



**The Personal Responsibility
Education Program**

**Launching a
Nationwide
Adolescent Pregnancy
Prevention Effort**

October 2013

OPRE Report Number:
2013-37

Contract Number:
HHSP23320110011YC

Mathematica Reference Number:
06991.D51

Submitted to:
Clare DiSalvo, Project Officer
Dirk Butler, Project Officer
Administration for Children and Families
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Submitted by:
Robert G. Wood, Project Director
Mathematica Policy Research
P.O. Box 2393
Princeton, NJ 08543-2393
Telephone: (609) 799-3535
Facsimile: (609) 799-0005

**The Personal Responsibility
Education Program (PREP):
Launching a Nationwide
Adolescent Pregnancy
Prevention Effort**

October 2013

Susan Zief
Rachel Shapiro
Debra Strong



MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

This report is in the public domain. Permission to reproduce is not necessary. Suggested citation: Zief, Susan, Rachel Shapiro, and Debra Strong (2013). The Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP): Launching a Nationwide Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Effort, OPRE Report # 2013-37, Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

This report and other reports sponsored by the Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation are available at <http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/index.html>.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, the Administration for Children and Families, or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

CONTENTS

OVERVIEW..... vii

INTRODUCTION..... 1

 The Focus of This Report: States’ Key Decisions Regarding Their
 PREP Programs 3

LAUNCHING STATE PREP PROGRAMS 5

 State PREP Decisions Reflect Funding Requirements and
 Expectations 6

 State Approaches for Ensuring That Programs Cover Abstinence
 and Contraception and Integrate Adulthood Preparation
 Subjects Vary..... 15

 States Develop an Infrastructure to Support Implementation Fidelity..... 17

CONCLUSION 20

REFERENCES..... 21

APPENDIX A STATE PROFILESA.1

APPENDIX B DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION STUDY METHODSB.1

APPENDIX C PROGRAM MODELS TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY STATES
 AND PROGRAM PROVIDERSC.1

FIGURES

1 States Receiving PREP Formula Funding, by Year of Receipt 1

2 PREP Programmatic Expectations..... 2

3 State PREP Structure Unfolds 5

4 Iowa’s State PREP Structure 6

5 State Decisions Reflect Federal Expectations..... 6

6 Program Providers Will Target High-Risk Youth 8

7 PREP Programs Are Operating Primarily in Schools 10

8 States Will Serve Most Youth Using Programs Identified by HHS as
Evidence-Based 11

9 Program Models That Will Serve the Greatest Number of Youth 11

10 Healthy Relationships, Adolescent Development, and Healthy Life
Skills Are the Most Popular Adulthood Preparation Subjects 16

11 “Train the Trainer”—A Popular Training Approach 17

12 State Approaches for Monitoring Fidelity..... 19

OVERVIEW

To help reduce teen pregnancies and their negative consequences, as well as sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and associated risk behaviors, Congress authorized the Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) as part of the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA). Most of the PREP funding (\$55.25 million of \$75 million, annually) was designated for formula grants to states and territories. PREP is administered by the Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) within the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

State PREP grantees had discretion to design their programs in alignment with four primary expectations. State PREP programs are expected to (1) be evidence-based, (2) provide education on both abstinence and contraceptive use, and (3) educate youth on at least three of six adulthood preparation topics. States are also encouraged to target their programming to high-risk populations, such as youth residing in geographic areas with high teen birth rates, adjudicated youth, youth in foster care, minority youth, and pregnant or parenting teens.

This report documents states' program decisions, drawing from data collected through telephone interviews with state grantee officials in 44 states and the District of Columbia. The report is the first product of the PREP Multi-Component Evaluation, led by Mathematica Policy Research.

Key Findings

- **Most youth will participate in evidence-based programs.** Over 93 percent of the 300,000 expected PREP program participants will be served by programs that are among the 31 that HHS has identified as evidence-based, through a systematic review of teen pregnancy prevention effectiveness evaluations.¹
- **States' program providers are targeting high-risk youth populations.** Three-fourths of program providers will operate in high-need geographic areas, and states report that their program providers expect to serve primarily African American and Hispanic youth, youth in foster care, and adjudicated youth.
- **States are taking various approaches to educate youth on both abstinence and contraception and incorporate adulthood preparation subjects.** About half of the states actively assessed their selected program models for coverage of abstinence and contraception, and about half selected their adulthood preparation subjects and assessed program coverage of these subjects. Otherwise, states gave their providers discretion to ensure that these expectations are met.
- **State PREP grantees are uniformly creating an infrastructure to support successful replications of evidence-based programs through training, TA, and monitoring.** State grantee staff are taking a key role in organizing and implementing these efforts, and are partnering with developers, program purveyors, and other organizations to provide such support.

¹ See <http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/oah-initiatives/tpp/tpp-database.html>.


The PREP evaluation will continue to document states' PREP program implementation, through a second round of telephone interviews with State PREP grantees scheduled for 2014, as well as the analysis of performance management data provided by PREP grantees. The evaluation will also assess the impacts of PREP-funded programs in four or five sites using a random assignment design. All three components of the evaluation will expand the evidence base on teen pregnancy prevention programs, and will help identify the decisions, successes, and challenges involved in replicating, adapting, and scaling up evidence-based programs.

INTRODUCTION

Although teenage pregnancy rates have dropped in recent years, births to mothers under age 20 remain relatively common in the United States. In 2011, more than 300,000 babies were born to women ages 15 to 19 (Hamilton et al. 2012). Adolescent parenthood can have substantial negative consequences for both the parents and their children. Teenagers who give birth are less likely to receive a high school diploma or postsecondary degree than women who delay childbearing (Maynard and Hoffman 2008). In turn, children of teenage mothers are more likely to become teen parents themselves and are incarcerated at higher rates than children of mothers who postponed childbearing until after age 20 (Grogger 2008; Haveman et al. 2008). All told, the impacts of teenage parenting accumulate throughout generations, imposing an estimated \$27.8 billion in social costs in the United States each year (Maynard and Hoffman 2008).

To help reduce teen pregnancies and their negative consequences, as well as sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and associated risk behaviors, Congress authorized the Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) as part of the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA). PREP is administered by the Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) within the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Under the ACA, Congress appropriated \$75 million in annual funding to PREP for a mix of competitive and state formula grants for evidence-based and promising new teen pregnancy prevention programs. Most of the funding (\$55.25 million) was designated for formula grants to states and territories. Forty-two states, the District of Columbia, and the Federated States of Micronesia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands began receiving formula grant funds in 2010, and three additional states began receiving funding in 2011 (Figure 1).² This report focuses on the state formula grant portion of the PREP program, or “State PREP.”

Figure 1. States Receiving PREP Formula Funding, by Year of Receipt



² Florida, North Dakota, Texas, Virginia, Indiana, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Marshall Islands, and Palau did not take PREP funding.

ACYF provided four primary expectations to State PREP grantees to guide their program decision making (Figure 2). First, as per the ACA, ACYF expects that the State PREP programs will “replicate evidence-based effective programs or substantially incorporate elements of effective programs that have been proven on the basis of rigorous scientific research to change behavior, which means delaying sexual activity, increasing condom or contraceptive use for sexually active youth, or reducing pregnancy among youth.”³ To help states achieve this expectation, HHS identified 31 evidence-based programs through a systematic review of teen pregnancy prevention effectiveness evaluations.⁴ States are encouraged (although not mandated) to select from among this list of programs; states can also substantially incorporate elements of evidence-based programs into their PREP offerings or select other programs with evidence of effectiveness based on rigorous scientific research.

Figure 2. PREP Programmatic Expectations

Emphasis on Evidence-Based Programming	✓ Provide evidence-based programs or substantially incorporate elements of them.
Focus on High-Risk Populations	✓ Target youth living in areas with high teen birth rates, youth in foster care, adjudicated youth, minority groups (including LGBTQ youth).
Coverage of Abstinence and Contraception	✓ Provide education on both abstinence and contraception.
Incorporation of Adulthood Preparation Subjects	✓ Educate youth on at least three adulthood preparation subjects.

Second, states are encouraged by ACYF to target their programming to high-risk populations. These could include youth residing in geographic areas with high teen birth rates, adjudicated youth, youth in foster care, minority youth, and pregnant or parenting teens. States are also encouraged to be sensitive to the needs of youth who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning [LGBTQ]. These expectations regarding target populations reflect the ACA’s guidance that states focus their PREP programming on youth populations that are “the most high-risk or vulnerable for pregnancies or otherwise have special circumstances.”⁵ Programs can be offered to youth ages 10 to 19 and to pregnant and parenting women under 21.

Third, PREP programs must provide education on both abstinence and contraceptive use; specifically, the ACA requires that programs place “a substantial emphasis on both abstinence and

³ See [<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-111publ148/html/PLAW-111publ148.htm>].

⁴ See [<http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/oah-initiatives/tpp/tpp-database.html>].

⁵ See [<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-111publ148/html/PLAW-111publ148.htm>].

contraception for the prevention of pregnancy.”⁶ Finally, as per the ACA, programs must educate adolescents on at least three of six adulthood preparation topics: (1) healthy relationships, (2) adolescent development, (3) financial literacy, (4) parent-child communication, (5) education and employment skills, and (6) healthy life skills.

In line with PREP’s emphasis on evidence-based programming, Congress mandated a federal evaluation of PREP. To meet this need, ACYF and the Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation within ACF contracted with Mathematica Policy Research and its subcontractors to conduct the PREP Multi-Component Evaluation. This seven-year effort will document how PREP-funded programs are operationalized in the field and assess their effectiveness in reducing teenage pregnancies, STIs, and sexual risk behaviors.

The PREP Multi-Component Evaluation includes three key components with distinct data collection activities. The first component, the Design and Implementation Study, will provide a broad descriptive analysis of how state PREP grantees meet the funding expectations. The second component, the Performance Analysis Study, will focus on the collection and analysis of performance management data from PREP grantees. The third component, the Impact and In-Depth Implementation Study, will assess the impacts and implementation of PREP programs in four or five sites. All three components of the evaluation will help expand the evidence base on teen pregnancy prevention programs, and help identify the decisions, successes, and challenges involved in replicating, adapting, and scaling up evidence-based programs. This report on State PREP program decisions is the first product of the evaluation and reflects early data collection activities of the Design and Implementation Study.

The Focus of This Report: States’ Key Decisions Regarding Their PREP Programs

State PREP grantees have discretion in terms of how they apply the PREP expectations within their local contexts. This report first describes key decisions states made about the design of their PREP programs, particularly:

- **Who** states intend to serve,
- **How** states plan to reach these populations,
- **Where** states will serve youth (for example, what types of implementation settings), and
- **What** programs states will provide.

The report simultaneously examines the factors that influenced states’ decisions on the target populations, program providers, implementation settings, and program models.

Next, the report addresses the various approaches that states are taking to meet the requirements of PREP that are unique among other federal teenage pregnancy prevention initiatives—that programs provide education on both abstinence and contraception, and incorporate at least three adulthood preparation subjects. Finally, the report documents how states are organizing themselves to support their PREP programs, particularly their efforts to provide training and technical assistance (TA), and to monitor fidelity of their selected programs. Appendix A

⁶ See [<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-111publ148/html/PLAW-111publ148.htm>].

contains profiles summarizing State PREP program plans for each of the 45 states included in this report.⁷

We gathered data for this report through phone interviews with state grantee officials in 45 states. The interviews covered the key decisions states had to make to launch their PREP programs and why they made them; state plans to support their PREP programs through training, TA, and monitoring; and state plans to address both abstinence and contraception and to cover adulthood preparation subjects. Most interviews were conducted in summer 2012. The data collection and analytic approaches are described in greater detail in Appendix B.


