

**Table 1
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2009)**

States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children
Alabama	14,000	26,800
Alaska	2,000	3,300
American Samoa	400	600
Arizona	19,900	32,700
Arkansas	5,500	7,900
California	68,200	106,900
Colorado	10,400	17,700
Connecticut	6,500	9,900
Delaware	3,700	6,000
District of Columbia	1,100	1,500
Florida	70,100	104,800
Georgia	29,700	54,700
Guam	300	500
Hawaii	7,600	12,000
Idaho	4,000	7,400
Illinois	37,600	68,000
Indiana	18,600	34,900
Iowa	8,500	15,100
Kansas	11,200	20,900
Kentucky	17,400	30,900
Louisiana	29,600	46,600
Maine	1,800	2,600
Maryland	14,400	24,400
Massachusetts	18,300	24,800
Michigan	37,000	71,800
Minnesota	12,500	22,400
Mississippi	14,400	27,100
Missouri	21,800	36,400
Montana	2,400	4,000
Nebraska	7,300	13,000
Nevada	3,100	5,200
New Hampshire	5,000	7,200
New Jersey	24,500	35,800
New Mexico	13,600	22,500
New York	71,800	120,700
North Carolina	35,000	72,100
North Dakota	2,500	4,000
Northern Mariana Islands	300	300
Ohio	29,800	51,700
Oklahoma	13,500	22,600
Oregon	12,200	22,000
Pennsylvania	54,900	93,900
Puerto Rico	6,400	8,300
Rhode Island	3,700	6,100
South Carolina	11,800	20,400
South Dakota	3,200	5,100
Tennessee	24,300	45,700
Texas	66,200	121,600
Utah	5,300	10,000
Vermont	3,300	4,800
Virgin Islands	400	500
Virginia	14,900	24,000
Washington	27,100	46,400
West Virginia	4,200	7,000
Wisconsin	17,400	31,300
Wyoming	2,800	4,500
National Total	953,400	1,629,300

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers.
- The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The National numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.

Table 2
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2009)

State	Grants/Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	44,902
Alaska	0%	81%	19%	6,705
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	1,194
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	55,079
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	21,003
California	41%	59%	0%	173,637
Colorado	1%	99%	0%	35,807
Connecticut	0%	100%	0%	15,206
Delaware	0%	92%	8%	10,506
District of Columbia	0%	100%	0%	2,078
Florida	0%	100%	0%	168,830
Georgia	0%	100%	0%	99,380
Guam	48%	52%	0%	2,891
Hawaii	36%	0%	64%	28,792
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	13,983
Illinois	6%	94%	0%	121,488
Indiana	2%	98%	0%	55,360
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	27,623
Kansas	0%	100%	0%	35,423
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	56,673
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	99,338
Maine	44%	56%	0%	3,975
Maryland	0%	100%	0%	42,413
Massachusetts	37%	63%	0%	43,381
Michigan	0%	73%	27%	134,670
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	38,053
Mississippi	3%	97%	0%	43,012
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	61,231
Montana	0%	96%	4%	8,528
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	24,200
Nevada	19%	81%	0%	18,625
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	12,240
New Jersey	17%	83%	0%	69,111
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%	38,262
New York	20%	81%	0%	210,776
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	96,934
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	7,853
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	330
Ohio	0%	100%	0%	92,007
Oklahoma	0%	100%	0%	41,836
Oregon	6%	94%	0%	41,600
Pennsylvania	0%	100%	0%	130,348
Puerto Rico	72%	28%	0%	16,801
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	9,990
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	42,876
South Dakota	2%	98%	0%	10,131
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%	75,060
Texas	0%	100%	0%	220,688
Utah	0%	0%	100%	18,451
Vermont	1%	99%	0%	7,851
Virgin Islands	0%	100%	0%	1,024
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	53,951
Washington	0%	100%	0%	84,712
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%	12,053
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	48,444
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	8,294
National Total	6%	91%	3%	2,845,609

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 06-MAY-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2009. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e., a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

Table 3
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2009)

