

Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2000)		
States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children
Alabama	18,200	31,500
Alaska	3,700	6,200
Arizona	22,800	38,400
Arkansas	6,500	10,900
California	123,800	183,400
Colorado	13,900	24,900
Connecticut	6,600	11,800
Delaware	4,200	7,100
District of Columbia	5,200	9,500
Florida	40,300	70,800
Georgia	30,600	53,400
Guam	-	-
Hawaii	4,500	7,200
Idaho	4,800	8,300
Illinois	54,800	106,800
Indiana	13,800	24,500
Iowa	10,800	17,400
Kansas	7,800	13,900
Kentucky	20,900	36,100
Louisiana	23,900	40,300
Maine	2,000	2,900
Maryland	11,800	19,500
Massachusetts	21,800	30,800
Michigan	14,500	28,000
Minnesota	13,700	24,500
Mississippi	9,300	11,000
Missouri	29,900	35,900
Montana	3,900	6,900
Nebraska	7,100	12,400
Nevada	3,400	5,700
New Hampshire	2,600	3,900
New Jersey	30,700	45,800
New Mexico	10,400	17,600
New York	124,800	200,200
North Carolina	53,000	82,700
North Dakota	2,900	4,500
Northern Marianas	-	-
Ohio	41,800	71,900
Oklahoma	17,400	28,300
Oregon	10,500	20,100
Pennsylvania	37,800	81,300
Puerto Rico	-	-
Rhode Island	4,300	7,000
South Carolina	9,800	16,500
South Dakota	2,100	3,600
Tennessee	29,100	57,800
Texas	56,100	103,100
Utah	5,800	11,300
Vermont	3,900	5,700
Virgin Islands	-	-
Virginia	10,800	17,300
Washington	32,000	54,000
West Virginia	6,600	11,100
Wisconsin	11,900	21,100
Wyoming	1,800	3,000
Total	1,040,600	1,747,800

Notes:

1. The source for this table is the ACF-801 data from FFY 2000.
2. The adjusted number of families and children served is the average number reported by each State on the monthly ACF-801 submission multiplied by the pooling factor reported in the ACF-800 form.
3. For all States, the average monthly number of children is extrapolated from data provided by States, based on the ratio of children to
4. Maine, Guam, Northern Marianas, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands were unable to report any ACF-801 case-level data in FFY 2000 at the time of report preparation. The Maine counts are estimates based on reported expenditures (ACF-696), ME's 2001 reported counts (ACF-801), and average monthly cost-per-child in NH & VT (ACF-801 FFY 1999, 2000, & 2001).
5. California, District of Columbia, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Tennessee average monthly numbers are based on FFY 2000 pooling factors that only apply to the ACF-801 data.
6. California average monthly numbers are based on October 2000 counts and FFY 2000 ACF-696 data, the most reliable estimate of the number of families and children served for FFY 2000, and on an estimated FFY 2000 pooling factor that only applies to the ACF-801 data.

Table 2			
Child Care and Development Fund			
Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2000)			
State	Grants / Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %
Alabama	0%	100%	0%
Alaska	0%	100%	0%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%
Arizona	0%	100%	0%
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%
California	43%	57%	0%
Colorado	2%	96%	2%
Connecticut	18%	82%	0%
Delaware	0%	100%	0%
District of Columbia	73%	27%	0%
Florida	71%	29%	0%
Georgia	2%	98%	0%
Guam	0%	100%	0%
Hawaii	16%	0%	84%
Idaho	0%	100%	0%
Illinois	12%	88%	0%
Indiana	4%	96%	0%
Iowa	0%	100%	0%
Kansas	0%	93%	7%
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%
Louisiana	0%	64%	36%
Maine	24%	76%	1%
Maryland	0%	100%	0%
Massachusetts	41%	59%	0%
Michigan	0%	100%	0%
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%
Mississippi	3%	97%	0%
Missouri	0%	100%	0%
Montana	0%	100%	0%
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%
Nevada	19%	81%	0%
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%
New Jersey	18%	82%	0%
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%
New York	24%	76%	0%
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%
Ohio	0%	100%	0%
Oklahoma	0%	100%	0%
Oregon	9%	91%	0%
Pennsylvania	0%	72%	28%
Puerto Rico	36%	64%	0%
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%
South Carolina	13%	87%	0%
South Dakota	0%	100%	0%
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%
Texas	0%	80%	20%
Utah	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	3%	97%	0%
Virgin Islands	3%	97%	0%
Virginia	0%	100%	0%
Washington	0%	67%	33%
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%
National	11%	83%	6%