⁷ In the rest of the report, we use the term *state* to refer to the 44 states plus the District of Columbia. No data were collected from the Wyoming PREP grantee.

LAUNCHING STATE PREP PROGRAMS

Early state decision making for PREP focused on who to serve, how to reach the intended populations, where to provide programming, and what program models to implement. States had considerable discretion in making these decisions. For example, they could choose to provide PREP through the grantee agency (typically the state health department), another state agency, or local organizations (sub-awardees). The states and their selected program providers could also choose their implementation locations and the number of implementation sites. In addition, states had a degree of autonomy in selecting their target populations within the broad funding requirements, provided that their selection was supported by a state-conducted needs assessment. States had more explicit guidance in their choice of program models in that they were expected to replicate or substantially incorporate evidence-based programs. Even so, states had numerous models or approaches to select from that would meet this expectation.

Making these decisions and preparing to launch PREP programs was an intricate process. Decisions regarding *who* to serve, *how* to reach them, *where* services will be provided, and *what* program models to provide were not independent, but were instead interrelated. For example, some program models are developed for specific youth populations and settings, and program providers were often asked to demonstrate to the state that the selected models were the right “fit” for the youth population. Typically, just a few state administrators oversaw the construction of the PREP structure in their states, and therefore launching the PREP programs took some time. On average, states had three staff (amounting to an average of 1.3 full-time equivalents) overseeing this process, and about half the states took 15 months or more before PREP programs started enrolling youth.

Figure 3. State PREP Structure Unfolds




When the interviews for this report were conducted, most states had identified their targeted populations, program providers, implementation settings, and program models. As shown in Figure 3, 306 program providers will be operating across the 45 states and are implementing 32 different programs. The providers will operate in 1,350 implementation sites and expect to serve 300,000 youth during the grant period.

Most states chose a common structure for serving youth, selecting local organizations (sub-awardees) as program providers. Within this common structure, each sub-awardee generally has more than one type of implementation setting (for example, both in-school and after-school settings), and more than one site for each setting type. Nationwide, nearly one-third of program providers will operate their PREP programs in different types of implementation settings, and three-fourths will provide PREP programs in multiple sites per setting.

Iowa’s PREP program structure provides an illustration of the typical structure many states selected to bring programming to youth (Figure 4). The state grantee—the Iowa Department of Health—selected five program providers (sub-awardees) to implement its three selected program

models. Most of the programs are implemented either during the school day or after school, and most providers are operating in more than one school.


Figure 4. Iowa’s State PREP Structure



State PREP Decisions Reflect Funding Requirements and Expectations

The structure of the PREP program in each state has several key dimensions: the number of youth expected to be served, the number of implementation sites, the number of different program models, and the number of program providers. The primary decisions states made regarding populations, sites, program models, and providers are aligned with the grant expectations (Figure 5). Overall, states are targeting at-risk youth, most of whom will participate in evidence-based programs operating in school settings. In addition, states strategically chose local providers that are well positioned to implement their plans. In any given state, the decisions reflect local context and needs, and are interrelated.

Figure 5. State Decisions Reflect Federal Expectations



SPOTLIGHT ON A STATE: OKLAHOMA


Key Decisions Are Representative of Those Made in Many States

Oklahoma’s state PREP plan exemplifies the typical decisions that are being made nationwide. Officials in the Oklahoma State Department of Health conducted a needs assessment to determine which areas and populations in the state were most at risk for teen pregnancies and STIs. As a result of this assessment, Oklahoma decided to bring PREP to two high-need geographic areas (Oklahoma City and Tulsa) where there are large numbers of African American, Hispanic, and Native American youth.

Oklahoma is implementing PREP programming in schools. Implementing in schools was a particularly attractive choice for Oklahoma, for two reasons. First, schools offer an efficient means to reach the target population and deliver the intended program dosage. Second, implementing evidence-based, comprehensive teenage pregnancy prevention programs during the school day reflects recent efforts to expand adolescent health programming in the schools.

Oklahoma has contracted with the Oklahoma City and Tulsa County health departments to provide programming. Their educators—and not the classroom teachers—will deliver programming during the school day. Both health departments have a history of working with schools in the targeted areas.

The state selected three evidence-based programs—*Making Proud Choices*, *Making a Difference*, and *Reducing the Risk*. In selecting its programs, Oklahoma only considered those on the HHS evidence-based list. After consulting with the Oklahoma City and Tulsa County health departments, state officials selected the three programs that they assessed as being most appropriate, given the target populations and the decision to integrate programming into the school day.




States plan to target high-risk youth

ACYF encouraged states to provide services to groups deemed at highest risk of teen pregnancy and STIs. Within several months after states received funding, ACYF required states to justify their choice of target population using data from a state-conducted needs assessment. The needs assessment was to include the rates of teen births and STIs by population and geographic region. The results of this assessment, and the way it was used to identify the target population(s), were to be included in the ACYF-required post-award state plan.

Three-fourths of states intend to provide PREP programs solely in high-need geographic areas, which states identified primarily as counties or metropolitan regions with above the state average rates of teenage pregnancy and STIs. States also reported that they plan to bring PREP programs to populations at greater risk, such as youth in foster care (19 states), adjudicated youth (14), runaway and homeless youth (6), and minority youth (African Americans [13] and Hispanics [15]). In addition, five states plan to target youth who identify as LGBTQ. Most states plan to serve multiple target populations (for example, minority youth in high-need geographic areas).

States' program providers are making plans to serve these state-identified target populations. Among the 306 program providers identified at the time of the interviews for this report, 227 plan to operate in high-need geographic areas. As Figure 6 shows, the most frequently identified populations that program providers plan to serve are African American youth (110 program providers), Hispanic youth (99), youth in foster care (75), and youth in the juvenile justice system (67). Fewer program providers plan to serve pregnant and parenting teens (22), youth who identify as LGBTQ (21), and runaway or homeless youth (18). More program providers plan to serve youth aged 14 and older (304) than youth aged 13 and younger (198). Most program providers plan to serve more than one population, or youth in more than one age range.

Figure 6. Program Providers Will Target High-Risk Youth



States strategically selected program providers

The most prevalent approach for connecting youth with PREP programs is through sub-awards to local organizations. PREP programs are being provided primarily through sub-awardees in 43 of the 45 states.⁸ Forty-one of these 43 states selected sub-awardees as their sole vehicle for PREP program provision; two states are also working through state agencies. The selected sub-awardees are most often local nonprofits, such as community-based organizations, schools and school districts, and local health departments.

States' criteria for selecting specific sub-awardees reflect a commitment to implementing programs with fidelity, engaging youth, and reaching the target populations. In most states, the process was competitive, with potential providers responding to the states' requests for proposals. In these cases, states screened for providers with experience implementing the states' selected programs (or similar programs) with fidelity, and with experience engaging youth, particularly when programs will operate in non-school settings (such as voluntary programs being offered by community-based organizations). One-quarter of states did not use a competitive process and instead actively recruited organizations that could reach their intended target populations of youth in foster care, youth in the juvenile justice system, runaway and homeless youth, and youth who identify as LGBTQ.

State Agencies as Program Providers

The use of state agencies, as opposed to local organizations, as PREP program providers may be rare, but necessary when these agencies are the best means by which to reach the target population. Below are several examples:

- **Connecticut.** The Department of Public Health contracted with one sub-awardee, but also funds the Connecticut Department of Education to reach youth in institutionalized school settings.
- **Alaska.** The Department of Health and Social Services administers the PREP grant, and exclusively funds the Department of Education and Early Development to provide PREP programs through schools in high-need geographic areas.
- **South Dakota.** The Department of Health administers the grant and exclusively funds the Department of Social Services and the Department of Corrections to provide PREP programs to youth in foster care and in the juvenile justice system.


States are implementing PREP primarily through schools

School-based settings make up more than half the planned implementation sites (Figure 7), and most of these (616 of 758) are operating programs during the school day as opposed to after school. Fewer than half of the implementation locations are in other types of settings: community-based organizations (310 sites), foster care group homes (110), juvenile detention centers (69), clinics (45), or treatment facilities (38).⁹

⁸ Hawaii and Minnesota intend to make sub-awards but had not yet selected the organizations at the time of the interviews for this report.

⁹ These treatment facilities serve youth who are delinquent, are receiving psychiatric or substance abuse treatment, or have emotional or behavioral issues.

Figure 7. PREP Programs Are Operating Primarily in Schools



States reported that schools are attractive settings for service provision because they can serve many youth at relatively low cost. States that are implementing PREP only in schools, such as Alaska and Maine, will spend one-fourth of what it costs to serve a program participant in states operating exclusively outside school. Implementing programming in schools may also be beneficial for ensuring intended dosage and sustainability. The primary programmatic approach in schools is to integrate the selected program with a required class (such as health); this suggests that youth in school-based programs could receive all or most of the provided dosage. In addition, schools provide an infrastructure by which to promote sustainability. Once curricula are purchased and teachers are trained, or schools forge relationships with trained health educators in local public health departments, programming can be sustained at relatively low cost.

State Policy and Context Influence Where PREP Programs Operate

States that have health education policies that are aligned with the PREP requirement to provide comprehensive education on *both* abstinence and contraception are implementing PREP programs primarily through schools.


States that have health education policies that emphasize abstinence education are implementing PREP programs primarily outside of schools (for example, in juvenile justice facilities, foster care group homes, community-based organizations, and clinics).

Some states have no specific health education policies regarding education on abstinence or contraception, and offer a mix of in-school and out-of-school programming. In some cases, states' knowledge of local context suggests that comprehensive programming would not be welcome in schools, and programming is therefore being offered outside of schools.

States plan to serve most youth with evidence-based program models


The ACA legislation authorizing PREP stipulates that State PREP grantees implement evidence-based programs or incorporate substantial elements of them. Over 93 percent of all expected PREP program participants will be served by programs that are among the 31 that HHS identified as evidence-based (Figure 8).

Figure 8. States Will Serve Most Youth Using Programs Identified by HHS as Evidence-Based



In terms of the number of youth that states expect to serve, the six most popular programs are (Figure 9): (1) *Making Proud Choices*, (2) *Be Proud! Be Responsible!* (3) *Reducing the Risk*, (4) *Making a Difference*, (5) *¡Cuidate!* and (6) the *Teen Outreach Program (TOP)*.¹⁰ States explained that they are using these programs to reach large numbers of youth in part because of their prior success implementing the programs in their states. PREP therefore provides a means to scale up evidence-based programming already in place. Furthermore, the structure of most of these programs—multiple lessons of an hour or less—lends itself to integration with school day programming, where large numbers of youth can be served. In total, program providers across all states intend to implement 32 models (Appendix Table C.1). No states chose to “substantially incorporate” elements of various evidence-based programs to create a PREP-funded program.

Figure 9. Program Models That Will Serve the Greatest Number of Youth



¹⁰ For a complete description of these programs, see [http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/oah-initiatives/teen_pregnancy/db/programs.html].

