures (see table below). The annual targets for each year through FY 2015 will be calculated using results from the prior two years. The targets are the average of Year 1 and Year 2 IDA savings deposits used for purchases multiplied by the percentage growth in the number of IDAs opened in Year 2. To ensure the program meets its FY 2014 and FY 2015 annual performance targets, ACF will enhance the training and technical

assistance provided to AFI grantees by: 1) offering support for securing additional match funds; 2) targeting outreach efforts to eligible applicants with a high potential for asset purchases; and 3) encouraging participants to save more earned income in their IDAs and to make asset purchases. The training will be based on best practices from the field on savings behaviors and incentives.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>13A</u> : Increase the annual amount of personal savings that were used by Assets for Independence (AFI) project participants to purchase one of the three allowable types of assets. (Outcome)	FY 2012: \$5,302,857 Target: \$5,822,720 ¹ (Target Not Met)	Avg of two prev years* (adjusted) growth factor	Avg of two prev years* (adjusted) growth factor	N/A
<u>13B</u> : Increase the number of participants who withdraw funds for the three asset purchase goals. (Outcome)	FY 2012: 4,348 participants Target: 4,410 participants ² (Target Not Met)	Avg of two prev years* (adjusted) growth factor	Avg of two prev years* (adjusted) growth factor	N/A
<u>13i</u> : Cumulative number of AFI Individual Development Accounts (IDAs) opened in regular AFI projects. (Output)	FY 2012: 84,137 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>13ii</u> : Cumulative amount of participant savings deposited into regular AFI IDAs. (Output)	FY 2012: \$83,628,371 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ The FY 2012 target is the average of the previous two years' actual results multiplied by the growth factor. The growth factor used to calculate targets for measures 13A and 13B is the percent change in the number of IDAs established in the prior year.

² The prior year targets for FY 2006 – FY 2010 have been recalculated to reflect the amended growth factor.

Resource and Program Data Assets for Independence

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula			
Competitive			
Research/Evaluation	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000
Demonstration/Development	12,699,363	13,250,000	13,000,000
Training/Technical Assistance	3,062,908	2,968,157	2,702,409
Program Support	2,324,066	2,307,843	2,323,591
Total, Resources	\$18,586,337	\$19,026,000	\$19,026,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	45	45	45
New Starts			
#	45	45	45
\$	\$12,699,363	\$13,250,000	\$13,000,000
Continuations			
#	0	0	(
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contracts			
#	6	6	6
\$	\$3,562,908	\$3,468,157	\$3,702,409
Interagency Agreements			
#	3	3	3
\$	\$922,324	\$848,722	\$848,722

Notes: 1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, grant/panel reviews, travel and salaries/benefits and associated overhead costs.

FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND SERVICES/BATTERED WOMEN'S SHELTERS

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$121,225,000	\$133,521,000	\$135,000,000	+\$1,479,000

Authorizing Legislation – Section 303(a) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act

Allocation Method Formula/Competitive Grants

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA) program provides grants to states and Indian tribes to support programs and projects that work to prevent incidents of family violence, domestic violence, and dating violence and to provide immediate shelter and supportive services for adult and youth victims (and their dependents). FVPSA was reauthorized through FY 2015 on December 20, 2010 under Public Law 111-320, the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010.

By statute, not less than 70 percent of FVPSA funds are awarded in grants to states and territories. State grants are allocated based on each state's population, with a minimum of not less than one-eighth of one percent of the amounts available allocated to territories and insular areas. FVPSA specifies that a state may use no more than five percent of its allotment for administrative costs and must distribute the remaining funds to local public agencies and non-profit private organizations, including faith-based and charitable organizations, community-based organizations, tribal organizations, and voluntary associations. Not less than seventy percent of a state's funds must be used to provide immediate shelter and supportive services to adult and youth victims of family violence, domestic violence, dating violence, and their dependents. States may use the remaining funds to: 1) assist victims in the development of safety plans and decisions related to safety and well-being; 2) provide counseling, peer support groups and referral to community-based services; 3) provide services, training, and technical assistance and outreach to increase awareness of family violence, domestic violence and dating violence and increase accessibility of services; 4) provide culturally and linguistically appropriate services; 5) provide specialized services for children exposed to family violence, domestic violence, or dating violence; 6) provide advocacy, case management, and information and referral services; and, 7) provide prevention services including outreach to underserved population.