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	6%	3%	89%	1%	100%
Alaska	17%	26%	7%	49%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	15%	85%	0%	100%
Arizona	3%	14%	8%	76%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	13%	0%	87%	0%	100%
California	2%	39%	12%	48%	0%	100%
Colorado	2%	22%	0%	76%	0%	100%
Connecticut	18%	32%	0%	49%	0%	100%
Delaware	1%	30%	3%	65%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	95%	0%	100%
Florida	1%	10%	0%	90%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	10%	3%	86%	0%	100%
Guam	3%	6%	0%	91%	0%	100%
Hawaii	21%	50%	0%	28%	1%	100%
Idaho	2%	29%	14%	55%	0%	100%
Illinois	17%	45%	1%	37%	0%	100%
Indiana	0%	40%	0%	60%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	52%	6%	41%	0%	100%
Kansas	5%	22%	39%	34%	0%	100%
Kentucky	0%	13%	1%	86%	0%	100%
Louisiana	6%	11%	0%	83%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	32%	0%	67%	0%	100%
Maryland	9%	44%	0%	46%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	1%	1%	27%	70%	0%	100%
Michigan	27%	43%	11%	19%	1%	100%
Minnesota	6%	51%	0%	43%	0%	100%
Mississippi	3%	22%	1%	74%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	41%	2%	56%	0%	100%
Montana	5%	21%	38%	36%	0%	100%
Nebraska	0%	30%	8%	62%	0%	100%
Nevada	8%	8%	1%	78%	5%	100%
New Hampshire	6%	29%	0%	64%	1%	100%
New Jersey	2%	16%	0%	78%	5%	100%
New Mexico	4%	23%	6%	66%	1%	100%
New York	16%	36%	14%	35%	0%	100%
North Carolina	0%	15%	0%	83%	2%	100%
North Dakota	0%	43%	31%	25%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	23%	5%	72%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	25%	2%	62%	10%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	20%	0%	80%	0%	100%
Oregon	22%	52%	6%	20%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	1%	34%	4%	59%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	33%	3%	63%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	34%	0%	66%	0%	100%
South Carolina	4%	11%	2%	83%	0%	100%
South Dakota	1%	48%	0%	51%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	15%	6%	78%	0%	100%
Texas	1%	4%	2%	93%	0%	100%
Utah	7%	41%	7%	44%	2%	100%
Vermont	4%	44%	0%	50%	2%	100%
Virgin Islands	7%	0%	11%	82%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	30%	1%	64%	0%	100%
Washington	14%	34%	0%	51%	0%	100%
West Virginia	0%	31%	5%	64%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	0%	30%	0%	65%	5%	100%
Wyoming	4%	23%	4%	12%	56%	100%
National Total	5%	26%	5%	63%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported, including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

Table 4
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs.
Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2009)

State	Licensed/ Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama	69%	30%	1%	100%
Alaska	71%	29%	0%	100%
American Samoa	93%	7%	0%	100%
Arizona	91%	9%	0%	100%
Arkansas	99%	1%	0%	100%
California	73%	27%	0%	100%
Colorado	94%	5%	0%	100%
Connecticut	60%	40%	0%	100%
Delaware	91%	9%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	99%	1%	0%	100%
Florida	91%	9%	0%	100%
Georgia	97%	3%	0%	100%
Guam	91%	9%	0%	100%
Hawaii	34%	66%	1%	100%
Idaho	69%	31%	0%	100%
Illinois	54%	46%	0%	100%
Indiana	73%	27%	0%	100%
Iowa	84%	16%	0%	100%
Kansas	83%	16%	0%	100%
Kentucky	93%	7%	0%	100%
Louisiana	81%	19%	0%	100%
Maine	93%	7%	0%	100%
Maryland	82%	18%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	97%	3%	0%	100%
Michigan	37%	62%	1%	100%
Minnesota	74%	26%	0%	100%
Mississippi	75%	25%	0%	100%
Missouri	63%	37%	0%	100%
Montana	85%	15%	0%	100%
Nebraska	87%	13%	0%	100%
Nevada	70%	26%	5%	100%
New Hampshire	70%	29%	1%	100%
New Jersey	88%	8%	5%	100%
New Mexico	74%	25%	1%	100%
New York	56%	44%	0%	100%
North Carolina	98%	1%	2%	100%
North Dakota	63%	36%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	79%	21%	0%	100%
Ohio	90%	0%	10%	100%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	44%	56%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	71%	28%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	68%	31%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	98%	1%	0%	100%
South Carolina	87%	13%	0%	100%
South Dakota	84%	16%	0%	100%
Tennessee	90%	10%	0%	100%
Texas	98%	2%	0%	100%
Utah	63%	35%	2%	100%
Vermont	85%	14%	2%	100%
Virgin Islands	99%	1%	0%	100%
Virginia	83%	17%	0%	100%
Washington	79%	20%	0%	100%
West Virginia	97%	3%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	95%	0%	5%	100%
Wyoming	23%	21%	56%	100%
National Total	78%	21%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported including, zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

Table 5
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation,
Average Monthly Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2009)