Notes:

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.

**Table 3
Child Care and Development Fund
Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2000)**

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center
Alabama	0%	15%	6%	79%
Alaska	5%	50%	2%	43%
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	3%	20%	5%	73%
Arkansas	1%	22%	0%	77%
California	5%	30%	6%	58%
Colorado	9%	34%	0%	56%
Connecticut	55%	8%	0%	37%
Delaware	4%	39%	2%	55%
District of Columbia	0%	3%	0%	97%
Florida	1%	13%	0%	87%
Georgia	1%	17%	2%	80%
Guam	17%	42%	1%	40%
Hawaii	8%	59%	0%	34%
Idaho	2%	41%	13%	44%
Illinois	30%	36%	1%	33%
Indiana	6%	57%	0%	37%
Iowa	1%	51%	12%	35%
Kansas	7%	16%	41%	36%
Kentucky	2%	30%	1%	66%
Louisiana	19%	18%	0%	64%
Maine	9%	50%	0%	42%
Maryland	17%	44%	0%	39%
Massachusetts	10%	11%	16%	62%
Michigan	32%	44%	8%	16%
Minnesota	9%	57%	0%	35%
Mississippi	10%	12%	2%	75%
Missouri	0%	31%	1%	68%
Montana	1%	33%	33%	33%
Nebraska	2%	47%	11%	41%
Nevada	1%	12%	0%	87%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	1%	26%	0%	73%
New Mexico	0%	55%	5%	41%
New York	17%	48%	5%	30%
North Carolina	1%	16%	1%	82%
North Dakota	0%	44%	29%	28%
Northern Mariana Islands	45%	38%	0%	17%
Ohio	0%	37%	1%	63%
Oklahoma	0%	18%	0%	82%
Oregon	12%	51%	1%	36%
Pennsylvania	8%	40%	3%	49%
Puerto Rico	2%	45%	1%	52%
Rhode Island	8%	28%	0%	64%
South Carolina	4%	15%	3%	77%
South Dakota	3%	56%	9%	31%
Tennessee	1%	19%	5%	75%
Texas	7%	13%	3%	76%
Utah	0%	64%	4%	32%
Vermont	6%	55%	0%	39%
Virgin Islands	1%	7%	14%	77%
Virginia	1%	41%	0%	58%
Washington	22%	37%	0%	40%
West Virginia	0%	51%	2%	47%
Wisconsin	0%	37%	0%	63%
Wyoming	14%	42%	14%	30%
National	8%	30%	3%	58%

Notes:

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. New Hampshire did not submit data for setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.

**Table 4
Child Care and Development Fund
Percent of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs.
Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2000)**