Most states (38) selected seven or fewer program models that their providers could implement. The most prevalent criterion states used to select program models was fit for the target population and planned settings. Many states chose their target population prior to program selection and then chose appropriate models. For example, Oregon and Arizona selected *¡Cuidate!* after deciding that their PREP programming would serve Hispanic youth. Pennsylvania selected *Street Smart* and *Rikers Health Advocacy Program* to serve youth in detention centers or in other residential, partial hospitalization, or outpatient treatment programs. Similarly, states ruled out specific models as not appropriate for their target populations. For example, several states plan to implement PREP programming for rural white youth and did not select models they felt were designed for an urban, minority population.

Twenty-four state grantees received assistance from other state agencies and advisory groups in selecting their program model. Some states sought input from state agencies that serve specific types of youth to identify a model that would best fit the needs of that population. For instance, Arkansas worked with the state Department of Human Services, Division of Children and Family Services to select a program model for youth in foster care. A number of states received guidance on their program model selections from broader advisory groups composed of other state agencies, local health departments, and nonprofit organizations. In such states, like Louisiana and New Hampshire, the advisory groups had a direct role in selecting the program model(s) to be implemented. But in other states, the grantee made the final program model decisions after receiving advisory group input.

Among states that plan to implement PREP in more specialized settings, decisions on how best to deliver a program in such settings were linked closely to selection of the most appropriate program. States anticipated difficulty providing the full intended dosage to youth moving in and out of detention centers, moving between foster care placements, or living temporarily under the care of a clinic or runaway/homeless shelter. Nine states reported selecting programs with shorter duration or fewer sessions so that they could ensure that the youth in such settings would have a better chance of receiving the entire program. For example, one of California's sub-awardees is providing the four-hour *SHARP* program during a single session to serve youth in foster care, the juvenile justice system, and temporary housing shelters. With this structure, even among a highly mobile youth population, those who volunteer for the programming receive the entire intended dosage.

States' second-most-prevalent factor in program model selection was familiarity with the program. States wanted to be confident that they and their selected providers could implement programs with fidelity. Thirteen states chose programs already being implemented in their state. Several others selected programs with which their intended providers had experience. Some states expect to allow additional programs after the first two years of implementation. By that point, they believe they will have the administrative capacity to ensure fidelity to additional programs.

Finally, states also focused on making efficient use of grant resources as they selected specific program models. For example, some states selected models that were already being implemented in their state to minimize start-up and training costs. Other states selected a small number of allowable models to minimize state administrative costs in providing TA and monitoring implementation.

States allow adaptations of evidence-based programs to serve high-risk populations

States appear to have recognized the potential tension between an emphasis on implementing evidence-based programs with fidelity and the anticipated challenges of serving high-risk populations. Nearly all states reported that they will allow program providers to adapt the content or delivery of evidence-based programs as necessary to suit their target populations. Most of these states explained that any adaptations will be guided by the CDC Department of Reproductive Health’s “adaptation kit,” which categorizes possible changes to the program model as “green, yellow, and red light” adaptations. States consistently stated that “red light” adaptations will need their approval, but they vary in the degree to which they plan to oversee “green light” and “yellow light” adaptations. For example, in some states program providers can make green or yellow light adaptations without any state review or approval, while other states require that their PREP administrators review and approve all planned adaptations. Half of the states also reported that they will seek input (or already have) from the program developers (14) or ACYF (9) as part of a process to assess the proposed adaptations.

Although most states said that adaptations would be permissible, fewer than 10 reported that evidence-based programs have been adapted. Most of the adaptations made to date alter content or delivery in an effort to better serve high-risk populations. For example, Massachusetts adapted *Making Proud Choices* to increase the use of gender-neutral terminology for LGBTQ youth. Other adaptations made across a few states include reducing the number of sessions while still offering the same total hours of programming, and eliminating sessions that are duplicative or are inappropriate for the population (such as off-site trips for youth in detention facilities).

SPOTLIGHT ON A STATE: TENNESSEE


A Statewide Approach to Serving Youth in Foster Care

Tennessee is using PREP funds to serve youth in foster care between the ages of 15 and 18, a population the state determined to be at high risk for teen pregnancies and STIs through the needs assessment it conducted for its PREP post-award state plan. The state grantee worked with an advisory group to select a program model it believed would be most appropriate for this population. It selected the *Teen Outreach Program (TOP)*, distributed by the Wyman Center, primarily because of its focus on positive youth development, but also because it addresses both pregnancy and STI prevention.

Foster care youth will be offered *TOP* in 8 congregate care homes operated by private providers licensed by the State of Tennessee. Congregate care homes were selected because youth in residential settings have a greater probability of receiving the intended program dosage than youth who are dispersed in family-based care settings, spread over wide geographic areas.

The state and its advisory group visited several private providers operating congregate care homes in an effort to identify those with the capacity to provide *TOP*. Consideration was given to the average length of stay in the homes, the severity of the residents' mental health and behavioral issues, and relationships between the private providers and their communities given the *TOP* community service learning requirement.

The average length of stay in congregate care homes in Tennessee is shorter than the nine months the Wyman Center prescribes for *TOP*. To address this problem, the state received permission from the Wyman Center to adapt the program model so that the youth can participate with greater frequency and receive the required dosage in four months.



State Approaches for Ensuring That Programs Cover Abstinence and Contraception and Integrate Adulthood Preparation Subjects Vary

PREP is the first federal grant effort to require (as specified by the ACA, its authorizing legislation) that the funded teen pregnancy and STI prevention programs must address both abstinence and contraception. It is also the first federal grant effort requiring, through the legislation, that states supplement pregnancy prevention education with preparation for adulthood. Although states received fairly explicit guidance from ACYF regarding target populations and allowable program models, ACYF allowed states more discretion in ensuring that their programs address both abstinence and contraception and adulthood preparation subjects. The various approaches that states took to address these requirements, and the various decisions they made, reflect this context.

States vary in the degree to which they oversee these requirements and the approaches they take in addressing them

About half the states took an active role in assessing whether the selected program models place a substantial emphasis on both abstinence and contraception, and independently assessed whether abstinence and contraception were addressed adequately. This approach led to varying assessments of the same program model. For example, among the 10 states implementing *¡Cuidate!*, three assessed whether the program’s coverage of abstinence and contraception was sufficient for the needs of their target population, and one of the three states added an additional lesson on contraception.

The remaining states responded in one of two ways: (1) they assumed that the evidence-based programs they could select for PREP did cover both abstinence and contraception as sufficiently as intended by ACYF,¹¹ or (2) they pushed the decision making regarding what it means to place a substantial emphasis on both abstinence and contraception down to their program providers, often without plans to oversee providers’ decisions.


Similarly, a little more than half the states selected the three adulthood preparation subjects that their program providers must address. Most often, adulthood preparation subject “selection” was accomplished by program model selection; the adulthood preparation subjects that states said they plan to address were those they assessed as already covered by their previously selected program models. The remaining states allowed program providers to identify their adulthood preparation subjects; only a few of these states oversaw providers’ assessments that the programs covered the provider-selected adulthood preparation subjects.

As with ensuring coverage of abstinence and contraception, relatively few states (nine) decided that supplemental materials were needed to cover adulthood preparation subjects. Almost all of these states (eight) selected lessons from existing curricula: *Raíces y Alas (Roots and Wings)*; *Real Money, Real World*; *Relationship Smarts Plus*; *Love Notes*; *FLASH*; *Botvin Life Skills*; or *Sex-Ed 101*.

¹¹ The State PREP Funding Opportunity Announcement identified one program that was abstinence-only and would therefore have to be adapted to cover contraception.

States reported that their program providers plan to implement three of the adulthood preparation subjects more so than the others (Figure 10): (1) healthy relationships (289 providers), (2) adolescent development (247), and (3) healthy life skills (221). These subjects are likely the most prevalent because the intended content for these subjects suggests that they are more commonly incorporated in comprehensive teenage pregnancy prevention programs. For example, ACYF defines “healthy relationships” as including positive self-esteem and relationship dynamics, friendships, dating, romantic involvement, marriage, and family interactions; “adolescent development” includes development of healthy attitudes and values about adolescent growth and development, body image, racial and ethnic diversity, and other related subjects; and “healthy life skills” include goal-setting, decision making, negotiation, communication and interpersonal skills, and stress management.¹² The content areas for these three adulthood preparation subjects are aligned with the comprehensive, positive youth development focus of many of the evidence-based teenage pregnancy prevention programs grantees were encouraged to select for their PREP programs.

Figure 10. Healthy Relationships, Adolescent Development, and Healthy Life Skills Are the Most Popular Adulthood Preparation Subjects



¹² The suggested content of the three more prevalent adulthood preparation subjects—healthy relationships, adolescent development, and healthy life skills—is found in the PREP Funding Opportunity Announcement. Fewer, if any, examples of content are provided in the Funding Opportunity Announcement about the other adulthood preparation subjects—financial literacy, parent-child communication, and educational and career success.

States Develop an Infrastructure to Support Implementation Fidelity

In developing their plans, nearly all states moved beyond meeting the primary PREP programming requirements and created an infrastructure to support high quality program implementation. Maintaining fidelity to their selected programs, most of which are among those with evidence of effectiveness, is an objective of nearly all State PREP grantees. Forty states are providing training and TA for their program providers, as well as monitoring program delivery. States are devoting about one-third of their budgets to developing this infrastructure; in some cases, state grantee staff are organizing and implementing training, TA, and monitoring, while in other states, PREP administrators are using part of their PREP funding to contract with other organizations to conduct these activities.


Training focuses on implementing program models with fidelity

In most states, training is focusing on delivering the core content of the program as intended. Some states are offering training on other topics: about one-third of states are offering training on addressing the adulthood preparation subjects, about one-fourth are supplementing training on the program model with best practices for delivering teenage pregnancy prevention programs to youth, and a few are focusing training on working with high-risk populations. For example, Pennsylvania is partnering with the Persad Center to provide training to program facilitators to help raise their awareness of issues related to serving youth who identify as LGBTQ.

Among those states funding training for their program providers, more than half (24) are working closely with the program developer or distributor. For instance, ETR Associates is providing training to staff in eight states implementing its programs (*All4You*, *Becoming a Responsible Teen*, *Draw the Line/Respect the Line*, and *Reducing the Risk*). More than half the states (28) are also working with organizations that have expertise in delivering the programs or training others to do so, such as regional Planned Parenthood organizations, Campaigns to Prevent Teen Pregnancy, and training service organizations. For example, Oregon used Cardea Services and John Snow International, both of which provided training services on health education curricula, to train state staff and facilitators to implement *Cuidate!*

Half the states (23) are training program providers with a “train the trainer” approach (Figure 11). Under this approach, staff from a program developer or an outside organization train state grantee staff. These staff in turn train program provider staff. Last, in some cases, these program provider staff train facilitators in other partner organizations. For example, the Ohio Department of Health is using this approach to bring *Reducing the Risk* to youth in foster care and in juvenile justice across the state. First, state staff are trained by a local organization well versed in the program; then those staff train the program providers. Finally, the program providers train the front-line facilitators in each of their partner organizations.