State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total %	Total Count
Alabama	98%	2%	100%	786
Alaska	47%	53%	100%	936
American Samoa	0%	100%	100%	3
Arizona	100%	0%	100%	3,085
Arkansas	2%	98%	100%	41
California	73%	27%	100%	25,205
Colorado	74%	26%	100%	929
Connecticut	82%	18%	100%	3,477
Delaware	99%	1%	100%	335
District of Columbia	100%	0%	100%	11
Florida	52%	48%	100%	510
Georgia	77%	23%	100%	1,611
Guam	62%	38%	100%	21
Hawaii	86%	14%	100%	7,588
Idaho	33%	67%	100%	2,298
Illinois	64%	36%	100%	27,912
Indiana	31%	69%	100%	1,330
Iowa	5%	95%	100%	2,414
Kansas	87%	13%	100%	3,396
Kentucky	0%	100%	100%	2,160
Louisiana	43%	57%	100%	7,916
Maine	54%	46%	100%	162
Maryland	57%	43%	100%	4,343
Massachusetts	74%	26%	100%	651
Michigan	78%	22%	100%	44,792
Minnesota	54%	46%	100%	4,523
Mississippi	53%	47%	100%	6,884
Missouri	34%	66%	100%	10,583
Montana	56%	44%	100%	611
Nebraska	2%	98%	100%	1,723
Nevada	28%	72%	100%	591
New Hampshire	32%	68%	100%	2,129
New Jersey	40%	60%	100%	2,718
New Mexico	68%	32%	100%	5,712
New York	51%	49%	100%	49,597
North Carolina	70%	30%	100%	613
North Dakota	36%	64%	100%	1,474
Northern Mariana Islands	90%	10%	100%	61
Ohio	NA	NA	NA	0
Oklahoma	NA	NA	NA	0
Oregon	33%	67%	100%	12,044
Pennsylvania	58%	42%	100%	26,276
Puerto Rico	87%	13%	100%	2,536
Rhode Island	66%	34%	100%	91
South Carolina	0%	100%	100%	2,738
South Dakota	64%	36%	100%	810
Tennessee	33%	67%	100%	4,249
Texas	100%	0%	100%	2,196
Utah	95%	5%	100%	3,490
Vermont	55%	45%	100%	649
Virgin Islands	75%	25%	100%	4
Virginia	39%	61%	100%	3,252
Washington	70%	30%	100%	9,469
West Virginia	58%	43%	100%	40
Wisconsin	NA	NA	NA	0
Wyoming	57%	43%	100%	938
National Total	60%	40%	100%	297,906

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009. In years prior to FFY 2005, this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. In this table, centers operating without regulation (data element 26 = 11) were considered Non-Relative.
- In some States there were no children served in unregulated settings and thus the percent is "NA" since division by zero is undefined. States with no Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation include: Ohio, Oklahoma, Vermont and Wisconsin.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

Table 6
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2009)

State	Total % of Children	Licensed or Regulated Providers				Providers Legally Operating without Regulation							Invalid/ Not Reported
		Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Child's Home		Family Home		Group Home		Center	
						Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative		
Alabama	100%	0%	3%	3%	63%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	27%	1%
Alaska	100%	0%	15%	7%	49%	5%	12%	8%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	15%	79%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Arizona	100%	0%	7%	8%	76%	2%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	13%	0%	87%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	17%	12%	44%	1%	0%	16%	6%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	76%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Connecticut	100%	0%	15%	0%	45%	13%	5%	16%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Delaware	100%	0%	26%	3%	62%	1%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	3%	0%	95%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Florida	100%	0%	10%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Georgia	100%	0%	8%	3%	86%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	1%	4%	0%	86%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Hawaii	100%	0%	8%	0%	26%	19%	2%	36%	7%	0%	0%	3%	1%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	14%	55%	1%	1%	9%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	20%	1%	33%	10%	7%	17%	8%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Indiana	100%	0%	37%	0%	36%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	23%	0%
Iowa	100%	0%	36%	6%	41%	0%	0%	1%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	11%	39%	34%	3%	2%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	6%	1%	86%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	81%	4%	2%	3%	8%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	27%	0%	67%	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	36%	0%	46%	5%	4%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	0%	27%	70%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	7%	11%	19%	13%	14%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Minnesota	100%	0%	37%	0%	37%	0%	5%	11%	4%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	74%	2%	1%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	12%	2%	49%	0%	0%	10%	20%	0%	0%	7%	0%
Montana	100%	0%	10%	38%	36%	3%	2%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	17%	8%	62%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	5%	1%	63%	2%	7%	2%	2%	0%	0%	14%	5%
New Hampshire	100%	0%	6%	0%	64%	3%	3%	7%	17%	0%	0%	0%	1%
New Jersey	100%	0%	10%	0%	78%	1%	1%	2%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	6%	66%	2%	2%	15%	7%	0%	0%	0%	1%
New York	100%	0%	11%	14%	32%	9%	7%	12%	14%	0%	0%	3%	0%
North Carolina	100%	0%	14%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
North Dakota	100%	0%	7%	31%	25%	0%	0%	13%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	1%	5%	72%	0%	0%	19%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	25%	2%	62%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	20%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oregon	100%	0%	20%	5%	20%	10%	12%	8%	24%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	7%	4%	59%	1%	1%	16%	11%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	2%	3%	63%	0%	0%	27%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	32%	0%	66%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	1%	2%	83%	0%	4%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	33%	0%	51%	0%	1%	10%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	7%	6%	77%	1%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	2%	93%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	0%	12%	7%	44%	6%	0%	27%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Vermont	100%	0%	34%	0%	50%	2%	2%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Virgin Islands	100%	6%	0%	11%	82%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	3%	19%	1%	60%	1%	2%	4%	6%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	29%	0%	51%	8%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	30%	5%	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	30%	0%	65%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Wyoming	100%	0%	7%	4%	12%	3%	1%	9%	8%	0%	0%	0%	56%
National Total	100%	0%	13%	5%	60%	3%	2%	8%	5%	0%	0%	3%	1%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009. In years prior to FFY 2005 this table was based on the ACF-800 rather than the ACF-801. The CCB decided to use ACF-801 data wherever possible because it is now considered more representative.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).
- For consistency with related reports involving setting data, the Invalid/Not Reported category includes children with any element of any setting identified as invalid or not reported, including zero hours served, zero cost, or no setting records.
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