By statute, not less than 10 percent of FVPSA funds are allocated for grants to Indian tribes, tribal organizations and nonprofit private organizations authorized by an Indian tribe. The amount of each tribal grant is based on the population of the tribe. Tribes use these funds primarily for immediate shelter and supportive services, including focusing on and improving services to victims and their families. Additionally, some tribes have used these funds for public education efforts to break the patterns of family violence, domestic violence and dating violence.

State Domestic Violence Coalitions (SDVCs) receive no less than 10 percent of the appropriation to further the purposes of family violence, domestic violence, and dating violence intervention and prevention. SDVCs serve as information clearinghouses and coordinate statewide programs, outreach and activities. They provide training and technical assistance to local family violence, domestic violence, and dating violence programs (most of which are funded through subgrants from FVPSA state formula grants) on appropriate and comprehensive responses including the development and implementation of best

practices. The grants to SDVCs also support related collaborative efforts with social services sectors such as housing, health, education and child welfare.

The statutorily mandated network of information and technical assistance centers receives at least six percent of the appropriation. The statutory framework requires: a National Resource Center on Domestic Violence; a National Indian Resource Center Addressing Domestic Violence and Safety for Indian Women; and at least seven Special Issue Resource Centers. The statute also allows the funding of State Resource Centers to reduce disparities in states with high proportions of Indian, Alaskan Native or Native Hawaiian populations and to support training and technical assistance that addresses emerging issues related to family violence, domestic violence or dating violence. The purpose of the network of organizations is to provide resource information, training and technical assistance to improve the capacity of individuals, organizations, governmental entities, and communities to prevent family violence, domestic violence and to provide effective intervention services.

The statute also permits funds to be used for grants or contracts to provide technical assistance or to coordinate or provide for research and evaluation on effective practices. Under this authority, funds have been awarded to:

- projects supporting collaborative efforts between child protective service agencies and domestic violence advocacy organizations to develop effective strategies for domestic violence services integration into child protection systems and strategies;
- State Domestic Violence Coalitions to increase the capacity of local domestic violence programs to reach underserved populations;
- three culturally specific institutes to provide training and technical assistance, as well as conduct research and create culturally appropriate, evidenced-based responses to domestic violence;
- projects supporting collaborative efforts between services for runaway and homeless youth and domestic violence victims to improve responses to youth experiencing teen dating violence;
- projects supporting the development of enhanced services in domestic violence programs and other community-based settings for children exposed to domestic violence;
- projects to conduct comprehensive evidence reviews related to key services to adult victims of domestic violence and interventions serving children exposed to domestic violence;
- a project to expand leadership opportunities within the domestic violence field for members of underrepresented groups; and
- a project with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to provide national-level support and coordination for Intimate Personal Violence (IPV) prevention and the development of workplace violence curriculum and training tools through the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	\$130,052,000
2011	
2012	\$129,547,000
2013	

2014	\$133,521,000
------	---------------

In FY 2011, the ACF Division of Family Violence Prevention, through an agreement with the National Institute of Justice, funded a new study that sought to learn more about what domestic violence survivors want when they come to domestic violence programs for supportive services, the extent to which survivors had their service expectations met, and survivors' assessment of immediate outcomes associated with the services they receive. This study surveyed over 1,400 survivors receiving non-residential services in four states and found that survivors need assistance with multiple services, that they report high levels of satisfaction with program services, and attribute progress on indicators of improved safety and well-being to receipt of services including crisis intervention, safety planning, information and support, counseling for themselves and their children, and legal advocacy.)¹