State	Licensed / Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation
Alabama	74%	26%
Alaska	55%	45%
American Samoa	100%	0%
Arizona	88%	12%
Arkansas	100%	0%
California	76%	24%
Colorado	76%	24%
Connecticut	43%	57%
Delaware	81%	19%
District of Columbia	100%	0%
Florida	92%	8%
Georgia	91%	9%
Guam	31%	69%
Hawaii	18%	82%
Idaho	57%	43%
Illinois	44%	56%
Indiana	39%	61%
Iowa	74%	26%
Kansas	85%	15%
Kentucky	73%	27%
Louisiana	64%	36%
Maine	76%	24%
Maryland	72%	28%
Massachusetts	86%	14%
Michigan	34%	67%
Minnesota	63%	37%
Mississippi	78%	22%
Missouri	86%	14%
Montana	88%	12%
Nebraska	76%	24%
Nevada	72%	28%
New Hampshire	-	-
New Jersey	85%	15%
New Mexico	47%	53%
New York	47%	53%
North Carolina	96%	4%
North Dakota	96%	4%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%
Oklahoma	100%	0%
Oregon	45%	55%
Pennsylvania	59%	42%
Puerto Rico	53%	47%
Rhode Island	80%	20%
South Carolina	85%	15%
South Dakota	81%	19%
Tennessee	87%	13%
Texas	83%	17%
Utah	45%	55%
Vermont	83%	17%
Virgin Islands	88%	12%
Virginia	85%	15%
Washington	67%	33%
West Virginia	93%	7%
Wisconsin	100%	0%
Wyoming	50%	50%
National	74%	26%

Notes:

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.

**Table 5
Child Care and Development Fund
Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation,
Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2000)**

State	Relative	Non-Relative
Alabama	28%	72%
Alaska	31%	69%
American Samoa	-	-
Arizona	100%	0%
Arkansas	-	-
California	63%	37%
Colorado	44%	56%
Connecticut	73%	27%
Delaware	45%	55%
District of Columbia	73%	27%
Florida	16%	84%
Georgia	22%	78%
Guam	65%	35%
Hawaii	60%	40%
Idaho	51%	49%
Illinois	56%	44%
Indiana	34%	66%
Iowa	26%	74%
Kansas	77%	23%
Kentucky	62%	38%
Louisiana	20%	80%
Maine	33%	67%
Maryland	80%	20%
Massachusetts	58%	42%
Michigan	75%	25%
Minnesota	34%	66%
Mississippi	65%	35%
Missouri	25%	75%
Montana	10%	90%
Nebraska	0%	100%
Nevada	9%	91%
New Hampshire	-	-
New Jersey	33%	67%
New Mexico	67%	33%
New York	40%	60%
North Carolina	80%	20%
North Dakota	100%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	-	-
Ohio	-	-
Oklahoma	-	-
Oregon	25%	75%
Pennsylvania	26%	74%
Puerto Rico	51%	49%
Rhode Island	74%	26%
South Carolina	1%	99%
South Dakota	74%	26%
Tennessee	18%	82%
Texas	100%	0%
Utah	45%	55%
Vermont	12%	88%
Virgin Islands	44%	56%
Virginia	73%	27%
Washington	68%	32%
West Virginia	80%	20%
Wisconsin	-	-
Wyoming	49%	51%
National	50%	50%

Notes:

1. The source of this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. American Samoa, Arkansas, Northern Marianas, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Wisconsin did not report having children served in settings legally operating without regulation.
3. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.

Table 6
Child Care and Development Fund
Percent of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2000)