Table 7
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2009)

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	22	950	218	1,710	2,900
Alaska	590	607	79	186	1,462
American Samoa	1	0	18	34	53
Arizona	625	3,248	380	1,450	5,703
Arkansas	0	476	0	953	1,429
California	3,457	63,757	6,505	5,438	79,157
Colorado	297	1,969	0	1,491	3,757
Connecticut	4,384	4,482	21	1,359	10,246
Delaware	0	1,269	79	503	1,851
District of Columbia	68	96	0	208	372
Florida	52	4,385	0	7,257	11,694
Georgia	402	3,364	208	2,937	6,911
Guam	28	9	0	64	101
Hawaii	2,259	5,976	7	413	8,655
Idaho	113	1,223	358	556	2,250
Illinois	25,715	48,407	364	3,420	77,906
Indiana	26	3,088	0	1,194	4,308
Iowa	187	6,061	315	711	7,274
Kansas	725	2,800	2,346	746	6,617
Kentucky	536	2,350	105	1,901	4,892
Louisiana	2,593	2,091	0	2,150	6,834
Maine	44	656	0	370	1,070
Maryland	2,172	5,985	0	1,700	9,857
Massachusetts	2,164	2,768	7,105	4,093	16,130
Michigan	19,216	25,633	2,199	2,289	49,337
Minnesota	3,622	11,908	0	2,556	18,086
Mississippi	775	5,751	23	1,342	7,891
Missouri	599	5,222	163	2,178	8,162
Montana	315	1,076	496	240	2,127
Nebraska	0	2,713	286	690	3,689
Nevada	226	935	23	979	2,163
New Hampshire	358	1,425	0	668	2,451
New Jersey	613	4,584	0	2,564	7,761
New Mexico	2	3,615	137	559	4,313
New York	19,008	38,624	5,539	4,579	67,750
North Carolina	75	3,406	0	4,268	7,749
North Dakota	0	1,641	623	137	2,401
Northern Mariana Islands	0	15	4	21	40
Ohio	21	8,489	287	4,266	13,063
Oklahoma	35	1,674	0	1,255	2,964
Oregon	4,711	10,442	340	715	16,208
Pennsylvania	263	30,978	863	4,033	36,137
Puerto Rico	56	2,668	0	808	3,532
Rhode Island	4	790	3	357	1,154
South Carolina	653	2,509	144	1,250	4,556
South Dakota	82	1,543	58	258	1,941
Tennessee	314	3,906	488	1,904	6,612
Texas	1,978	5,017	807	6,620	14,422
Utah	1,404	5,378	265	305	7,352
Vermont	337	1,766	0	536	2,639
Virgin Islands	2	9	14	101	126
Virginia	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	10,447	7,542	0	1,812	19,801
West Virginia	10	1,954	83	429	2,476
Wisconsin	110	5,293	0	2,407	7,810
Wyoming	197	1,051	162	193	1,603
National Total	111,849	362,918	31,115	90,793	596,675

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2009, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a is reported as a count of providers receiving CCDF funding.
3. Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves only one child per day is counted the same as, for example, a provider serving 200 children per day.
5. At the time of publication, all States and Territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2009.

**Table 8
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2009)**

State	Grants/ Contracts/ Certificates Info	Resource and Referral	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Mass Media	Other	Estimated Number of Families Receiving Consumer Education
Alabama	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	39,547
Alaska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	7,671
American Samoa	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1,194
Arizona	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	173,795
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	12,607
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	2,047,308
Colorado	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	1,318,061
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	200,000
Delaware	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	18,925
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	25,000
Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	296,138
Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	27,708
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,460
Hawaii	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	10,811
Idaho	NA	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	7,562
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	267,570
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	29,127
Iowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	58,442
Kansas	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	102,569
Kentucky	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	28,025
Louisiana	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	78,911
Maine	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	6,900
Maryland	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	251,548
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	89,693
Michigan	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	496,954
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	806,125
Mississippi	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	21,928
Missouri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	52,296
Montana	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	480,154
Nebraska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	42,875
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	13,134
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	8,552
New Jersey	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	108,384
New Mexico	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	22,829
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	974,597
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	260,753
North Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9,400
Northern Mariana Islands	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	172
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	126,853
Oklahoma	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	287,648
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	160,272
Pennsylvania	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	141,900
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	12,382
Rhode Island	NA	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	10,500
South Carolina	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	25,102
South Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	293,752
Tennessee	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	39443
Texas	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	115,715
Utah	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,058
Vermont	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,770
Virgin Islands	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	618
Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	42,307
Washington	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	16,747
West Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,472
Wisconsin	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	53,514
Wyoming	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	19,340
Total Yes	31	53	49	54	52	51	53	47	16	9,770,118

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 06-MAY-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2009, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.
3. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
4. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.
5. At the time of publication, all States and Territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2009.