ACF tracks a performance measure that examines the percentage of clients who report improved knowledge of safety planning as a result of FVPSA-funded services. In data collected from FVPSA grantees on the Performance Progress Report for fiscal years 2009 through 2011, 89 percent of domestic violence program clients reported improved knowledge of safety planning as a result of work by FVPSA grantees and subgrantees. In 2011, the target was increased to 90 percent due to previous years' performance. This number remains the performance target as a realistic expectation of client assessment of their increase in knowledge due to services received. A higher number of clients responding that they increased their knowledge is unrealistic because many program participants receive short term crisis assistance and would not be expected to report significant change. In FY 2012, ACF met this target with an actual result of 90.3 percent of clients reporting improved knowledge of safety planning as a result of FVPSA grantees.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Family Violence Prevention and Services Act programs is \$135,000,000, an increase of \$1,479,000 from the FY 2014 enacted level. Appropriations language is continued from FY 2014 to dedicate this increase funding to shelter and support services. Funding at this level will allow increased support for FVPSA-funded programs in response to the increased demand for emergency family violence, domestic violence, and dating violence shelter services, including children's services.

In September 2012, a one-day census of 1,646 domestic violence programs across the country identified that there were 10,471 unmet requests for services in a 24 hour period. Of those, individual requests for emergency shelter or transitional housing accounted for 65 percent. Of the programs reporting in the census, 26 percent indicated that they did not have enough shelter or hotel beds available to house those requesting services and 23 percent reported not having enough specialized services. To address the unmet needs, FVPSA funding will build upon existing resources to strengthen the network of FVPSA funded programs and services.

As previously noted, ACF established a FVPSA performance measure that examines the percentage of clients who report improved knowledge of safety planning as a result of FVPSA-funded services. In FY 2015, the program expects this measure to meet or exceed 90 percent. ACF will coordinate with ACF-funded National Resource Centers and State Domestic Violence Coalitions to provide ongoing technical assistance in order to assure accurate data collection methods. This performance measure captures a key

¹ Lyon, E., Bradshaw, J. & Menard, A. (2011). Meeting Survivors' Needs Through Non---Residential Domestic Violence Services & Supports: Results of a Multi---State Study. Report prepared for National Institute of Justice Grant # 2009---IJ---CX---0027.

program outcome, which is correlated with other indices of longer-term client safety and well-being, and helps document improved work by FVPSA grantees and subgrantees.²

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>14D</u> : Increase the percentage of FVPSA state subgrant-funded domestic violence program clients who report improved knowledge of safety planning. (Outcome)	FY 2012: 90.3% Target: 90%	90%	90%	Maintain
	(Target Exceeded)			
<u>14i</u> : Number of residential clients served by domestic violence programs, including Tribal programs. ³ (Output)	FY 2012: 261,310 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14ii</u> : Number of non-residential clients served by domestic violence programs, including Tribal programs. ¹ (Output)	FY 2012: 996,703 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14iii</u> : Number of shelter nights, state programs. (Output)	FY 2012: 7,521,635 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14iv:</u> Number of shelter nights, tribal programs. ¹ (Output)	FY 2012: 235,378 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14v:</u> Number of unmet requests for shelter (state and tribal programs). ¹ (Output)	FY 2012: 174,947 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14vi:</u> Total number of crisis hotline calls answered by local domestic violence programs, including tribal programs. ¹ (Output)	FY 2012: 2,665,606 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14vii:</u> Number of youth who attended youth-targeted community education programs, including tribal programs. ¹ (Output)	FY 2012: 2,331,041 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14viii:</u> Number of supportive counseling and advocacy contacts with children provided by domestic violence programs, including tribal programs. (Output)	FY 2012: 1,135,001 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>14ix:</u> Number of people trained by FVPSA-funded training and technical assistance providers. ⁴ (Output)	FY 2012: 55,554 (Historical Actual)	N/A	N/A	N/A

 ² Bybee, D. I., & Sullivan, C. M. (2002). The process through which a strengths-based intervention resulted in positive change for battered women over time. <u>American Journal of Community Psychology</u>, <u>30</u>(1), 103-132.
 ³ This result includes 100 percent of the states and 91.5 percent of the Tribal grantees reporting.