State	Total Percent	Licensed or Regulated				Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation						
		Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Child's Home		Family Home		Group Home		Center
						Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	
Alabama	100%	0%	8%	6%	61%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	18%
Alaska	100%	0%	10%	2%	43%	2%	3%	12%	28%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arizona	100%	0%	10%	5%	73%	2%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	1%	22%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	13%	6%	57%	4%	1%	11%	6%	0%	0%	1%
Colorado	100%	0%	20%	0%	56%	2%	8%	9%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Connecticut	100%	0%	8%	0%	35%	42%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Delaware	100%	0%	34%	2%	45%	3%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	2%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Florida	100%	0%	10%	0%	82%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	5%
Georgia	100%	0%	9%	2%	80%	0%	1%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	0%	0%	31%	11%	6%	34%	8%	0%	1%	9%
Hawaii	100%	0%	5%	0%	13%	5%	3%	45%	9%	0%	0%	21%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	13%	44%	1%	1%	21%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	13%	1%	30%	11%	19%	20%	3%	0%	0%	3%
Indiana	100%	0%	19%	0%	20%	3%	2%	17%	21%	0%	0%	17%
Iowa	100%	0%	27%	12%	35%	0%	1%	6%	18%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	8%	41%	36%	3%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	5%	1%	66%	1%	1%	16%	9%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	64%	6%	13%	1%	16%	0%	0%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	35%	0%	42%	3%	5%	4%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	34%	0%	38%	12%	5%	10%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	7%	16%	62%	4%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	9%	8%	16%	16%	17%	34%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Minnesota	100%	0%	32%	0%	31%	4%	4%	8%	17%	0%	0%	3%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	2%	75%	8%	2%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	17%	1%	68%	0%	0%	3%	10%	0%	0%	1%
Montana	100%	0%	21%	33%	33%	0%	1%	1%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	25%	11%	41%	0%	2%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	4%	0%	68%	1%	0%	1%	7%	0%	0%	19%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	100%	0%	12%	0%	73%	1%	0%	4%	10%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	5%	41%	0%	0%	36%	17%	0%	0%	0%
New York	100%	0%	12%	5%	30%	7%	10%	15%	22%	0%	0%	0%
North Carolina	100%	0%	13%	1%	82%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%
North Dakota	100%	0%	40%	29%	28%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	45%	38%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	37%	1%	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oregon	100%	0%	9%	1%	34%	3%	8%	10%	32%	0%	0%	2%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	6%	3%	49%	3%	4%	8%	26%	0%	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	0%	1%	52%	1%	0%	22%	23%	0%	0%	0%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	16%	0%	64%	3%	5%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	5%	3%	77%	0%	4%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	41%	9%	31%	2%	1%	12%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	7%	5%	75%	1%	0%	2%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	3%	76%	7%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	0%	10%	4%	32%	0%	0%	24%	30%	0%	0%	0%
Vermont	100%	0%	43%	0%	39%	1%	5%	1%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	100%	0%	0%	14%	74%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	3%
Virginia	100%	0%	26%	0%	58%	1%	0%	10%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	26%	0%	40%	11%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	46%	2%	46%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	37%	0%	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wyoming	100%	0%	7%	14%	30%	9%	5%	15%	20%	0%	0%	0%
National	100%	0%	14%	3%	57%	4%	4%	9%	8%	0%	0%	1%

Notes:

1. The source for this data is ACF-800 data from FFY 2000.
2. New Hampshire did not report number of children by setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
4. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

**Table 7
Child Care and Development Fund
Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2000)**

State	Child's	Family	Group	Center	Total
Alabama	49	2,850	373	1,700	4,972
Alaska	249	2,664	50	363	3,326
American Samoa	0	0	0	17	17
Arizona	730	4,467	213	1,171	6,581
Arkansas	72	722	0	860	1,654
California	7,388	40,759	6,311	13,888	68,346
Colorado	4,223	9,537	0	1,564	15,324
Connecticut	18,446	1,588	49	1,295	21,378
Delaware	370	1,478	27	351	2,226
District of Columbia	14	87	0	140	241
Florida	484	6,080	0	5,841	12,405
Georgia	2,115	6,129	357	5,887	14,488
Guam	255	575	3	70	903
Hawaii	186	7,894	2	501	8,583
Idaho	167	3,482	414	446	4,509
Illinois	59,443	46,191	333	3,305	109,272
Indiana	2,805	19,485	0	2,214	24,504
Iowa	326	8,263	877	745	10,211
Kansas	790	1,474	2,005	706	4,975
Kentucky	529	7,679	99	1,546	9,853
Louisiana	8,230	5,757	0	1,338	15,325
Maine	65	2,012	0	2,340	4,417
Maryland	4,630	7,597	0	1,499	13,726
Massachusetts	5,404	3,619	1,651	1,368	12,042
Michigan	37,743	45,641	2,632	2,397	88,413
Minnesota	2,885	18,728	0	11,504	33,117
Mississippi	2,066	2,284	103	1,172	5,625
Missouri	413	14,652	121	2,413	17,599
Montana	27	1,735	494	251	2,507
Nebraska	470	4,717	369	489	6,045
Nevada	155	724	11	478	1,368
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	1,085	11,259	0	2,430	14,774
New Mexico	28	9,930	205	531	10,694
New York	12,619	39,652	1,627	3,820	57,718
North Carolina	501	7,268	113	4,036	11,918
North Dakota	1	1,964	818	96	2,879
Northern Mariana Islands	55	37	0	6	98
Ohio	33	12,480	78	3,164	15,755
Oklahoma	72	3,295	0	3,840	7,207
Oregon	2,339	10,119	110	2,812	15,380
Pennsylvania	4,526	22,405	559	3,226	30,716
Puerto Rico	30	5,448	29	704	6,211
Rhode Island	816	1,929	9	298	3,052
South Carolina	551	1,824	210	1,377	3,962
South Dakota	261	1,482	49	114	1,906
Tennessee	180	3,398	431	1,489	5,498
Texas	8,365	13,841	952	5,738	28,896
Utah	0	9,826	345	1,385	11,556
Vermont	322	1,897	0	342	2,561
Virgin Islands	7	45	69	112	233
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	20,393	15,396	0	1,901	37,690
West Virginia	17	3,378	38	361	3,794
Wisconsin	47	5,599	0	1,711	7,357
Wyoming	723	1,831	242	134	2,930
National	213,700	463,173	22,378	107,486	806,737

Notes:

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. New Hampshire and Virginia did not report the number of providers by setting type.


**Table 8
Child Care and Development Fund
Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2000)**

State	Grants / Contracts or Certificate Info	Resource and Referral	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Compliant Policy	Mass Media	Other	Number of Families Receiving Info
Alabama	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	50,266
Alaska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	9,331
American Samoa	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	450
Arizona	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	390,092
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	60,000
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,448,111
Colorado	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	86,380
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	72,288
Delaware	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	16,216
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	24,056
Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	152,621
Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	77,800
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,844
Hawaii	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	13,140
Idaho	NA	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	9,805
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	265,000
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	46,972
Iowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	33,685
Kansas	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	92,382
Kentucky	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	58,101
Louisiana	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	43,744
Maine	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,271
Maryland	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	325,194
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	98,613
Michigan	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	920,427
Minnesota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,832,191
Mississippi	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	21,789
Missouri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	450,614
Montana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	291,157
Nebraska	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	418,471
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,049
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	8,251
New Jersey	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	143,842
New Mexico	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	18,217
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	343,968
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	266,684
North Dakota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	3,842
Northern Marianas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	117
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	110,164
Oklahoma	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,249,785
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	40,753
Pennsylvania	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	156,623
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	14,903
Rhode Island	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	27,500
South Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	21,044
South Dakota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	167,924
Tennessee	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	41,240
Texas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	104,965
Utah	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	7,626
Vermont	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	6,762
Virgin Islands	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	101,809
Virginia	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	65,000
Washington	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,211,239
West Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1,728
Wisconsin	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	100
Wyoming	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	13,506
Total Yes	35	53	53	56	54	47	52	48	14	11,454,652

Notes:


1. Source for this table is the ACF-800 data for FFY 2000.
2. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.

**Child Care and Development Fund
Percentage of Children Served By Reason for Care (FFY 2000)**



Source: ACF-801 Reports - Average monthly values for FFY 2000
Note the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

Child Care and Development Fund Percentage of Children Served By Age Group (FFY 2000)



Source: ACF-801 Reports - Average monthly values for FFY 2000
Note the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