Table 9
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2009)

State	0 to < 1 yr	1 yr to < 2 yrs	2 yrs to < 3 yrs	3 yrs to < 4 yrs	4 yrs to < 5 yrs	5 yrs to < 6 yrs	6 yrs to < 13 yrs	13+ yrs	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	9%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	7%	12%	13%	14%	13%	10%	31%	0%	0%	100%
American Samoa	6%	15%	19%	21%	20%	17%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Arkansas	13%	21%	23%	19%	15%	7%	0%	0%	0%	100%
California	3%	6%	11%	15%	17%	11%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado	7%	12%	14%	14%	13%	10%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Connecticut	5%	11%	14%	15%	14%	9%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	7%	12%	13%	13%	13%	10%	32%	0%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	7%	17%	23%	19%	10%	6%	18%	0%	0%	100%
Florida	6%	12%	15%	15%	14%	11%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Georgia	8%	14%	14%	14%	11%	8%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	8%	17%	17%	16%	14%	8%	20%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	7%	13%	14%	15%	16%	8%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Idaho	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	11%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	10%	11%	11%	11%	9%	40%	0%	0%	100%
Indiana	5%	12%	14%	14%	13%	11%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Iowa	8%	12%	14%	13%	11%	9%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Kentucky	7%	13%	14%	13%	12%	9%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	9%	17%	19%	16%	11%	7%	22%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	8%	11%	16%	17%	12%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Maryland	5%	12%	15%	14%	12%	9%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	5%	10%	13%	14%	15%	10%	33%	1%	0%	100%
Michigan	5%	10%	11%	11%	10%	9%	44%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	6%	12%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Mississippi	6%	12%	15%	13%	11%	9%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Missouri	8%	13%	15%	14%	13%	10%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Montana	8%	13%	15%	15%	14%	11%	25%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	14%	13%	12%	9%	30%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	12%	14%	13%	13%	10%	32%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	5%	11%	14%	14%	13%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	12%	16%	15%	11%	9%	33%	1%	0%	100%
New Mexico	7%	13%	14%	14%	13%	10%	29%	0%	0%	100%
New York	5%	10%	11%	13%	13%	9%	39%	0%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	10%	11%	11%	12%	10%	39%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	10%	14%	16%	14%	12%	9%	25%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	7%	11%	15%	13%	11%	10%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	7%	12%	14%	13%	11%	9%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	8%	13%	15%	14%	12%	9%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	6%	12%	12%	12%	11%	10%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	4%	10%	12%	12%	12%	10%	38%	1%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	4%	10%	14%	19%	18%	6%	26%	3%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	4%	10%	12%	13%	12%	10%	39%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	4%	9%	13%	14%	13%	10%	36%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	9%	13%	15%	13%	13%	11%	25%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	7%	13%	14%	14%	12%	9%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	7%	12%	14%	14%	12%	10%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Utah	6%	10%	12%	12%	12%	11%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	5%	10%	13%	14%	14%	10%	33%	1%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	10%	15%	21%	16%	8%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	13%	16%	15%	13%	10%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Washington	6%	12%	13%	12%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Wyoming	7%	12%	14%	14%	14%	11%	27%	0%	0%	100%
National	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.

6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

7. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.

Table 10
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2009)