⁴ This output measure is currently reported on a voluntary basis.

Resource and Program Data Family Violence Prevention and Services/Battered Women's Shelters

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget
Resource Data:			
Service Grants			
Formula	\$109,102,728	\$120,168,900	\$121,500,000
Competitive	490,000	950,000	450,000
Research/Evaluation			
Demonstration/Development	1,000,000	1,125,000	1,125,000
Training/Technical Assistance	7,938,501	8,498,303	9,028,303
Program Support	2,675,958	2,778,797	2,896,697
Total, Resources	\$121,207,187	\$133,521,000	\$135,000,000
Program Data:			
Number of Grants	270	273	273
New Starts			
#	260	255	253
\$	\$110,102,728	\$120,618,900	\$121,800,00
Continuations			
#	10	18	20
\$	\$8,377,751	\$10,070,000	\$10,250,000
Contracts			
#	3	2	
\$	\$886,868	\$943,513	\$1,000,662
Interagency Agreements			
#	4	3	4
\$	\$448,031	\$460,647	\$500,383

Notes:

1. Program Support includes funding for information technology support, grants/panel reviews, salaries and benefits costs as well as associated overhead.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

State Table - Family Violence Prevention and Services/Battered Women's Shelters

			CFD	A # 93.592
STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Alabama	1,409,947	1,540,303	1,555,983	15,680
Alaska	721,881	741,497	743,857	2,360
Arizona	1,693,227	1,869,175	1,890,339	21,164
Arkansas	1,095,468	1,175,211	1,184,803	9,592
California	6,956,462	7,979,496	8,102,553	123,057
Colorado	1,462,910	1,601,790	1,618,495	16,705
Connecticut	1,203,860	1,301,048	1,312,738	11,690
Delaware	752,982	777,603	780,565	2,962
District of Columbia	704,220	720,994	723,012	2,018
Florida	3,813,914	4,331,174	4,393,392	62,218
Georgia	2,255,263	2,521,667	2,553,712	32,045
Hawaii	831,852	869,167	873,655	4,488
Idaho	867,296	910,316	915,490	5,174
Illinois	2,770,305	3,119,603	3,161,618	42,015
Indiana	1,699,031	1,875,913	1,897,189	21,276
Iowa	1,116,436	1,199,553	1,209,551	9,998
Kansas	1,084,213	1,162,144	1,171,518	9,374
Kentucky	1,336,860	1,455,453	1,469,718	14,265
Louisiana	1,371,512	1,495,682	1,510,618	14,936
Maine	823,989	860,039	864,375	4,336
Maryland	1,582,898	1,741,089	1,760,117	19,028
Massachusetts	1,710,939	1,889,738	1,911,245	21,507
Michigan	2,265,546	2,533,605	2,565,849	32,244
Minnesota	1,501,371	1,646,442	1,663,891	17,449
Mississippi	1,102,304	1,183,147	1,192,871	9,724
Missouri	1,613,658	1,776,800	1,796,424	19,624
Montana	768,339	795,432	798,691	3,259
Nebraska	910,748	960,761	966,777	6,016
Nevada	1,059,268	1,133,185	1,142,076	8,891
New Hampshire	822,304	858,082	862,386	4,304
New Jersey	2,087,623	2,327,046	2,355,846	28,800
New Mexico	951,152	1,007,667	1,014,465	6,798
New York	3,882,662	4,410,986	4,474,536	63,550
North Carolina	2,228,481	2,490,575	2,522,101	31,526
North Dakota	715,340	733,903	736,136	