Figure 11. “Train the Trainer”—A Popular Training Approach



“Train the Trainer” is attractive because it can lower training costs. Costs from the developer or training organization are incurred only once, and for just a few state staff. The approach can also support sustainability, as people at several “layers” within a state are trained in the program. This model is prevalent in states implementing just one or two program models. This suggests that the “train the trainer” approach is logistically possible when the state is managing the launch of a couple of PREP programs. However, “train the trainer” approaches can possibly create greater variation in the quality of the training because the training of program providers and facilitators is not being provided by one source (Hill et al. 2010). To counteract this possible outcome, trainers could benefit from follow-up consultations and trainings.

Technical assistance will respond to emerging needs

To support implementation more broadly and address unexpected needs or challenges during implementation, state PREP grantees are making plans to supply ongoing TA to their program providers. States anticipated that their TA will cover primarily topics related to implementation challenges, such as recruiting, retaining, and working with youth (particularly high-risk youth) and implementing programs with fidelity. States also reported that their program providers may need assistance in incorporating into the program models supplemental lessons that reflect planned adaptations to the programs, or in addressing adulthood preparation subjects. Besides help implementing the programs as intended, states also anticipated that their providers will need assistance supporting the states’ own fidelity-monitoring or evaluation plans, and reporting federal performance measures.


States are establishing an infrastructure that will inform them of TA needs as youth begin to participate in PREP programs. In most states, grantee staff will play a key role in providing TA, working closely with their partners who also supported initial training. As part of this infrastructure, state staff will conduct ongoing needs assessments. Most states will administer a survey to assess provider challenges and needs. In addition, states will hold calls, conferences, and site visits to assess TA needs. Almost half of states plan to schedule regular calls with providers, and slightly fewer plan to meet directly with providers through statewide conferences or other events. About a third of states plan to identify TA needs through on-site visits with the program providers.

Large-scale monitoring effort will focus on implementing with fidelity

States expect providers to implement program models with fidelity. Nearly all states (44) plan to monitor the fidelity of all their PREP programs. Therefore, large-scale efforts to monitor fidelity are under way nationwide, extending across about 30 different program models, 300 program providers, and 1,300 implementation sites. States are taking on this large effort of their own volition; states’ PREP grantees did not receive explicit requirements regarding monitoring fidelity.

States plan to use both direct observation (primarily by state grantee staff) and reports prepared by providers (such as fidelity-monitoring logs) to gather data on implementation fidelity (Figure 12). In some states, the developer or program purveyor will provide fidelity-monitoring logs to the states. For example, the Wyman Center will provide such logs to its TOP implementation partners. When these logs are not available, states reported that they will take the lead in developing them by working with developers or other partners who supported training.

Figure 12. State Approaches for Monitoring Fidelity



States are still developing support infrastructure

At the time of the interviews for this report, most states were just launching their PREP programs. Training had occurred or was in process. However, most states were just beginning to identify TA needs and did not have concrete plans for responding to those needs. In addition, despite a large-scale effort to gather data on implementation fidelity, at the time of the interviews, few states had specific plans for analyzing the data and acting on the results.

CONCLUSION

State PREP is now being implemented nationwide. In this report, we describe how states have designed and launched their PREP programs, focusing on the four primary federal expectations for State PREP:

- Provide evidence-based programs or substantially incorporate elements of them,
- Target high-risk youth populations,
- Provide education on both abstinence and contraception, and
- Incorporate at least three adulthood preparation subjects into PREP programs.

States reported that they will use PREP funds primarily to serve youth with evidence-based programs. They also reported that their program providers are targeting the high-risk youth populations that are a priority for ACYF, and that they selected these populations based on findings from their needs assessments.

States' approaches for educating youth on both abstinence and contraception and incorporating adulthood preparation subjects show greater variability, perhaps due to the greater flexibility granted to states by ACYF to meet this expectation. Having the flexibility to decide how to address abstinence and contraception, and to determine the specific content of their adulthood preparation programming, allows states to align these programmatic expectations with the needs of the target populations and the local contexts. For example, states serving youth transitioning from foster care can decide what content to offer based on their assessment of the needs of these youth.

State PREP grantees are uniformly creating an infrastructure to support successful replications of evidence-based programs through training, TA, and monitoring. State grantee staff are taking a key role in organizing and implementing these efforts, and are partnering with developers, program purveyors, and other organizations to provide such support. These similar efforts across the State PREP grantees may reflect an emerging nationwide norm: careful attention to implementation fidelity and continuous quality improvement in the context of federal initiatives to fund evidence-based programming. As State PREP grantees gain more experience overseeing these programs, we will have a clearer understanding of the role this infrastructure plays in supporting the implementation of these evidence-based programs.

REFERENCES

- Grogger, J. “Consequences of Teen Childbearing for Incarceration among Adult Children.” In *Kids Having Kids: Economic Costs & Social Consequences of Teen Pregnancy*, edited by S.D. Hoffman and R.A. Maynard. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, 2008.
- Hamilton, B.E., J.A. Martin, and S.J. Ventura. “Births: Preliminary Data for 2011.” *National Vital Statistics Reports*, vol. 61, no. 5, October 2012.
- Haveman, R., B. Wolfe, and E. Peterson. “Children of Teen Mothers as Young Adults.” In *Kids Having Kids: Economic Costs & Social Consequences of Teen Pregnancy*, edited by S.D. Hoffman and R.A. Maynard. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, 2008.
- Hill, Ian, Ashley Palmer, Ariel Klein, Embry Howell, and Jennifer Pelletier. “Assessing the Train-the-Trainer Model: An Evaluation of the Data and Democracy II Project.” Washington, DC: Urban Institute, 2010.
- Maynard, R.A., and S.D. Hoffman. “The Costs of Adolescent Childbearing.” In *Kids Having Kids: Economic Costs & Social Consequences of Teen Pregnancy*, edited by S.D. Hoffman and R.A. Maynard. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, 2008.

APPENDIX A
STATE PROFILES

KEY TERMS

Grant Details	
Key Partners	Organizations and/or other state agencies/offices that are assisting with PREP program implementation (through training, technical assistance, and/or monitoring) and/or PREP program evaluation. Advisory groups and/or program providers ¹³ are not included in this field unless they also have a direct role supporting the activities named above.
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	The total annual amount of PREP funds disbursed (or in the process of being disbursed) to sub-awardees and/or state agencies that will be implementing the PREP programs in the first year of programming. This amount does not include funds the grantee intends to allocate, but has not yet disbursed, to sub-awardees and/or state agencies.
Allowable Program Model(s)	Program models that states have decided can be implemented with PREP funds. Some of these allowable models may not be implemented – for example, program providers may choose to implement only a subset of these models.
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Adulthood preparation subjects that grantees have decided can be integrated with PREP programming. Some of these allowable adult preparation subjects may not be implemented – for example, grantees may have allowed program providers to select three of the selected subjects.
Primary Target Populations	Target populations that grantees intend to primarily serve with PREP funds, identified prior to selecting program providers.
First Program Year Implementation Detail	
Program Models Being Implemented	The counts (in parentheses) represent the number of program providers that intend to implement each selected program model.
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	The counts (in parentheses) represent the number program providers that intend to implement each selected adult preparation subject.
Intended Target Populations	The counts (in parentheses) represent the number of program providers that intend to serve each selected target population. These groups may differ from, or be subsets of, the primary target populations identified by the grantee.
Implementation Settings	The counts (in parentheses) represent the number of sites for each kind of implementation setting across all program providers.
Programming Began	The dates represent when sub-awardees and/or state agencies began providing PREP programs to youth, which may differ from the dates in which the grant or sub-award began. For some states, a range is provided if program start dates across program providers was staggered.

¹³ Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth.

ALABAMA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Alabama Department of Public Health, Children’s Health Division, Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Branch
Key Partner(s)	Auburn University School of Human Development and Family Studies; Alabama Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$789,678
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$400,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk; SiHLE; What Could You Do?; (All models supplemented with Relationship Smarts Plus or Love Notes)
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,500
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	100 Black Men of Greater Montgomery; Tuscaloosa County Health Department; University of Alabama Birmingham
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$75,000–\$175,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Reducing the Risk supplemented with Relationship Smarts Plus (3)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Adolescent development (3); Parent-child communication (3); Healthy life skills (3)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (2); Juvenile justice (3); Foster care (2); High-need geographic areas (3)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (9); Foster care group homes (8); Juvenile justice facilities (7); Clinics (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	525
Programming Began	October 2011–March 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

ALASKA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Section of Women’s Children’s and Family Health
Key Partner(s)	Alaska Network on Domestic Violence
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$150,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	The Fourth R – Alaska Perspectives
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Native Alaskan youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	5,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	The Department of Education and Early Development (DEED)
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$150,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Fourth R Perspective (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (1); Adolescent development (1); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	Native Alaskan youth (1); High-need geographic areas (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (19)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	750
Programming Began	January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

ARIZONA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Arizona Department of Health Services, Bureau of Women’s and Children’s Health, Office of Women’s Health
Key Partner(s)	Arizona Department of Education, Touchstone Behavioral Health, Arizona Department of Economic Security, Sheila Murphy Consulting Group
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,099,599
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$795,515
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; ¡Cuidate!; Draw the Line/Respect the Line; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk; Teen Outreach Program; Promoting Health Among Teens! Comprehensive Abstinence and Safer Sex Intervention
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Financial literacy; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	23,925
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Arizona Youth Partnership; Pima Prevention Partnership ¹ ; Pinal Hispanic Council; Worthy Institute; BJ Youth Foundation; Mariposa Community Health Center; University of Arizona Cooperative Extension, Maricopa; University of Arizona Cooperative Extension Office, Pinal
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$41,747–\$208,733
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (1); ¡Cuidate! (1); Making Proud Choices! (2); Reducing the Risk (1); Teen Outreach Program (4)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (9); Financial literacy (6); Educational and career success (4); Healthy life skills (9)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (1); Latino youth (8); Foster care (1); High-need geographic areas (9)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (13); In school, after the school day (3); Community-based organizations (9); Foster care group homes (8); Community center (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	4,865
Programming Began	October 2011–September 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Pima Prevention Partnership has two separate sub-awards.</p>	

ARKANSAS PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Arkansas Department of Health, Women's Health Section
Key Partner(s)	Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Children and Family Services; Arkansas Department of Education; New Futures for Youth
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$485,372
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$318,671
Allowable Program Model(s)	Becoming a Responsible Teen; CAS - Carrera Program; Making a Difference!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	864
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Centers for Youth and Families
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$318,671
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (1); Reducing the Risk (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Financial literacy (1); Educational and career success (1); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	Foster care (1); High-need geographic areas (1)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (6); Foster care group homes (2)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	288
Programming Began	January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

CALIFORNIA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	California Department of Public Health; Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health Division
Key Partner(s)	University of California, San Francisco; ETR Associates; California HIV/STD Prevention Training Center
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$6,553,554
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$5,111,772
Allowable Program Model(s)	All4You; Be Proud! Be Responsible!; Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective!; ¡Cuidate!; SHARP; Horizons; Making a Difference!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk; SiHLE; Sisters Saving Sisters
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	36,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Planned Parenthood Shasta Diablo - Colusa; Del Norte County Office of Education; Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission; Planned Parenthood Shasta Diablo - Glenn; Imperial County Office of Education; Clinica Sierra Vista, Inc.; California Health Collaborative; Lake County Family Resource Center; Madera County Public Health Department; Planned Parenthood Mar Monte - Merced; Monterey County Health Department; Riverside Community Health Foundation; Planned Parenthood of the Pacific Southwest; Planned Parenthood of Orange and San Bernardino; San Bernardino County Department of Public Health; Delta Health Care; The Community Action Commission of Santa Barbara County; Stanislaus County Health Services Agency; Tehama County Department of Education; County of Tulare Health & Human Services Agency; Planned Parenthood Mar Monte - Yuba
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$50,000–\$557,035
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	All4You (1); Be Proud! Be Responsible! (12); ¡Cuidate! (5); SHARP (6); Horizons (1); Making a Difference! (2); Making Proud Choices (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (21); Adolescent development (17); Parent-child communication (14); Healthy life skills (11)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (4); Latino youth (9); Juvenile justice (12); Foster care (4); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (16); Pregnant and parenting teens (2)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (73); Community-based organizations (19); Foster care group homes (9); Juvenile justice facilities (17); Clinics (3); Learning Centers (3); Career Tech Center (1); College Campus (2); Soccer Club (2)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	11,762
Programming Began	November 2012–April 2013
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

COLORADO PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Colorado Department of Human Services, Office of Economic Security, Division of Colorado Works
Key Partner(s)	Colorado Youth Matter; The Healthy Colorado Youth Alliance; Prime Time Research & Evaluation
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$793,058
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$420,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; Becoming a Responsible Teen; ¡Cuidate!; Draw the Line/Respect the Line; SHARP; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk; Teen Outreach Program; Safer Choices; Tailoring Family Planning Services to the Special Needs of Adolescents
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Parent-child communication; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Foster care; Runaway/homeless; High-need geographic areas; LGBTQ; Low-income youth
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	600
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Denver County Department of Human Services; Garfield County Department of Human Services; Huerfano County Department of Social Services
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$140,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (1); ¡Cuidate! (1); Draw the Line/Respect the Line (2); Street Smart (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Parent-child communication (3); Healthy life skills (3)
Intended Target Populations	Latino youth (1); Foster care (1); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (2); LGBTQ (1); Low-income youth (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (7); In school, after the school day (2); Community-based organizations (3); Foster care group homes (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	335
Programming Began	March 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

CONNECTICUT PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Connecticut Department of Public Health, Public Health Initiatives Branch, Family Health Section
Key Partner(s)	Connecticut Department of Education; Planned Parenthood of Southern New England; University of Connecticut, Center for Applied Research in Human Development
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$596,440
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$246,163
Allowable Program Model(s)	Making Proud Choices!; Teen Talk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Youth in state institutions; Juvenile justice; Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,750
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Planned Parenthood
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	Connecticut Department of Education
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$59,100–\$187,063
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (1); Teen Talk (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (2); Adolescent development (2); Parent-child communication (2); Healthy life skills (2)
Intended Target Populations	Youth in psychiatric care (1); Youth who have been abused or neglected (1); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (3); Clinics (17)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	350
Programming Began	September 2011–December 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

DELAWARE PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Delaware Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Family Health Systems Management Section
Key Partner(s)	Planned Parenthood of Delaware; Rocky Mountain Center; APS Healthcare
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$246,133
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; Making Proud Choices!
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,034
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Planned Parenthood of Delaware
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$246,133
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (1); Making Proud Choices! (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (1); Adolescent development (1); Parent-child communication (1); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (1); Latino youth (1); High-need geographic areas (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (8); Community-based organizations (2)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	634
Programming Began	September 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Office of the State Superintendent of Education, Wellness and Nutrition Services
Key Partner(s)	Children’s Hospital of Los Angeles, Sociometrics, George Washington University
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$100,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Adult Identity Mentoring; Be Proud! Be Responsible!; ¡Cuidate!; SiHLE; The Grassroots Project; Sexual Wellness Advocacy by Teens; Teen Life Club—Steps to S.T.A.R.dom
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	Foster care; Runaway/homeless; High-need geographic areas; Pregnant and parenting teens
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	3,050
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Athletes United for Social Justice; Latin American Youth Center; Planned Parenthood of Metropolitan Washington, DC; Street Wise Foundation; Adolescent Prevention Education Programs Division of Adolescent and Young Adult Medicine at Children’s National Medical Center
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$20,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Adult Identity Mentoring (1); SiHLE (1); Grassroots Project (1); Sexual Wellness and Advocacy by Teens (1); Teen Life Club—Steps to S.T.A.R.dom (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Adolescent development (2); Parent-child communication (2); Educational and career success (3); Healthy life skills (5)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (4); Latino youth (2); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (1); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (1); LGBTQ (2); Low-income youth (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (8); In school, after the school day (4); Community-based organizations (5)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	610
Programming Began	December 2011–April 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

GEORGIA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Georgia Department of Human Services, Division of Family and Children Services (DFCS)
Key Partner(s)	Georgia Department of Public Health; DFCS Afterschool Programs; Georgia Campaign for Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention (G-CAPP); University of Georgia Cooperative Extension; Georgia State University
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,707,218
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$621,720
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; ¡Cuidate!; Making a Difference!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk (All models supplemented with Relationship Smarts Plus)
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Foster care; High-need geographic areas; LGBTQ; Pregnant and parenting teens
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	5,995
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Cobb Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Fulton Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Clayton Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Gwinnett Adolescent Health and Youth Development; DeKalb Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Bibb Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Richmond; Dougherty Adolescent Health and Youth Development; Chatham; Jeryme Brown and Mitchell Smalls Foundation; Boys and Girls Club CYSS; Future Seekers; Youth Vibe, INC; Augusta Partnership for Children; Mt. Olive Community Outreach Center
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$23,714–\$101,674
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making a Difference! (2); Making Proud Choices! (14) (All models supplemented with Relationship Smarts Plus)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (15); Adolescent development (15); Healthy life skills (15)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (14); Latino youth (3); Foster care (15); High-need geographic areas (15); LGBTQ (1); Pregnant and parenting teens (2);
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (1); In school, after the school day (8); Community-based organizations (15); Foster care group homes (9); Military installation (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	803
Programming Began	January 2012–February 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

HAWAII PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2011
Grantee	Hawaii Department of Health, Maternal and Child Health Branch, Family Health Services Division
Key Partner(s)	County of Hawaii, Office of the Prosecuting Attorney
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$150,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Native Hawaiian, Filipino, and other Asian/Pacific Islander youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	950
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Not yet awarded as of summer 2012
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	Not yet specified
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Not yet specified
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Not yet specified
Intended Target Populations	Not yet specified
Implementation Settings	Not yet specified
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	Not yet specified
Programming Began	Not yet specified
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

IDAHO PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Bureau of Community and Environmental Health, Division of Public Health
Key Partner(s)	ETR Associates; Youth Leadership Institute
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$274,861
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$161,724
Allowable Program Model(s)	¡Cuidate!; Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Educational and career success
Primary Target Populations	Latino youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,725
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Health District 2: Idaho North Central District; Health District 3: Southwest District; Health District 4: Central District Health Department; Health District 5: South Central Public Health District; Health District 6: Southeastern District Health Department; Health District 7: Eastern Idaho Public Health District; Centro de La Comunidad y Justicia
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$5,000–\$104,179
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	¡Cuidate! (1); Reducing the Risk (6)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (7); Adolescent development (7); Parent-child communication (7); Educational and career success (1)
Intended Target Populations	Latino youth (2); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (6)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (7); Community-based organizations (17)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	315
Programming Began	July 2011–November 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

ILLINOIS PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Illinois Department of Human Services, Bureau of Positive Youth Development
Key Partner(s)	Center for Prevention Research and Development, University of Illinois
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$2,231,758
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$2,008,582
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; Becoming a Responsible Teen; ¡Cuidate!; Draw the Line/Respect the Line; Making a Difference!; Making Proud Choices!
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	42,861
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Aunt Martha's Youth Services; Bremen Youth Services; Champaign-Urbana Public Health District; Chestnut Health Systems; Corazon Community Services; Danville Housing Authority; Family Focus Aurora; Family Focus Evanston; FUTURE Foundation Youth Services; Hoyleton Youth and Family Services; Lake County Health Department; Macon County Health Department; Martin Luther King Jr. Community Center; Pioneer Center; Prevention Partnership; Southern Seven Health Department; The Success Center; Youth Outreach Services
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$94,175–\$358,159
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (6); Becoming a Responsible Teen (2); ¡Cuidate! (2); Draw the Line/Respect the Line (4); Making a Difference! (7); Making Proud Choices! (5)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (18); Adolescent development (16); Parent-child communication (5); Healthy life skills (18)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (17); Latino youth (17); Wards of the state (1); High-need geographic areas (17); Low-income youth (17)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (66); In school, after the school day (11); Community-based organizations (2); Residential settings for wards of the state (6)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	14,287
Programming Began	July 2012–February 2013
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

IOWA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Iowa Department of Public Health, Bureau of Family Health
Key Partner(s)	EyesOpenIowa, Iowa Department of Education, University of Iowa Public Policy Center, Community Youth Concepts, Planned Parenthood of the Heartland, Iowa Department of Public Health (multiple bureaus), Family Life Council, Danya International, Inc.
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$499,581
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$195,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	SiHLE; Teen Outreach Program; WISE Guys
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,375
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Bethany for Children & Families; Women's Health Services of Eastern Iowa, Inc.; Cerro Gordo County Department of Public Health; Planned Parenthood of the Heartland; Allen Women's Health
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$25,000–\$45,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	SiHLE (1); Teen Outreach Program (2); WISE Guys (3)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (5); Adolescent development (5); Healthy life skills (5)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (2); Latino youth (2); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (1); High-need geographic areas (5); Low-income youth (1); Pregnant and parenting teens (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (11); In school, after the school day (4); Community-based organizations (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	220
Programming Began	March 2012–March 2013
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

KANSAS PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Division of Health, Bureau of Disease Control and Prevention
Key Partner(s)	None specified
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$480,260
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$410,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Making a Difference!; Reducing the Risk; Rikers Health Advocacy Program; What Could You Do?
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Financial literacy; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	6,360
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Unified Government of Wyandotte Public Health Department; Sedgwick County Health Department; Johnson County Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$180,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making a Difference! (1); Reducing the Risk (2); Rikers Health Advocacy Program (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Adolescent development (3); Parent-child communication (3); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	Juvenile justice (1); High-need geographic areas (3)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (2); In school, after the school day (17); Community-based organizations (1); Juvenile justice facilities (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	462
Programming Began	November 2011–January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

KENTUCKY PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Kentucky Department for Public Health, Division of Women's Health
Key Partner(s)	Department of Education, Coordinated School Health Program
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$696,997
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$645,215
Allowable Program Model(s)	Reducing the Risk; Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Financial literacy; Parent-child communication; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Youth at risk of dropping out; Juvenile justice; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	30,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Allen County Health Department; Barren River District Health Department; Clark County Health Department; Garrard County Health Department; Graves County Health Department; Jessamine County Health Department; Kentucky River District Health Department; Lake Cumberland Health Department; Lincoln Trail Health Department; Marshall County Health Department; Montgomery County Health Department; Whitley County Health Department; Boyd County Health Department; Brighton Center/Three Rivers Health Department; Estill County Health Department; Floyd County Health Department; Gateway County Health Department; Green River County Health Department; Knox County Health Department; Lawrence County Health Department; Lincoln County Health Department; Magoffin County Health Department; Purchase County Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$5,961–\$121,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Reducing the Risk (12); Teen Outreach Program (11)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (23); Adolescent development (23); Financial literacy (11); Parent-child communication (11); Educational and career success (11); Healthy life skills (23)
Intended Target Populations	Youth with behavioral health issues (1); Juvenile justice (1); High-need geographic areas (23)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (85); In school, after the school day (6); Juvenile justice facilities (1); Residential treatment centers (2); Behavioral health and child welfare facility (2)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	2,691
Programming Began	September 2011–January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

LOUISIANA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	State of Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, Louisiana Office of Public Health STD/HIV Program
Key Partner(s)	Louisiana Public Health Institute; Danya, Inc.; Children's Hospital of Los Angeles
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$769,607
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$521,500
Allowable Program Model(s)	Adult Identity Mentoring; SiHLE
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,660
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Baton Rouge YWCA (Region 2); Baton Rouge AIDS Society (Region 6); Acadiana CARES (Region 4); SWLA Center for Health Services (Region 5); GO CARE (Region 8); Philadelphia Center (Region 7); Face to Face Enrichment Center (Region 9)
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$74,500
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	SiHLE (7)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (7); Adolescent development (7); Healthy life skills (7)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (7); High-need geographic areas (7); Low-income youth (7)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (7)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	420
Programming Began	November 2011–February 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

MAINE PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention
Key Partner(s)	Family Planning Association of Maine
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$120,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	All4You
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Youth in alternative education programs
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,600
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Jobs for Maine's Graduates
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$120,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	All4You (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (1); Adolescent development (1); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	High-risk youth in an alternative education program (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (16)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	320
Programming Began	October 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

MARYLAND PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Prevention and Health Promotion Administration
Key Partner(s)	The After School Institute
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$962,931
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$805,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	All HHS identified evidence-based program models and Power Through Choices
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	3,500
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Baltimore City Health Department ¹ ; Allegany County Health Department; Anne Arundel County Health Department; Cecil County Health Department; Dorchester County Health Department; Washington County Health Department; Garrett County Health Department; Wicomico County Health Department; Worcester County Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$34,000–\$402,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	It's Your Game: Keep It Real (1); Making Proud Choices! (1); Promoting Health Among Teens! Abstinence Only (1); Promoting Health Among Teens! Comprehensive (6); Power Through Choices (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (8); Adolescent development (8); Financial literacy (3); Parent-child communication (8); Educational and career success (2); Healthy life skills (7)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (7); Latino youth (1); White and Biracial youth (1); Females (1); Foster care (2); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (5); Low-income youth (7)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (6); In school, after the school day (3); Community-based organizations (16); Foster care group homes (2); Clinics (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	681
Programming Began	August 2011–September 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Baltimore City Health Department has two separate sub-awards.</p>	

MASSACHUSETTS PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Office of Adolescent Health and Youth Development
Key Partner(s)	Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education; Massachusetts Alliance on Teen Pregnancy
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,062,646
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$999,995
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; Making Proud Choices!; Teen Health Project
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Financial literacy
Primary Target Populations	Latino youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care; Runaway/homeless; High-need geographic areas; LGBTQ
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	9,200
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Action for Boston Community Development; Citizens for Citizens; River Valley Counseling Center; Family Services, Inc.; Lowell Community Health Center; Gandara Center; Family Health Center; Boston Public Schools; Holyoke Public Schools; Springfield Department of Parks and Recreation; New Bedford Public Schools
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$20,820–\$100,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (10); Teen Health Project (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (11); Adolescent development (11); Financial literacy (11)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (2); Latino youth (7); Out of school youth (3); Juvenile justice (5); Foster care (6); Runaway/homeless (4); High-need geographic areas (4); LGBTQ (6); Low-income youth (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (23); In school, after the school day (6); Community-based organizations (13); Foster care group homes (2); Juvenile justice facilities (2); Low-income housing complexes (2); Residential treatment facility (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	2,625
Programming Began	May 2012–February 2013
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

MICHIGAN PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Michigan Department of Community Health; Bureau of Family, Maternal, and Child Health; Division of Family and Community Health, Adolescent and School Health Unit
Key Partner(s)	Michigan Organization of Adolescent Sexual Health; Parent Action for Healthy Kids; Michigan Public Health Institute
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,754,708
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$1,100,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Be Proud! Be Responsible!; Becoming a Responsible Teen; Reducing the Risk; Teen Outreach Program; Safer Choices; Michigan Model - Healthy and Responsible Relationships
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	11,620
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Alternatives for Girls; Calhoun County Public Health Department; Eaton Intermediate School District; Healthy Delivery Inc.; Henry Ford Health System; Jackson County Health Department; Oakwood-Taylor Teen Health Center; Planned Parenthood Mid and South Michigan; Planned Parenthood of West and Northern Michigan ¹ ; Teen HYPE Youth Development Program
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$50,000–\$100,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (3); Teen Outreach Program (3); Michigan Model (2); Safer Choices (4)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (12); Adolescent development (12); Parent-child communication (12)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (6); Juvenile justice (1); High-need geographic areas (12)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (22); In school, after the school day (19); Community-based organizations (33); Juvenile justice facilities (2)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	2,905
Programming Began	October 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Planned Parenthood Mid and South Michigan and Planned Parenthood of West and Northern Michigan each have two separate sub-awards.</p>	

MINNESOTA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2011
Grantee	Minnesota Department of Health, Women and Infant Health Unit
Key Partner(s)	Teenwise [formerly known as Minnesota Organization on Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention and Parenting]; Division of Indian Works
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$866,929
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$520,704
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; SHARP; Making Proud Choices!; Safer Sex; Teen Outreach Program; Live It; Power through Choices
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Financial literacy; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Native American youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care; Runaway/homeless; High-need geographic areas; LGBTQ
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	6,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Not yet awarded as of summer 2012
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	Not yet specified
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Not yet specified
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Not yet specified
Intended Target Populations	Not yet specified
Implementation Settings	Not yet specified
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	Not yet specified
Programming Began	Not yet specified
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

MISSISSIPPI PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Mississippi State Department of Health, Bureau of Community & School Health, Office of Preventative Health
Key Partner(s)	ETR Associates, Mississippi First, The Women's Fund
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$537,218
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$15,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Becoming a Responsible Teen; Draw the Line/Respect the Line; Reducing the Risk; What Could You Do?
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	26,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Dream, Incorporated; Scientific Research; Delta Health Alliance
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	Mississippi State Department of Health
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$5,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Becoming a Responsible Teen (2); Draw the Line/Respect the Line (1); Reducing the Risk (1); What Could You Do? (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (4); Adolescent development (4); Parent-child communication (4); Healthy life skills (3)
Intended Target Populations	Youth enrolled in certain schools (1); Youth summer camp participants (1); Juvenile justice (1); High-need geographic areas (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (94); Community-based organizations (5)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	295
Programming Began	July 2012–September 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

MISSOURI PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services, Division of Community and Public Health
Key Partner(s)	Parent Link; University of Missouri Institute of Public Policy; Missouri Department of Social Services Chafee Program
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$991,673
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$721,295
Allowable Program Model(s)	Becoming a Responsible Teen; Making Proud Choices!; Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,680
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Advanced Healthcare Medical Center; Alternative Opportunities; Della Lamb Community Services; Helping Ministry Neighborhood Development Corp.; Hickory County Health Department.; Kansas City Free Health Clinic; Pais Youth Development Center; Susanna Wesley Family Learning Center; Thomas Business Enterprises; Washington County Community Partnership; Washington County Health Department; Chafee Foster Care Independence Program - Local Investment Commission and -Phelps County Community Partnership ¹
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$16,961–\$60,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Becoming a Responsible Teen (4); Making Proud Choices! (7); Teen Outreach Program (6)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (17); Adolescent development (17); Parent-child communication (6); Educational and career success (4); Healthy life skills (11)
Intended Target Populations	Foster care (4); High-need geographic areas (17)
Implementation Settings	In school, after the school day (1); Community-based organizations (16)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	471
Programming Began	January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Alternative Opportunities, Chafee Foster Care Independence Program, Helping Ministry Neighborhood Development Corp., Kansas City Free Health Clinic, and Washington County Health Department each have two separate sub-awards.</p>	

MONTANA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services, Women's and Men's Health Section
Key Partner(s)	ETR Associates; Montana Office of Public Instruction; Chris Deveny Consulting
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$165,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Draw the Line/Respect the Line; Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Native American youth; Alternative School students; Juvenile justice; Low-income youth
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	4,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Anaconda Family Resource Center; Custer County Public Health and Family Planning; Northern Cheyenne Tribal Health; Flathead City-County Health Department; Butte-Silver Bow Health Department; Blue Mountain Clinic
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$10,000–\$67,500
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Draw the Line/Respect the Line (5); Reducing the Risk (6)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (6); Adolescent development (6); Educational and career success (2); Healthy life skills (4)
Intended Target Populations	Native American Youth (1); Alternative school students (3); Juvenile justice (3); High-need geographic areas (4); Low-income youth (6);
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (15); In school, after the school day (4); Community-based organizations (1); Juvenile justice facilities (2); Job Corps (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,198
Programming Began	July 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NEBRASKA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, Lifespan Health Services Unit
Key Partner(s)	None specified
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$306,743
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$198,400
Allowable Program Model(s)	Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Adolescent development; Financial literacy; Educational and career success
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Native American youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	800
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Community Action Partnership of Western Nebraska; Douglas County Health Department (Omaha); Lutheran Family Services of Nebraska; Nebraska Children’s Home Society; University of Nebraska Health Center; West Central District Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$24,800–\$49,600
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Teen Outreach Program (6)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Adolescent development (6); Financial literacy (6); Educational and career success (6)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (3); Latino youth (6); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (3); High-need geographic areas (5); Low-income youth (6)
Implementation Settings	In school, after the school day (4); Community-based organizations (5); Clinics (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	125
Programming Began	August 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NEVADA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2011
Grantee	Nevada Department of Health and Human Services; Bureau of Child, Family and Community Wellness
Key Partner(s)	None specified
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$439,601
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$221,342
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; FOCUS; SiHLE; What Could You Do?
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Sexually active females; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,800
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Huntridge Teen Clinic; Planned Parenthood Mar Monte; Planned Parenthood of the Rocky Mountains
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$62,743–\$86,847
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	iCuidate! (3); FOCUS (3); SiHLE (1); What Could You Do? (3)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Adolescent development (3); Healthy life skills (3)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (1); Latino youth (3); Sexually active females (4)
Implementation Settings	Clinics (10)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	400
Programming Began	October 2012–February 2013
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NEW HAMPSHIRE PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health Services, Maternal and Child Health Section
Key Partner(s)	University of New Hampshire
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$191,042
Allowable Program Model(s)	Becoming a Responsible Teen; FOCUS; Reducing the Risk; Teen Health Project
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas; Pregnant and parenting teens
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	800
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Child Health Services; Good Beginnings
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$62,450–\$128,592
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	FOCUS (2)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (2); Educational and career success (2); Healthy life skills (2)
Intended Target Populations	High-need geographic areas (2); Pregnant and parenting teens (2)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (2); Clinics (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	200
Programming Began	August 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NEW JERSEY PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	New Jersey Department of Health, Family Health Services, Child and Adolescent Health Program
Key Partner(s)	Johns Hopkins University
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,413,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$1,350,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	All HHS identified evidence-based program models
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	7,736
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Planned Parenthood of Central and Greater Northern New Jersey; The Partnership for Maternal Child Health of Northern New Jersey; Kean University; Central Jersey Family Health Consortium; New Jersey Women and AIDS Network; Southern New Jersey Perinatal Cooperative
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$175,000–\$340,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Be Proud! Be Responsible! (1); Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective! (1); Making Proud Choices! (2); Reducing the Risk (1); SiHLE (1); Teen Health Project (1); Teen Outreach Program (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (6); Adolescent development (6); Parent-child communication (4); Healthy life skills (3)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (1); High-need geographic areas (6); Pregnant and parenting teens (1)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (54); In school, after the school day (8); Community-based organizations (19)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,934
Programming Began	October 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NEW MEXICO PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	New Mexico Department of Health, Public Health Division, Family Planning Program
Key Partner(s)	John Snow Incorporated, Colorado Youth Matters
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$346,571
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$265,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Latino youth; High-need geographic areas; Low-income youth
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,540
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	New Mexico Teen Pregnancy Coalition ¹ ; University of New Mexico Department of Pediatrics; University of New Mexico School-Based Health Center; Counseling Associates
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$20,000–\$75,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	iCuidate! (2); Teen Outreach Program (3)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (2); Adolescent development (5); Parent-child communication (3); Healthy life skills (5)
Intended Target Populations	Latino youth (4); Native American youth (1); Low-income youth (2)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (5); Community-based organizations (5)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	385
Programming Began	September 2011–October 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹New Mexico Teen Pregnancy Coalition has two separate sub-awards.</p>	

NEW YORK PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	New York State Department of Health, Bureau of Maternal and Child Health
Key Partner(s)	Assets Coming Together for Youth Center of Excellence
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$3,236,330
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$2,056,627
Allowable Program Model(s)	All HHS identified evidence-based program models except for Making a Difference and Promoting Health Among Teens! Abstinence Only
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The sub-awardee(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	Foster care; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	5,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Center for Community Alternatives; Community Counseling and Mediation; Claremont Neighborhood Center; Mothers and Babies Perinatal Network of SCNY, Inc.; Research Foundation of SUNY Downstate Medical Center; Woodhull Medical and Mental Health Center; YWCA of the City of New York; YMCA of Jamestown; Inwood House
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$150,000–\$325,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Adult Identity Mentoring (2); Be Proud! Be Responsible! (5); Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective! (1); Becoming a Responsible Teen (1); ¡Cuidate! (1); Making Proud Choices! (1); SiHLE (1); Teen Health Project (1); Teen Choice (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (7); Adolescent development (6); Financial literacy (5); Parent-child communication (1); Educational and career success (8); Healthy life skills (7)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (7); Latino youth (6); Youth with emotional and behavioral issues (1); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (2); High-need geographic areas (8); Low-income youth (1); Pregnant and parenting teens (4)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (16); In school, after the school day (14); Community-based organizations (24); Foster care facilities (2); Juvenile justice facilities (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,625
Programming Began	January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

NORTH CAROLINA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health
Key Partner(s)	Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Campaign of North Carolina
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,544,312
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$1,350,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Making Proud Choices!; Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	4,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Albemarle Smart Start Program; Coalition for Families of Lee County; Columbus County Health Department; Duke University; Graham County Schools; Greene County Health Care; Halifax County Health Department; Infinite Possibilities; Martin-Tyrrell-Washington Health District; Robeson County Health Department; North Carolina Comprehensive School Health Training Center at Appalachian State University
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$100,000–\$350,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (1); Teen Outreach Program (10)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (10); Adolescent development (11); Parent-child communication (1); Healthy life skills (11)
Intended Target Populations	Native American youth (1); High-need geographic areas (11)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (9); In school, after the school day (8); Community-based organizations (4); Housing authority (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,000
Programming Began	November 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

OHIO PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Ohio Department of Health, Division of Family and Community Health Services
Key Partner(s)	Department of Juvenile and Family Services; Department of Youth Services; Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,916,033
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$1,552,028
Allowable Program Model(s)	Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Financial literacy; Educational and career success
Primary Target Populations	Juvenile justice; Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	5,200
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Belmont County General Health District; Lucas County Health Department; Planned Parenthood of Southwest Ohio ¹ ; Northwest Canton City Health Department; Cuyahoga County Board of Health; Summit County Public Health; Nationwide Children's Hospital
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$158,000–\$327,790
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Reducing the Risk (8)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (8); Financial literacy (8); Educational and career success (8)
Intended Target Populations	Juvenile justice (8); Foster care (8)
Implementation Settings	Foster care group homes (37); Juvenile justice facilities (29)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,286
Programming Began	May 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Planned Parenthood of Southwest Ohio has two separate sub-awards.</p>	

OKLAHOMA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Oklahoma State Department of Health, Maternal and Child Health Services
Key Partner(s)	Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$615,320
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$576,558
Allowable Program Model(s)	Making a Difference!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	9,300
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Oklahoma City County Health Department; Tulsa Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$34,329–\$274,629
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making a Difference! (2); Making Proud Choices! (2)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (2); Adolescent development (2); Healthy life skills (2)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (2); Latino youth (2); Native American youth (2); At risk youth (2)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (15); Juvenile justice facilities (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,955
Programming Began	December 2011–February 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

OREGON PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Oregon Health Authority, Oregon Public Health Division, Adolescent Sexual Health
Key Partner(s)	Cardea of Seattle, WA, John Snow Institute
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$591,798
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$367,776
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate! (Supplemented with Comprehensive Contraceptive Module)
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Latino youth; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	4,400
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Deschutes County Health Services; Jackson County Health and Human Services; Marion County Public Health Services; Multnomah County Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$74,639–\$107,040
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	iCuidate! supplemented by Comprehensive Contraceptive Module (4)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (4); Adolescent development (4); Parent-child communication (2); Healthy life skills (4)
Intended Target Populations	Latino youth (4); High-need geographic areas (4)
Implementation Settings	In school, after the school day (13); Community-based organizations (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	400
Programming Began	January 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

PENNSYLVANIA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Pennsylvania Department of Health, Division of Child and Adult Health Services
Key Partner(s)	Persad Center, Inc., Family Planning Council, Bill Taverner
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$2,046,335
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$1,220,984
Allowable Program Model(s)	Rikers Health Advocacy Program; Street Smart
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Financial literacy; Parent-child communication; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Youth in licensed psychiatric residential programs; Youth with substance abuse issues; Youth with mental health issues; Juvenile justice
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	4,284
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Children’s Center for Treatment and Education; Bethesda Children’s Home; Children’s Home of York, Bridges, George Street, Girl’s Center, and Strive ¹ ; NHS Youth Services; VisionQuest; The Bradley Center; Shuman Juvenile Detention Center; Congreso de Latinos Unidos; Familylinks; Hoffman Homes; Northern Children’s Services; Trehab; Mazzoni Center
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$27,250–\$126,589
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Rikers Health Advocacy Program (8); Street Smart (8)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (16); Adolescent development (16); Financial literacy (12); Parent-child communication (11); Educational and career success (13); Healthy life skills (16)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (10); Latino youth (8); Youth with mental health issues (5); Youth with emotional or behavioral issues (2); Youth with substance abuse issues (3); Youth living with HIV/AIDS (2); Juvenile justice (11); Foster care (4); Runaway/homeless (4); High-need geographic areas (8); LGBTQ (7); Pregnant and parenting teens (5)
Implementation Settings	Outpatient drug and alcohol program & outpatient mental health facility (1); Partial/outpatient drug and alcohol program (3); Partial/outpatient mental health facility (3); Psychiatric residential treatment facility (4); Residential facility serving delinquent youth (10); Residential substance abuse treatment facility (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	1,071
Programming Began	March 2012–October 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p> <p>¹Children’s Home of York has a sub-award with each location: Bridges, George Street, Girl’s Center, and Strive.</p>	

RHODE ISLAND PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Rhode Island Department of Health, Division of Community Family Health and Equity
Key Partner(s)	Planned Parenthood of Southern New England
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$125,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Not yet known
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	875
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Youth in Action; Sojourner House; Pawtucket School Department; South County Community Action Program; Metropolitan Regional Career and Tech Center
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$25,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Teen Outreach Program (5)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (5); Adolescent development (5); Healthy life skills (5)
Intended Target Populations	High-need geographic areas (5)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (3); In school, after the school day (3); Community-based organizations (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	125
Programming Began	October 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

SOUTH CAROLINA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Bureau of Maternal and Child Health, Division of Women and Children's Services
Key Partner(s)	South Carolina Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy, University of South Carolina-Arnold School of Public Health
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$760,906
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$381,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Making Proud Choices!; What Could You Do?; Safer Choices
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	20,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Low Country Healthy Start; Planned Parenthood Health System; The ACCESS Network; Bamberg School District 2; YMCA of Greater Charleston; Communities and Schools of Dillon County; The Children's Council; Aiken Youth Empowerment; DHEC Region 7; Axis 1 Center of Barnwell; Beaufort County School District; Jasper County; Marlboro County (Community Development Corporation)
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$14,391–\$60,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (6); What Could You Do? (4); Safer Choices (6)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (10); Adolescent development (13); Parent-child communication (13); Healthy life skills (4)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (10); Females (4); Youth with psychiatric challenges (1); Juvenile justice (2); Foster care (2); High-need geographic areas (11); Low-income youth (10)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (15); In school, after the school day (2); Community-based organizations (10); Foster care group homes (1); Juvenile justice facilities (2); Clinics (7); Psychiatric hospital (1); Housing Authority (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	4,444
Programming Began	October 2011–April 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

SOUTH DAKOTA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	South Dakota Department of Health, Office of Family and Community Health
Key Partner(s)	ETR Associates, South Dakota Department of Corrections, South Dakota Department of Social Services
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$229,520
Allowable Program Model(s)	All HHS identified evidence-based program models
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	Native American youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,073
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	South Dakota Department of Corrections; South Dakota Department of Social Services
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$109,683–\$121,746
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Reducing the Risk with What Could You Do? (1); Reducing the Risk with Botvin Life Skills (1);
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (1); Adolescent development (1); Financial literacy (1); Parent-child communication (2); Educational and career success (1); Healthy life skills (1)
Intended Target Populations	Native American youth (2); Juvenile justice (1); Foster care (1)
Implementation Settings	Foster care group homes (2); Juvenile justice facilities (2); Independent living centers for current and former foster care youth (2); Psychiatric residential facilities (4)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	193
Programming Began	August 2011
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

TENNESSEE PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Tennessee Department of Children’s Services, Well Being Division
Key Partner(s)	University of Tennessee Health Science Center for Excellence for Children in State Custody (UTHSC-COE), Oasis Center
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,048,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$330,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Foster care
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	650
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Monroe Harding; Group Effort; Upper Cumberland Human Resources Agency; East Tennessee Florence Crittenton; Smokey Mountain Children’s Home
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$9,520–\$35,308
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Teen Outreach Program (5)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (5); Adolescent development (5); Healthy life skills (5)
Intended Target Populations	Males (2); Foster care (5)
Implementation Settings	Foster care group homes (8)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	373
Programming Began	February 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

UTAH PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	State of Utah Department of Health, Maternal and Infant Health Program
Key Partner(s)	None specified
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$525,624
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$483,924
Allowable Program Model(s)	All4You; Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective!; ¡Cuidate!; Teen Health Project
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Educational and career success; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	Latino youth; Other racial/ethnic minorities; Juvenile justice; High-need geographic areas; LGBTQ; Pregnant and parenting teens
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,700
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Bear River Health Department; Boys and Girls Club of Greater Salt Lake; Club Red; Moab Teen Center; Centro Hispano in Utah County; Teen Mother and Child Program; Weber-Morgan Health Department
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$42,837–\$100,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	All4You (3); Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective! (1); ¡Cuidate! (1); Teen Health Project (1)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (6); Educational and career success (6); Healthy life skills (6)
Intended Target Populations	Latino youth (3); Other racial/ethnic minorities (1); Juvenile justice (1); High-need geographic areas (4); LGBTQ (1); Pregnant and parenting teens (1)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (11); Clinics (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	430
Programming Began	January 2012–April 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

VERMONT PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	State of Vermont Department of Health, Division of Maternal and Child Health
Key Partner(s)	Center for Health and Learning
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$250,000
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$140,700
Allowable Program Model(s)	Reducing the Risk
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Adolescent development; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	High-risk youth; Runaway/homeless
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	2,010
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Vermont Coalition for Runaway and Homeless Youth Programs; Youth Services of Windham County; Service Rendered, Inc.; The Bristol Hub Teen Center & Skatepark
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$4,200–\$67,200
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Reducing the Risk (4)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (4); Adolescent development (4); Healthy life skills (4)
Intended Target Populations	High-risk youth (2), Refugee youth (1); Runaway/homeless (2)
Implementation Settings	Community-based organizations (9)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	402
Programming Began	March 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

WASHINGTON PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Washington State Department of Health; Access, Systems, and Coordination Section; Office of Healthy Communities; Prevention and Community Health Division
Key Partner(s)	Cardea Services, Washington Department of Social and Health Services, Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$1,081,909
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$150,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; Making Proud Choices!; Reducing the Risk; SiHLE; Teen Outreach Program
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Parent-child communication; Healthy life skills
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Youth with chemical dependency problems; Juvenile justice; Foster care; High-need geographic areas; Pregnant and parenting teens
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	6,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	United General Hospital; Darrington Family Resource Center; YouthCare; Center for Multicultural Health; AACCS Counseling; Cowlitz Family Planning; Columbia High School; Family Planning of Chelan-Douglas; New Horizons High School; Children's Home Society
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	All awards are \$15,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (2); Reducing the Risk (3); SiHLE (2); Teen Outreach Program (3)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (10); Parent-child communication (10); Healthy life skills (10)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (3); Latino youth (4); Sex trafficking victims (1); Juvenile justice (4); Foster care (4); Runaway/homeless (2); High-need geographic areas (3); LGBTQ (2); Low-income youth (1); Pregnant and parenting teens (2)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (2); Community-based organizations (8)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	214
Programming Began	May 2012–September 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In "First Program Year Implementation Details," the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

WEST VIRGINIA PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, Bureau for Public Health, Office of Maternal and Child Health
Key Partner(s)	ETR Associates
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$276,094
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$233,706
Allowable Program Model(s)	All HHS identified evidence-based program models
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	The program provider(s) will choose at least three of the six topics
Primary Target Populations	No Specific Targeted Population Reported
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	1,344
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	Children’s Home Society; Kanawha Institute for Social Research and Action (KISRA); Mission WV; MountainHeart; Wellness Council of WV-1; Wellness Council of WV-2
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$30,000–\$41,733
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (2); Reducing the Risk (4)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (3); Financial literacy (4); Parent-child communication (2); Educational and career success (3); Healthy life skills (2)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (1); Juvenile justice (2); Foster care (1); Runaway/homeless (1); High-need geographic areas (2); LGBTQ (1); Low-income youth (2); Pregnant and parenting teens (2)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (3); Community-based organizations (6); Foster care group homes (3)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	260
Programming Began	April 2012–July 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

WISCONSIN PREP

Grant Details	
Year of Initial Grant Receipt	2010
Grantee	Wisconsin Department of Health Services, Division of Public Health, Bureau of Community Health Promotion
Key Partner(s)	Medical College of Wisconsin, Planned Parenthood, the United Way of Greater Milwaukee, ETR Associates, Connected Health Solutions, Asset Builders of Wisconsin
Annual PREP Grant Amount	\$930,024
Annual Funds Disbursed to Program Providers	\$570,000
Allowable Program Model(s)	iCuidate!; Making Proud Choices!; Street Smart
Selected Adult Preparation Subjects	Healthy relationships; Financial literacy; Educational and career success
Primary Target Populations	African American youth; Latino youth; Juvenile justice; Foster care; Runaway/homeless; High-need geographic areas
Estimated Number of Youth to Be Served Across Entire Grant Period	3,000
First Program Year Implementation Details	
Sub-awardees That Will Provide PREP Programming	The Center for Self Sufficiency; Neighborhood House; AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin; Silver Spring Neighborhood Center; Racine Family YMCA; Community Action Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties
State Agencies/Offices That Will Provide PREP Programming	None
Range of Programming Award Amounts (Annual)	\$70,000–\$100,000
Program Model(s) Being Implemented	Making Proud Choices! (5); Street Smart (5)
Adult Preparation Subjects Covered	Healthy relationships (6); Financial literacy (6); Educational and career success (5)
Intended Target Populations	African American youth (6); Latino youth (6); At risk of dropping out of school (6); Juvenile justice (6); Foster care (6); High-need geographic areas (6)
Implementation Settings	In school, during the school day (16); In school, after the school day (4); Community-based organizations (22); Foster care group homes (6); Clinics (1)
Estimated Number of Youth Served in First Program Year	800
Programming Began	October 2011–May 2012
<p>Note: Annual federal grant amounts subject to change. Program providers are those sub-awardees and/or state agencies that are receiving PREP funding from the grantee to provide program services to youth. Data in the report were collected through interviews conducted in summer 2012 and may change as program implementation continues. In “First Program Year Implementation Details,” the numbers in parentheses next to the program models, adult preparation subjects, and primary target populations indicate the number of agencies and/or sub-awardees involved in implementing each program, adult preparation subject, and intending to serve each population. The number in parentheses next to each implementation setting indicates the number of sites implementing a program in that setting.</p>	

APPENDIX B

DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION STUDY METHODS

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYTIC APPROACH

To gather data for this report, the evaluation team sent an introductory email to the individual listed as ACYF's key contact for the grant, explaining the focus of the interview and asking to conduct it with the most relevant state PREP official(s). Interviews were conducted over the phone, usually with the PREP program director and/or the PREP program coordinator in each state. The PREP program director generally has responsibility for the administration of the grant, while the PREP program coordinator focuses on daily operations, including communicating with the PREP program providers. Some interviews included the states' evaluators and other key partners assisting with the launch of the PREP programs. Between June and August 2012, the evaluation team conducted 41 interviews; the team finished interviews in the other four states in early 2013.¹⁴

A lead interviewer prepared by tailoring the interview protocol with any specific information known to Mathematica about PREP program plans in a particular state. During the interview, which took one to two hours, the lead interviewer asked the questions, and a note-taker recorded the responses.¹⁵ Afterward, written responses to each question were finalized by the note-taker and reviewed by the lead interviewer. The notes were reviewed for clarity, consistency, and completeness by a lead interviewer not assigned to the state.

The interviews covered a range of topics aligned with the key questions addressed in this report, including the ways states are organizing to provide and support their PREP programs; the selected program models, target populations, and implementation locations; plans to cover adulthood preparation, adapt program models, and address both abstinence and contraception; selection of program providers; and factors influencing decision-making. The interviews also collected information to populate each state's profile summarizing their state plans.

The findings presented in this report were derived from quantitative and qualitative analytic approaches. The lead interviewer for each state coded a set of binary, continuous, and categorical variables for the state. A system of quality control checks was implemented as part of the variable coding process to ensure accuracy and consistency. The codes identified the selected program models, adulthood preparation subjects, target populations, implementation settings, number of sites per setting, number of program providers, program start dates, and anticipated program reach; categorized the administrative support for PREP, including grantee staffing approaches and distribution of grant funds; and indicated whether the state is investing in training, technical assistance, monitoring fidelity, and evaluation. These variables provided counts and distributions for the report, and a selected set of the variables was used to populate the state profile summaries. The interview team sent the profiles to the states for their review and approval.

The evaluation team used qualitative analytic approaches to identify themes emerging from the data. These include the factors influencing state PREP program plans, the ways that states plan to meet the PREP requirements, and approaches for supporting PREP programming through training, technical assistance, and monitoring fidelity. The analysis team developed a set of codes to identify and organize data to inform these themes, using Atlas.ti. The lead analysts applied the codes,

¹⁴ The four states interviewed in early 2013 were California, Kentucky, New York, and Pennsylvania.

¹⁵ In 44 states, the interviews were not audiotaped. In one state, the interview was audiotaped and later transcribed.

extracted the information, and synthesized the findings. To ensure accuracy and consistency, senior staff reviewed the coded documents, reconciled any differences in coding, and confirmed the thematic findings.

APPENDIX C

**PROGRAM MODELS TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY STATES
AND PROGRAM PROVIDERS**

Table C.1. Program Models to Be Implemented by States and Program Providers

Program Model	Number of Program Providers Implementing Model	Number of States Implementing Program Model	Estimated Number of Youth Intended to Be Served Across Grant Period
Making Proud Choices!*	64	18	63,687
Teen Outreach Program*	59	12	19,802
Reducing the Risk*	54	14	32,835
Be Proud! Be Responsible!*	30	8	50,633
iCuidate!*	21	10	21,798
Making a Difference!*	14	5	29,395
Street Smart	14	3	4,810
SiHLE*	13	6	4,689
Draw the Line/Respect the Line*	12	4	6,505
Safer Choices*	10	2	19,430
Becoming a Responsible Teen*	9	4	2,715
Rikers Health Advocacy Program*	9	2	2,286
What Could You Do?*	8	4	5,383
PHAT! Comprehensive*	6	1	1,698
SHARP*	6	1	6,503
All4You*	5	3	2,660
FOCUS*	5	2	1,110
Teen Health Project*	4	4	2,086
Adult Identity Mentoring*	3	2	1,808
Be Proud! Be Responsible! Be Protective!*	3	3	608
WISE Guys	3	1	605
Michigan Model—Healthy and Responsible Relationships	3	1	2,450
Horizons*	1	1	1,668
It's Your Game: Keep It Real*	1	1	445
PHAT! Abstinence Only*	1	1	600
Power through Choices	1	1	250
Sexual Wellness Advocacy by Teens	1	1	350
Teen Choice	1	1	1,000
Teen Life Club—Steps to S.T.A.R.dom	1	1	600
Teen Talk	1	1	1,000
The Fourth R—Alaska Perspective	1	1	5,000
The Grassroots Project	1	1	1,500

Source: Interviews with state PREP officials.

* Program model is an HHS-identified evidence-based program.



MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

www.mathematica-mpr.com

Improving public well-being by conducting high-quality, objective research and surveys

Princeton, NJ ■ Ann Arbor, MI ■ Cambridge, MA ■ Chicago, IL ■ Oakland, CA ■ Washington, DC

Mathematica® is a registered trademark of Mathematica Policy Research