State	Employment	Training/Education	Both Employment & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	74%	10%	4%	10%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	78%	5%	9%	6%	3%	0%	100%
American Samoa	93%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	65%	1%	8%	24%	2%	0%	100%
Arkansas	37%	10%	5%	10%	38%	0%	100%
California	84%	6%	5%	1%	3%	0%	100%
Colorado	82%	10%	6%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Connecticut	95%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	80%	8%	4%	2%	5%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	56%	33%	2%	0%	9%	0%	100%
Florida	63%	5%	6%	25%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	81%	8%	3%	7%	0%	1%	100%
Guam	84%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	84%	5%	10%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Idaho	76%	10%	14%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	88%	4%	1%	0%	7%	0%	100%
Indiana	70%	10%	7%	1%	12%	0%	100%
Iowa	89%	4%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%
Kansas	92%	5%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	79%	5%	10%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	81%	6%	9%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	77%	6%	7%	6%	5%	0%	100%
Maryland	67%	18%	6%	0%	8%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	64%	11%	0%	18%	7%	0%	100%
Michigan	72%	17%	2%	10%	0%	0%	100%
Minnesota	79%	6%	12%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Mississippi	77%	19%	2%	2%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	44%	8%	39%	8%	0%	0%	100%
Montana	64%	13%	14%	9%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	71%	8%	4%	16%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	82%	10%	3%	2%	2%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	85%	7%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%
New Jersey	79%	3%	3%	6%	9%	0%	100%
New Mexico	77%	13%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
New York	71%	16%	3%	1%	9%	0%	100%
North Carolina	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	79%	12%	7%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	89%	7%	4%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	66%	12%	10%	0%	12%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	82%	15%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Oregon	77%	3%	20%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	73%	11%	14%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	74%	20%	4%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	87%	11%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	73%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	67%	10%	10%	14%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	41%	32%	25%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Texas	78%	15%	4%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Utah	82%	4%	2%	0%	11%	0%	100%
Vermont	57%	17%	2%	17%	7%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	74%	20%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	81%	8%	7%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Washington	70%	20%	10%	0%	1%	0%	100%
West Virginia	78%	12%	9%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Wisconsin	92%	1%	5%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Wyoming	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
National	75%	11%	7%	5%	3%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month was directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.

6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

7. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.

8. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in Both Employment and Training/Education categories. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training/Education are Iowa, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Wyoming.

9. OCC has observed some issues with income reporting across most States to varying degrees. OCC is working with States to address and resolve internal inconsistencies between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (sources of income).

Table 11
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2009)

State	Native American / Alaska Native	Asian	Black/ African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	White	Multi-Racial	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	0%	78%	0%	21%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	9%	5%	10%	6%	44%	19%	6%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Arizona	5%	1%	14%	1%	76%	4%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	0%	58%	0%	39%	2%	2%	100%
California	1%	4%	21%	1%	70%	2%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	0%	14%	0%	35%	4%	45%	100%
Connecticut	1%	1%	33%	0%	31%	7%	27%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	65%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	1%	0%	85%	0%	12%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	49%	0%	47%	3%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	78%	0%	18%	1%	2%	100%
Guam	0%	14%	1%	71%	1%	14%	0%	100%
Hawaii	0%	26%	1%	33%	11%	28%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	0%	100%
Illinois	0%	1%	59%	1%	20%	2%	18%	100%
Indiana	0%	0%	47%	0%	44%	9%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	1%	18%	0%	81%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	1%	1%	28%	0%	62%	3%	4%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	59%	0%	10%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	76%	0%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	2%	1%	3%	0%	89%	5%	1%	100%
Maryland	1%	1%	76%	0%	20%	3%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	2%	16%	0%	23%	1%	57%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	57%	0%	40%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	2%	3%	25%	0%	59%	11%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	90%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	58%	0%	35%	1%	6%	100%
Montana	15%	0%	2%	0%	78%	4%	1%	100%
Nebraska	3%	0%	27%	0%	54%	1%	14%	100%
Nevada	3%	2%	28%	1%	60%	7%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	5%	0%	92%	1%	1%	100%
New Jersey	0%	1%	54%	9%	30%	1%	4%	100%
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	86%	3%	1%	100%
New York	1%	1%	54%	2%	40%	3%	0%	100%
North Carolina	3%	0%	60%	0%	35%	1%	0%	100%
North Dakota	20%	0%	6%	0%	70%	4%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	1%	0%	97%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	51%	0%	45%	2%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	7%	1%	31%	0%	59%	2%	0%	100%
Oregon	2%	1%	9%	1%	86%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	46%	0%	35%	2%	15%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	0%	0%	0%	55%	0%	45%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	7%	0%	13%	1%	78%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	74%	0%	26%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	21%	0%	4%	0%	67%	8%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	72%	0%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	0%	0%	32%	0%	49%	1%	17%	100%
Utah	3%	2%	6%	1%	88%	1%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	1%	4%	0%	92%	4%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	4%	0%	95%	0%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	6%	1%	63%	0%	29%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	2%	3%	11%	17%	47%	0%	19%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	12%	0%	74%	12%	2%	100%
Wisconsin	1%	2%	40%	0%	35%	3%	19%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	79%	0%	13%	100%
National	1%	1%	44%	1%	43%	2%	7%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.

6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.

7. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.

8. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.

9. It appears that several States and Territories are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances, if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated.