FY 2015 Formula Grants

CFDA # 93 592

STATE/TERRITORY	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Estimate	Difference from FY 2014 Enacted
Ohio	2,546,971	2,860,324	2,898,016	37,692
Oklahoma	1,239,410	1,342,319	1,354,697	12,378
Oregon	1,252,960	1,358,050	1,370,691	12,641
Pennsylvania	2,748,993	3,094,861	3,136,464	41,603
Rhode Island	777,294	805,829	809,261	3,432
South Carolina	1,389,118	1,516,121	1,531,398	15,277
South Dakota	738,975	761,343	764,033	2,690
Tennessee	1,679,878	1,853,678	1,874,584	20,906
Texas	4,929,845	5,626,708	5,710,530	83,822
Utah	1,075,104	1,151,569	1,160,766	9,197
Vermont	705,643	722,646	724,691	2,045
Virginia	1,965,432	2,185,190	2,211,624	26,434
Washington	1,751,835	1,937,216	1,959,515	22,299
West Virginia	912,893	963,252	969,309	6,057
Wisconsin	1,563,247	1,718,276	1,736,924	18,648
Wyoming	695,813	711,235	713,091	1,856
Subtotal	83,147,672	91,604,903	92,622,186	1,017,283
Indian Tribes	12,122,525	13,352,100	13,500,000	147,900
Subtotal	12,122,525	13,352,100	13,500,000	147,900
American Samoa	121,225	133,521	135,000	1,479
Guam	121,225	133,521	135,000	1,479
Northern Mariana Islands	121,225	133,521	135,000	1,479
Puerto Rico	1,225,106	1,325,713	1,337,814	12,101
Virgin Islands	121,225	133,521	135,000	1,479
Subtotal	1,710,006	1,859,797	1,877,814	18,017
Total States/Territories	96,980,203	106,816,800	108,000,000	1,183,200
Discretionary Funds	1,490,000	2,075,000	1,575,000	-500,000
Other Training and Technical	14,798,483	16,130,897	16,396,697	265,800
Assistance	7,938,501	8,498,303	9,028,303	530,000
Subtotal, Adjustments	24,226,984	26,704,200	27,000,000	295,800
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$121,207,187	\$133,521,000	\$135,000,000	\$1,479,000

Notes: 1. Other - Funding is provided for the State Domestic Violence Coalition.

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE HOTLINE

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Total, Budget Authority	\$2,992,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	\$0

Authorizing Legislation - Section 303(b) of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act

Allocation Method Competitive Grant

<u>Program Description and Accomplishments</u> – The National Domestic Violence Hotline (Hotline) is a cooperative agreement which funds the operation of a 24-hour, national, toll-free telephone hotline to provide information and assistance to adult and youth victims of family violence, domestic violence or dating violence, their family and household members, and others affected by the violence in an effort to build healthy, safe and supportive communities. The Hotline publicizes its telephone number and the services it provides to potential users throughout the United States, including the Territories.

The Hotline serves as a critical partner in the intervention, prevention and resource assistance efforts of the network of family violence, domestic violence and dating violence service providers. It provides assistance in the following areas: (1) crisis intervention and counseling by helping the caller identify problems and possible solutions, including making plans for safety in an emergency; (2) information about resources on domestic violence and dating violence, children exposed to domestic violence, sexual assault, intervention programs for batterers, working through the criminal and civil justice systems; and (3) nationwide referrals to domestic violence shelters and programs, social service agencies, programs addressing the needs of children exposed to domestic violence, legal assistance agencies, economic self-sufficiency programs, and other related services.

The Hotline maintains a comprehensive resource database on services for victims of family violence, domestic violence and dating violence, including the availability of shelters to which callers may be referred or directly connected. Trained advocates are available for non-English speakers, and the Hotline is accessible to persons who are deaf and hard of hearing.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010 \$3,20	9,000
2011 \$3,20	
2012 \$3,19	7,000
2013 \$2,99	
2014	0,000

Measurement of the Hotline's performance has historically focused on the percentage of total annual responses to calls in relation to the number of calls received. This performance measure acknowledges that tracking the answers or responses to calls is a better determinant of the Hotline's usefulness than reporting the number of calls received (as previously reported). In FY 2013, the Hotline responded to 80 percent of the calls received, meeting the target for the year. It is not feasible for 100 percent of calls received to be answered due to unanticipated spikes resulting from media coverage promoting the Hotline phone number in response to high profile domestic violence cases and increases in call volume during the

rollover of state or local program crisis lines during an emergency or disaster. In addition, some situations require a caller to disconnect before an advocate can answer (e.g. the abuser enters the room). Starting with the FY 2014 reporting year, the Hotline is expanding its services to meet the needs of the community through digital technology such as texting and online chatting. It is anticipated that there will be a shift from all calls to a combination of calls, chats and texts. As a result of ongoing efforts to increase public awareness, broaden communication with digital technology, and improve access for vulnerable populations (including those with limited English proficiency), each year, thousands more domestic violence victims are linked with the shelter and support services they need to increase their safety.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for the Domestic Violence Hotline is \$4,500,000, the same as the FY 2014 enacted level. These funds will be used to continue to support staff in order to ensure a timely response to requests for help including during periods of peak demand. In addition, funds will be used to maintain efforts to build staff capacity to provide enhanced culturally-sensitive services to callers from underserved communities such as immigrant and refugee populations, Native Americans and Native Alaskans, and lesbian, gay, bisexual or transgender populations.

As previously noted, starting in FY 2014, the Hotline's performance will focus on response to calls by measuring the percentage of total annual responses to contacts via phone, chats and texts in relation to the number of calls, chats and texts received. In FY 2015, the Hotline intends to maintain its target goal of responding to at least 80 percent of the calls, chats and texts received through the addition of trained staff, including those with bilingual skills. Increased technical assistance will be provided and evaluation efforts will be undertaken to achieve performance standards and to develop performance outcomes that assimilate the utilization of digital technology such as online chats and texts along with mainstream telephone services. As a result of ongoing efforts to increase public awareness, broaden communication with digital technology, and improve access for vulnerable populations, including those with limited English proficiency, each year, thousands more domestic violence victims are linked with the shelter and support services they need to increase their safety.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>14A :</u> Increase the capacity of the National	FY 2013: 80%	80%	80%	Maintain
Domestic Violence Hotline to respond to				
increased call volume (as measured by	Target: 80%			
percentage of total annual calls to which the hotline responds). ¹ (Outcome)				
the notific responds). (Outcome)	(Target Met)			
<u>14x</u> : Total average number of calls	FY 2013: 20,711	N/A	N/A	N/A
received per month by the National	(Historical			
Domestic Violence Hotline. (Output)	Actual)			

¹ Starting in FY 2014, this measure will be expanded to include calls, chats, and texts.

Resource and Program Data Domestic Violence Hotline

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Data Category	Actual	Enacted	President's Budget	
Resource Data:				
Service Grants				
Formula				
Competitive	\$2,991,589	\$4,100,000	\$4,100,000	
Research/Evaluation		400,000	400,000	
Demonstration/Development				
Training/Technical Assistance				
Program Support				
Total, Resources	\$2,991,589	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	
Program Data:				
Number of Grants	1	1	1	
New Starts				
#	0	0	1	
\$	\$0	\$0	\$4,100,000	
Continuations				
#	1	1	(
\$	\$2,991,589	\$4,100,000	\$0	
Contracts				
#	0	1	1	
\$	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000	
Interagency Agreements				
#	0	0	C	
\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION

Funding Level	FY 2013 Enacted	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 President's Budget	Change From FY 2014 Enacted
Federal Administration	\$196,617,000	\$197,701,000	\$204,832,000	+\$7,131,000
Center for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships	1,299,000	1,299,000	1,368,000	+69,000
Total, Budget Authority	\$197,916,000	\$199,000,000	\$206,200,000	+\$7,200,000

Allocation Method Direct Federal

Program Description and Accomplishments – The Federal Administration account includes funding for salaries and benefits and associated expenses of the Administration for Children and Families (ACF), as well as the Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships Initiatives, necessary to effectively administer federal programs that promote the economic and social well-being of families, children, individuals and communities. ACF conducts operations at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., in the ten regional offices of the Department of Health and Human Services, eleven audit offices of the Office of Child Support Enforcement, and ten field offices for the Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC) Program in various locations throughout the country.

Funding for the program during the last five years has been as follows:

2010	
2011	
2012	
2013	\$197.916.000*
2014	\$199,000,000

*FY 2012 and FY 2013 levels are shown comparably reflecting the shift of funding from ACF to the Administration for Community Living for federal administration funds which are spent in support of the Administration for Developmental Disabilities.

In FY 2013, ACF achieved its target of demonstrating success in government-wide management initiatives by achieving results in four areas: Human Resources, Financial Management, Real Property Asset Management, and Information Technology. In the area of financial management, ACF's objectives include ensuring the program integrity and effective stewardship of its resources, enhancing internal controls and reducing improper payments. The Department received a clean opinion on its FY 2012 Chief Financial Officer (CFO) audit representing the thirteenth consecutive clean audit opinion for ACF, with no ACF-specific material weaknesses in FY 2012. As a result of the October 2013 lapse in discretionary appropriations for the federal government, the FY 2013 CFO audit was extended and the auditor opinion is pending. The ACF Program Integrity Team continues its mission to assist the Secretary in carrying out initiatives, which have enabled a number of achievements. For example, the Office of Head Start partnered with the American Institute for Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) to create and host a webinar to an audience of more than 500 members of the auditor community. The webinar goal was to improve auditors' understanding of Head Start's compliance requirements, which is part of a larger strategy to address Head Start's risk around Single Audit reports. This webinar was a tremendous success – auditors not only received detailed guidance from program officials, but were permitted to ask questions

regarding specific concerns surrounding the Head Start program. AICPA is encouraging other federal agencies to partner with them to disseminate information of this kind.

Budget Request – The FY 2015 request for Federal Administration is \$206,200,000, an increase of \$7,200,000 from the FY 2014 enacted level. This funding will provide continued support to the Department's Center for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships as well as support ACF 1,402 FTE's, an increase of 58 FTE from the FY 2014 estimate. The additional FTE will be funded entirely from program funding and support expanded program responsibilities under current law and President's Budget legislative requests. The expanded program responsibilities include support for the Early Head Start-Child Care Partnerships (35 FTE) and the growing number of children in the Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC) program (8 FTE). The legislative requests include an expansion of Child Care TA activities focused on improving program integrity, federal monitoring and on-site visits (13 FTE) and a new proposal to scale up evidence-based psychosocial interventions for children in foster care (2 FTE). In order to maintain FTE supported through Federal Administration funding, ACF will continue to carefully manage these limited resources and continue to identify more efficient ways to operate and mitigate inflationary increases in both pay and non-pay costs.

This request reflects an increase of \$7,200,000, \$2.5 million to fund inflationary increases in pay and nonpay costs and \$4.7 million to support costs related to moving four of the ACF regional offices (Philadelphia, Atlanta, Dallas and San Francisco) and the move and consolidation of ACF Headquarters staff into the Switzer building during FY 2015. Move costs include reconfiguration of existing space to meet agency needs and re-instillation of agency IT and information systems. These moves are part of government-wide efforts to reduce long term rent/utility cost by reducing per person space use, and, when appropriate, moving from private to government-owned space.

The ACF request includes funding to support the Department-wide enterprise information technology and government-wide E-Government initiatives. Operating Divisions help to finance specific HHS enterprise information technology programs and initiatives, identified through the HHS Information Technology Capital Planning and Investment Control process, and the government-wide E-Government initiatives. The HHS enterprise initiatives meet cross-functional criteria and are approved by the HHS IT Investment Review Board based on funding availability and business case benefits. Development is collaborative in nature and achieves HHS enterprise-wide goals that produce common technology, promote common standards, and enable data and system interoperability.

With respect to performance, in FY 2015, ACF aims to achieve the highest level of achievement in the following four management areas: Human Resources, Financial Management, Real Property Asset Management, and Information Technology.

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2014 Target	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Target +/- FY 2014 Target
<u>11A</u> : Obtain the highest level of success for each management initiative. (Outcome)	FY 2013: Highest level of success in all management initiatives (4) Target: 4 (Target Met)	Highest level of success in all management initiatives (4)	Highest level of success in all management initiatives (4)	Maintain