Table 12
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2009)

State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	10%	87%	3%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	48%	52%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	100%
California	58%	42%	0%	100%
Colorado	35%	65%	0%	100%
Connecticut	37%	63%	0%	100%
Delaware	10%	90%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	14%	86%	0%	100%
Florida	25%	75%	0%	100%
Georgia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Guam	1%	99%	0%	100%
Hawaii	7%	93%	0%	100%
Idaho	15%	85%	0%	100%
Illinois	17%	80%	3%	100%
Indiana	9%	91%	0%	100%
Iowa	7%	93%	0%	100%
Kansas	14%	86%	0%	100%
Kentucky	4%	96%	0%	100%
Louisiana	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maine	4%	96%	0%	100%
Maryland	4%	96%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	30%	70%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	7%	93%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	99%	0%	100%
Missouri	3%	92%	5%	100%
Montana	5%	95%	0%	100%
Nebraska	10%	88%	2%	100%
Nevada	33%	67%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	7%	93%	0%	100%
New Jersey	32%	68%	0%	100%
New Mexico	75%	25%	0%	100%
New York	31%	69%	0%	100%
North Carolina	7%	92%	0%	100%
North Dakota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	4%	96%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	10%	90%	0%	100%
Oregon	6%	94%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	12%	83%	5%	100%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	22%	78%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
South Dakota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	45%	55%	0%	100%
Utah	17%	83%	0%	100%
Vermont	2%	98%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	8%	92%	0%	100%
Virginia	10%	90%	0%	100%
Washington	10%	81%	9%	100%
West Virginia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	9%	91%	0%	100%
Wyoming	13%	87%	0%	100%
National	20%	79%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.

6. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future. New Hampshire does not appear to properly report ethnicity for a significant proportion of the children served.

7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.

Table 13
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Care Type (FFY 2009)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	5%	29%	6%	60%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	4%	25%	6%	65%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	4%	21%	5%	71%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	8%	32%	4%	56%	100%
13 years and older	20%	49%	4%	28%	100%
All Ages	5%	26%	5%	64%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.
2. Nationally, 1.0% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of the child's setting record(s) were invalid or not reported.
3. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
4. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
5. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
6. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.
8. The National values were determined by multiplying each State's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
9. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

Table 14
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2009)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	146	152	144	158	155
1 to < 2 yrs	151	158	152	166	162
2 to < 3 yrs	151	161	154	167	164
3 to < 4 yrs	156	160	156	166	164
4 to < 5 yrs	153	155	150	162	160
5 to < 6 yrs	141	140	134	139	139
6 to < 13 yrs	131	124	110	109	116
13+ yrs	130	117	129	108	118
National	140	142	138	146	144

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.
2. Nationally, 1.0% of the children children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
3. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
4. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
5. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
6. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
7. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.
8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The National results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
9. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of service hours provided.

Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Payment to Provider (Including Family CoPay) by Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2009)					
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$310	\$406	\$514	\$503	\$466
1 to < 2 yrs	\$332	\$418	\$551	\$512	\$481
2 to < 3 yrs	\$316	\$410	\$537	\$488	\$466
3 to < 4 yrs	\$308	\$393	\$519	\$464	\$447
4 to < 5 yrs	\$311	\$382	\$490	\$462	\$442
5 to < 6 yrs	\$293	\$345	\$461	\$400	\$386
6 to < 13 yrs	\$274	\$313	\$394	\$309	\$311
13+ yrs	\$281	\$304	\$408	\$296	\$301
National	\$292	\$361	\$480	\$422	\$402

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.
2. Nationally, 1.0% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
3. Payment is defined as the total amount received by the provider. It is the sum of the State subsidy and the family copay.
4. Average payment per month is based on sums of payments per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
5. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
6. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
7. At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
8. The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.
9. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The National results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
10. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized and/or dollars authorized rather than the actual number provided.

Table 16
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percent of Families Reporting Income from TANF (FFY 2009)

State	TANF (% Yes)	TANF (% No)	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	17%	83%	0%	100%
Alaska	15%	85%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	19%	81%	0%	100%
Arkansas	4%	96%	0%	100%
California	10%	90%	0%	100%
Colorado	6%	94%	0%	100%
Connecticut	11%	89%	0%	100%
Delaware	19%	81%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	13%	87%	0%	100%
Florida	7%	91%	2%	100%
Georgia	5%	95%	0%	100%
Guam	1%	99%	0%	100%
Hawaii	15%	85%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	99%	0%	100%
Illinois	4%	96%	0%	100%
Indiana	16%	84%	0%	100%
Iowa	19%	81%	0%	100%
Kansas	8%	92%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	99%	0%	100%
Louisiana	8%	89%	4%	100%
Maine	5%	95%	0%	100%
Maryland	17%	83%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	22%	78%	0%	100%
Michigan	25%	75%	0%	100%
Minnesota	22%	78%	0%	100%
Mississippi	19%	81%	0%	100%
Missouri	6%	94%	0%	100%
Montana	16%	84%	0%	100%
Nebraska	28%	72%	0%	100%
Nevada	35%	65%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	27%	66%	7%	100%
New Jersey	16%	84%	0%	100%
New Mexico	17%	83%	0%	100%
New York	46%	54%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	95%	0%	100%
North Dakota	20%	80%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	15%	85%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	10%	90%	0%	100%
Oregon	30%	70%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	16%	84%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	100%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	15%	85%	0%	100%
South Carolina	29%	71%	0%	100%
South Dakota	6%	94%	0%	100%
Tennessee	67%	33%	0%	100%
Texas	1%	99%	0%	100%
Utah	15%	85%	0%	100%
Vermont	20%	80%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	95%	0%	100%
Virginia	31%	69%	0%	100%
Washington	22%	78%	0%	100%
West Virginia	8%	92%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	5%	95%	0%	100%
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	100%
National	16%	83%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 30-SEP-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2009.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.
- The percentage shown as "Yes" is the number reported as "Yes" divided by the families that answered "Yes" or "No" excluding families that were in Protective Services. The Invalid/Not Reported column includes families that did not indicate whether TANF was a source of income and the family was reported as being in Protective Services.

Table 17
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2009)

State/Territories	Percent of Families				Mean CoPay as a Percent of Income	
	Families with \$0 Income; Headed by a Child; In Protective Services; Invalid CoPay or Income (Category A)	Families with \$0 CoPay (and not in Category A)	Families with CoPay > \$0 (and not in Category A)	Total of All Families	Including Families with \$0 CoPay	Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay
Alabama	17%	11%	72%	100%	6%	7%
Alaska	14%	8%	78%	100%	4%	5%
American Samoa	28%	72%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Arizona	28%	9%	63%	100%	4%	5%
Arkansas	20%	64%	16%	100%	1%	7%
California	4%	62%	35%	100%	1%	4%
Colorado	19%	11%	70%	100%	8%	9%
Connecticut	4%	6%	91%	100%	5%	5%
Delaware	9%	35%	56%	100%	5%	9%
District of Columbia	41%	11%	48%	100%	3%	4%
Florida	28%	0%	72%	100%	7%	7%
Georgia	14%	12%	74%	100%	7%	8%
Guam	3%	34%	63%	100%	5%	8%
Hawaii	4%	44%	52%	100%	2%	4%
Idaho	11%	0%	89%	100%	11%	11%
Illinois	4%	1%	94%	100%	7%	7%
Indiana	2%	73%	25%	100%	2%	7%
Iowa	10%	32%	58%	100%	6%	10%
Kansas	19%	17%	65%	100%	5%	6%
Kentucky	9%	21%	70%	100%	6%	7%
Louisiana	9%	4%	87%	100%	10%	11%
Maine	9%	4%	87%	100%	7%	8%
Maryland	13%	16%	70%	100%	8%	10%
Massachusetts	26%	26%	48%	100%	6%	9%
Michigan	37%	6%	58%	100%	2%	3%
Minnesota	1%	31%	68%	100%	2%	3%
Mississippi	17%	5%	78%	100%	4%	4%
Missouri	25%	18%	57%	100%	5%	7%
Montana	14%	0%	86%	100%	3%	3%
Nebraska	41%	46%	13%	100%	2%	8%
Nevada	10%	23%	68%	100%	5%	7%
New Hampshire	10%	23%	66%	100%	6%	8%
New Jersey	12%	39%	49%	100%	3%	5%
New Mexico	5%	19%	76%	100%	4%	5%
New York	5%	38%	57%	100%	3%	4%
North Carolina	15%	5%	80%	100%	8%	8%
North Dakota	28%	0%	72%	100%	20%	20%
Northern Mariana Islands	5%	0%	95%	100%	7%	7%
Ohio	8%	3%	89%	100%	6%	6%
Oklahoma	27%	19%	54%	100%	6%	8%
Oregon	23%	6%	71%	100%	7%	8%
Pennsylvania	37%	0%	63%	100%	5%	5%
Puerto Rico	36%	32%	31%	100%	2%	4%
Rhode Island	11%	32%	57%	100%	3%	4%
South Carolina	9%	0%	91%	100%	5%	5%
South Dakota	21%	44%	34%	100%	4%	10%
Tennessee	1%	94%	5%	100%	0%	1%
Texas	21%	3%	76%	100%	9%	10%
Utah	3%	17%	80%	100%	4%	5%
Vermont	34%	8%	58%	100%	4%	5%
Virgin Islands	14%	50%	36%	100%	0%	0%
Virginia	5%	28%	66%	100%	7%	10%
Washington	6%	0%	94%	100%	4%	4%
West Virginia	7%	13%	80%	100%	2%	2%
Wisconsin	15%	3%	81%	100%	6%	6%
Wyoming	17%	2%	81%	100%	6%	6%
National	16%	20%	65%	100%	5%	6%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 05-MAY-2010

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2009.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Northern Mariana Islands had reported three months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2009 and Puerto Rico had reported six months.
- The current Wyoming processing system is unable to extract a number of hours for full- and part-day authorizations resulting in a high percentage of invalid setting records. Wyoming is developing a completely new processing system that will correct this problem in the future.
- The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.
- The column labeled as "Category A" includes: families with zero income; families in Protective Services or families headed by a child; and families with invalid income or copay.
- The "Families with \$0 Copay ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100%.
- The results shown under "Mean Copay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data, i.e. the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)." Alternatively, the data used for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in Category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation.