

Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2010)		
States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children
Alabama	14,300	26,800
Alaska	2,900	4,600
American Samoa	400	600
Arizona	18,300	30,200
Arkansas	8,600	14,100
California	73,000	110,600
Colorado	9,900	16,700
Connecticut	6,000	9,000
Delaware	3,700	6,100
District of Columbia	1,300	1,800
Florida	66,700	99,100
Georgia	39,400	70,600
Guam	500	800
Hawaii	6,900	11,200
Idaho	3,900	7,100
Illinois	40,200	71,600
Indiana	19,000	35,300
Iowa	8,800	15,700
Kansas	11,100	20,700
Kentucky	17,400	30,900
Louisiana	27,800	42,300
Maine	1,600	2,300
Maryland	14,900	24,900
Massachusetts	18,300	25,100
Michigan	27,500	52,600
Minnesota	16,000	28,600
Mississippi	18,700	33,900
Missouri	23,100	38,300
Montana	3,000	4,900
Nebraska	7,300	12,800
Nevada	3,200	5,100
New Hampshire	3,800	5,200
New Jersey	26,500	38,400
New Mexico	14,000	23,200
New York	75,200	129,700
North Carolina	41,800	86,200
North Dakota	2,400	3,900
Northern Mariana Islands	200	400
Ohio	25,500	44,400
Oklahoma	15,900	26,400
Oregon	12,800	22,700
Pennsylvania	56,900	96,700
Puerto Rico	9,300	12,300
Rhode Island	3,400	5,600
South Carolina	11,800	19,600
South Dakota	3,600	5,800
Tennessee	29,100	52,900
Texas	78,200	140,700
Utah	6,400	12,200
Vermont	3,000	4,400
Virgin Islands	400	600
Virginia	14,800	23,300
Washington	29,800	51,100
West Virginia	5,500	9,100
Wisconsin	11,500	20,100
Wyoming	3,100	5,000
National Total	998,600	1,694,200

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

5. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The National numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.

Table 2 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2010)				
State	Grants/Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	45,047
Alaska	0%	92%	8%	6,555
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	1,095
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	50,488
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	26,714
California	41%	59%	0%	178,632
Colorado	1%	99%	0%	37,230
Connecticut	0%	100%	0%	13,970
Delaware	0%	95%	5%	9,597
District of Columbia	0%	100%	0%	2,541
Florida	0%	100%	0%	157,911
Georgia	8%	92%	0%	138,555
Guam	27%	73%	0%	3,074
Hawaii	32%	0%	68%	27,694
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	13,587
Illinois	6%	94%	0%	126,183
Indiana	2%	98%	0%	52,307
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	24,179
Kansas	0%	100%	0%	34,459
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	49,683
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	102,143
Maine	44%	56%	0%	3,833
Maryland	0%	100%	0%	43,489
Massachusetts	37%	63%	0%	61,367
Michigan	0%	76%	24%	100,980
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	43,910
Mississippi	3%	97%	0%	50,508
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	72,366
Montana	0%	96%	4%	9,416
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	23,030
Nevada	20%	80%	0%	11,001
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	9,879
New Jersey	16%	84%	0%	72,454
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%	37,169
New York	36%	64%	0%	203,146
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	112,151
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	7,572
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	289
Ohio	0%	100%	0%	80,236
Oklahoma	0%	100%	0%	49,133
Oregon	7%	93%	0%	39,393
Pennsylvania	0%	99%	1%	146,975
Puerto Rico	44%	56%	0%	32,376
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	9,092
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	37,247
South Dakota	1%	99%	0%	11,422
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%	81,124
Texas	0%	100%	0%	254,377
Utah	0%	0%	100%	22,113
Vermont	0%	100%	0%	7,058
Virgin Islands	0%	100%	0%	1,204
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	53,397
Washington	0%	100%	0%	91,697
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%	15,692
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	32,317
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	8,861
National Total	9%	89%	2%	2,937,916

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e., a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

Table 3 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2010)						
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	6%	3%	90%	1%	100%
Alaska	10%	28%	7%	54%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	13%	86%	0%	100%
Arizona	3%	13%	7%	77%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	13%	0%	87%	0%	100%
California	1%	38%	10%	51%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	21%	0%	75%	3%	100%
Connecticut	16%	32%	0%	51%	0%	100%
Delaware	1%	27%	4%	68%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	95%	0%	100%
Florida	0%	9%	0%	91%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	9%	3%	88%	0%	100%
Guam	2%	4%	2%	92%	0%	100%
Hawaii	26%	48%	0%	25%	1%	100%
Idaho	2%	23%	15%	60%	0%	100%
Illinois	16%	44%	2%	38%	0%	100%
Indiana	0%	40%	0%	60%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	50%	6%	43%	0%	100%
Kansas	5%	21%	38%	35%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	10%	1%	88%	0%	100%
Louisiana	5%	11%	0%	84%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	30%	0%	69%	0%	100%
Maryland	9%	42%	0%	49%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	0%	28%	72%	0%	100%
Michigan	24%	40%	12%	23%	1%	100%
Minnesota	12%	42%	0%	46%	0%	100%
Mississippi	3%	20%	1%	76%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	40%	2%	58%	0%	100%
Montana	6%	20%	38%	35%	0%	100%
Nebraska	0%	29%	7%	64%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	10%	1%	73%	11%	100%
New Hampshire	4%	14%	0%	81%	0%	100%
New Jersey	1%	14%	0%	81%	4%	100%
New Mexico	4%	21%	6%	69%	0%	100%
New York	15%	32%	18%	36%	0%	100%
North Carolina	0%	16%	0%	83%	1%	100%
North Dakota	0%	41%	33%	25%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	1%	14%	7%	78%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	23%	3%	73%	1%	100%
Oklahoma	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	100%
Oregon	21%	51%	7%	22%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	1%	30%	4%	64%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	2%	30%	0%	68%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	31%	0%	68%	0%	100%
South Carolina	1%	16%	2%	81%	0%	100%
South Dakota	1%	46%	0%	53%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	15%	6%	79%	0%	100%
Texas	1%	3%	2%	93%	0%	100%
Utah	4%	37%	12%	46%	1%	100%
Vermont	4%	41%	0%	54%	1%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	2%	16%	77%	0%	100%
Virginia	5%	27%	1%	68%	0%	100%
Washington	14%	34%	0%	51%	0%	100%
West Virginia	0%	30%	5%	65%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	0%	26%	0%	68%	6%	100%
Wyoming	4%	21%	4%	13%	60%	100%
National Total	5%	24%	5%	66%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

4. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2010)				
State	Licensed/ Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama	68%	32%	1%	100%
Alaska	76%	23%	0%	100%
American Samoa	95%	5%	0%	100%
Arizona	91%	9%	0%	100%
Arkansas	100%	0%	0%	100%
California	72%	28%	0%	100%
Colorado	92%	5%	3%	100%
Connecticut	63%	37%	0%	100%
Delaware	91%	8%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	99%	1%	0%	100%
Florida	92%	8%	0%	100%
Georgia	98%	2%	0%	100%
Guam	91%	9%	0%	100%
Hawaii	31%	69%	1%	100%
Idaho	74%	26%	0%	100%
Illinois	56%	44%	0%	100%
Indiana	72%	28%	0%	100%
Iowa	86%	14%	0%	100%
Kansas	83%	17%	0%	100%
Kentucky	94%	6%	0%	100%
Louisiana	82%	18%	0%	100%
Maine	94%	6%	0%	100%
Maryland	83%	17%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	42%	57%	1%	100%
Minnesota	74%	26%	0%	100%
Mississippi	77%	23%	0%	100%
Missouri	63%	37%	0%	100%
Montana	83%	17%	0%	100%
Nebraska	87%	13%	0%	100%
Nevada	65%	24%	11%	100%
New Hampshire	81%	18%	0%	100%
New Jersey	89%	7%	4%	100%
New Mexico	77%	23%	0%	100%
New York	59%	40%	0%	100%
North Carolina	98%	1%	1%	100%
North Dakota	65%	35%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	87%	13%	0%	100%
Ohio	99%	0%	1%	100%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	47%	53%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	75%	24%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	69%	31%	1%	100%
Rhode Island	99%	1%	0%	100%
South Carolina	95%	5%	0%	100%
South Dakota	85%	15%	0%	100%
Tennessee	91%	9%	0%	100%
Texas	98%	2%	0%	100%
Utah	65%	34%	1%	100%
Vermont	86%	12%	1%	100%
Virgin Islands	99%	1%	0%	100%
Virginia	85%	15%	0%	100%
Washington	80%	20%	0%	100%
West Virginia	97%	3%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	94%	0%	6%	100%
Wyoming	22%	18%	60%	100%
National Total	80%	19%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

4. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Average Monthly Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2010)					
State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total %	Total Count	
Alabama	98%	2%	100%	729	
Alaska	60%	40%	100%	1,081	
American Samoa	0%	100%	100%	3	
Arizona	100%	0%	100%	2,629	
Arkansas	6%	94%	100%	67	
California	72%	28%	100%	27,435	
Colorado	77%	23%	100%	763	
Connecticut	80%	20%	100%	2,937	
Delaware	99%	1%	100%	301	
District of Columbia	100%	0%	100%	14	
Florida	45%	55%	100%	296	
Georgia	77%	23%	100%	1,703	
Guam	60%	40%	100%	52	
Hawaii	86%	14%	100%	7,472	
Idaho	33%	67%	100%	1,822	
Illinois	63%	37%	100%	28,778	
Indiana	21%	79%	100%	1,051	
Iowa	1%	99%	100%	2,154	
Kansas	86%	14%	100%	3,421	
Kentucky	38%	62%	100%	1,728	
Louisiana	39%	61%	100%	6,745	
Maine	48%	52%	100%	128	
Maryland	86%	14%	100%	4,080	
Massachusetts	NA	NA	NA	0	
Michigan	69%	31%	100%	29,931	
Minnesota	55%	45%	100%	5,929	
Mississippi	52%	48%	100%	7,848	
Missouri	34%	66%	100%	11,096	
Montana	55%	45%	100%	838	
Nebraska	1%	99%	100%	1,619	
Nevada	62%	38%	100%	538	
New Hampshire	37%	63%	100%	958	
New Jersey	42%	58%	100%	2,526	
New Mexico	68%	32%	100%	5,309	
New York	49%	51%	100%	47,283	
North Carolina	79%	21%	100%	802	
North Dakota	39%	61%	100%	1,367	
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	100%	51	
Ohio	NA	NA	NA	0	
Oklahoma	NA	NA	NA	0	
Oregon	35%	65%	100%	11,832	
Pennsylvania	58%	42%	100%	22,890	
Puerto Rico	78%	22%	100%	3,780	
Rhode Island	62%	38%	100%	71	
South Carolina	0%	100%	100%	895	
South Dakota	67%	33%	100%	876	
Tennessee	28%	72%	100%	4,483	
Texas	100%	0%	100%	2,387	
Utah	97%	3%	100%	4,100	
Vermont	56%	44%	100%	540	
Virgin Islands	57%	43%	100%	7	
Virginia	44%	56%	100%	2,682	
Washington	71%	29%	100%	10,201	
West Virginia	53%	47%	100%	47	
Wisconsin	NA	NA	NA	0	
Wyoming	58%	42%	100%	901	
National Total	59%	41%	100%	277,174	

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. In this table, centers operating without regulation (data element 26 = 11) were considered Non-Relative.

4. In some States there were no children served in unregulated settings and thus the percent is "NA" since division by zero is undefined. States with no Providers Legally Operating Without Regulation include: Massachusetts, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Wisconsin.

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

6. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

7. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

Table 6 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Percentages of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2010)													
State	Total % of Children	Licensed or Regulated Providers				Providers Legally Operating without Regulation							
		Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Child's Home		Family Home		Group Home		Center	Invalid/ Not Reported
						Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative		
Alabama	100%	0%	3%	3%	61%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29%	1%
Alaska	100%	0%	15%	7%	54%	3%	7%	11%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	13%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Arizona	100%	0%	6%	7%	77%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	12%	0%	87%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	14%	10%	48%	1%	0%	17%	7%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	74%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Connecticut	100%	0%	16%	0%	47%	12%	4%	14%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Delaware	100%	0%	23%	4%	65%	1%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	3%	0%	95%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Florida	100%	0%	9%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Georgia	100%	0%	7%	3%	88%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	0%	2%	89%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Hawaii	100%	0%	7%	0%	23%	23%	3%	35%	6%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	15%	60%	2%	0%	7%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	20%	2%	34%	10%	7%	16%	8%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Indiana	100%	0%	37%	0%	35%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	25%	0%
Iowa	100%	0%	37%	6%	43%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	10%	38%	35%	3%	2%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	5%	1%	88%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	82%	3%	1%	3%	8%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	25%	0%	68%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	34%	0%	49%	7%	2%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	0%	28%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	7%	12%	23%	6%	18%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Minnesota	100%	0%	33%	0%	40%	6%	6%	5%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	76%	2%	1%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	11%	2%	50%	0%	0%	10%	19%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Montana	100%	0%	9%	38%	35%	3%	3%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	16%	7%	64%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	5%	1%	60%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%	0%	13%	11%
New Hampshire	100%	0%	0%	0%	81%	2%	2%	5%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%
New Jersey	100%	0%	9%	0%	81%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	6%	69%	3%	1%	13%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
New York	100%	0%	10%	18%	32%	9%	6%	9%	13%	0%	0%	4%	0%
North Carolina	100%	0%	15%	0%	83%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
North Dakota	100%	0%	7%	33%	25%	0%	0%	14%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	2%	7%	78%	1%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	23%	3%	73%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	18%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oregon	100%	0%	20%	6%	21%	10%	11%	8%	22%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	7%	4%	64%	1%	0%	13%	10%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	1%	0%	68%	1%	1%	23%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	30%	0%	68%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	12%	2%	81%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	32%	0%	53%	0%	1%	10%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	7%	6%	78%	1%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	2%	93%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	1%	18%	1%	45%	3%	0%	18%	1%	12%	0%	0%	1%
Vermont	100%	0%	33%	0%	54%	2%	2%	5%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Virgin Islands	100%	5%	1%	16%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	2%	18%	1%	64%	1%	2%	4%	5%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	29%	0%	51%	9%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	30%	5%	62%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	26%	0%	68%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Wyoming	100%	0%	6%	4%	13%	3%	1%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%	60%
National Total	100%	0%	12%	5%	63%	3%	2%	7%	5%	0%	0%	3%	1%

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

4. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

5. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

6. For consistency between related reports involving setting data, children with invalid or missing data for care type, hours, or payment for any setting(s) are reported in the Invalid/Not Reported category.

Table 7
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2010)

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	22	891	227	1,662	2,802
Alaska	206	644	54	194	1,098
American Samoa	1	0	13	32	46
Arizona	477	2,283	350	1,350	4,460
Arkansas	0	471	0	1,000	1,471
California	2,214	60,878	6,273	5,225	74,590
Colorado	259	1,926	0	1,393	3,578
Connecticut	3,383	4,268	22	1,286	8,959
Delaware	99	1,079	59	397	1,634
District of Columbia	60	93	0	212	365
Florida	19	3,709	0	7,336	11,064
Georgia	387	3,279	199	3,231	7,096
Guam	51	19	0	52	122
Hawaii	3,141	5,218	7	426	8,792
Idaho	49	416	240	391	1,096
Illinois	25,084	48,050	394	3,308	76,836
Indiana	21	3,048	0	1,201	4,270
Iowa	163	5,499	329	818	6,809
Kansas	737	2,721	2,295	722	6,475
Kentucky	462	1,899	100	1,928	4,389
Louisiana	1,032	1,894	0	2,233	5,159
Maine	34	655	0	390	1,079
Maryland	2,110	5,872	0	1,766	9,748
Massachusetts	3,659	3,604	7,379	3,935	18,577
Michigan	12,966	18,361	1,969	2,130	35,426
Minnesota	3,304	11,446	0	2,618	17,368
Mississippi	1,123	5,621	11	1,355	8,110
Missouri	172	5,883	159	2,354	8,568
Montana	379	1,117	487	244	2,227
Nebraska	0	2,684	270	693	3,647
Nevada	370	584	13	529	1,496
New Hampshire	209	941	0	628	1,778
New Jersey	659	4,349	0	2,624	7,632
New Mexico	2	3,275	130	581	3,988
New York	17,723	34,958	5,781	4,436	62,898
North Carolina	59	3,204	0	4,238	7,501
North Dakota	0	1,442	587	133	2,162
Northern Mariana Islands	17	0	0	25	42
Ohio	13	7,742	301	4,550	12,606
Oklahoma	32	1,551	0	1,253	2,836
Oregon	6,453	13,994	703	1,284	22,434
Pennsylvania	594	25,009	786	4,154	30,543
Puerto Rico	104	8,947	0	1,951	11,002
Rhode Island	6	692	3	342	1,043
South Carolina	428	2,060	145	1,287	3,920
South Dakota	75	1,751	65	269	2,160
Tennessee	232	4,204	481	1,921	6,838
Texas	2,262	4,463	878	6,964	14,567
Utah	1,468	5,461	183	476	7,588
Vermont	283	1,637	0	523	2,443
Virgin Islands	5	17	14	102	138
Virginia	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	10,352	7,327	0	1,854	19,533
West Virginia	8	1,994	100	417	2,519
Wisconsin	72	4,670	0	2,363	7,105
Wyoming	186	1,019	161	194	1,560
National Total	103,226	344,819	31,168	92,980	572,193

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a is reported as a count of providers receiving CCDF funding.
3. Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves only one child per day is counted the same as, for example, a provider serving 200 children per day.
4. At the time of publication, all States and Territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2010.

**Table 8
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2010)**

State	Content						Method				Estimated Number of Families Receiving Consumer Education
	Grants/ Contracts/ Certificates Info	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Print Materials	Counseling from Resource and Referral Agencies	Mass Media	Electronic Media	
Alabama	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	41,225
Alaska	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	10,443
American Samoa	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	634
Arizona	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	132,432
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	17,454
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1,860,890
Colorado	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	126,424
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	190,000
Delaware	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	18,983
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	21,300
Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	325,312
Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	40,961
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,278
Hawaii	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	11,215
Idaho	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	7,540
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	251,190
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	27,759
Iowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	79,980
Kansas	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	118,477
Kentucky	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	26,698
Louisiana	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	64,456
Maine	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	3,886
Maryland	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	251,548
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	88,931
Michigan	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	388,018
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	814,153
Mississippi	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	26,901
Missouri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	57,530
Montana	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	725,019
Nebraska	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	41,798
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9,301
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	6,518
New Jersey	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	146,564
New Mexico	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	22,053
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1,416,702
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	259,257
North Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	12,139
Northern Mariana Islands	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	166
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	129,573
Oklahoma	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	287,648
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	98,762
Pennsylvania	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	172,745
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	23,616
Rhode Island	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	7,843
South Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	22,369
South Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	212,787
Tennessee	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	43,691
Texas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	137,453
Utah	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	11,027
Vermont	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	8,968
Virgin Islands	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	738
Virginia	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	38,352
Washington	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	19,990
West Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,786
Wisconsin	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	52,450
Wyoming	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	19,244
Total Yes	43	55	55	53	51	51	56	52	33	50	8,948,177

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2010, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.
3. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
4. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.
5. At the time of publication, all States and Territories had fully reported their ACF-800 data for FFY 2010.

Table 9
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2010)

State	0 to < 1 yr	1 yr to < 2 yrs	2 yrs to < 3 yrs	3 yrs to < 4 yrs	4 yrs to < 5 yrs	5 yrs to < 6 yrs	6 yrs to < 13 yrs	13+ yrs	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	7%	12%	14%	14%	12%	9%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	6%	12%	13%	14%	14%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
American Samoa	11%	19%	18%	19%	19%	14%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	5%	10%	13%	14%	13%	11%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Arkansas	10%	16%	18%	16%	13%	9%	18%	0%	0%	100%
California	3%	6%	9%	16%	19%	12%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado	6%	12%	15%	15%	13%	10%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Connecticut	5%	11%	14%	16%	14%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	6%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	7%	18%	24%	20%	10%	5%	17%	0%	0%	100%
Florida	5%	11%	15%	16%	15%	11%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Georgia	7%	13%	15%	15%	11%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	9%	15%	19%	18%	14%	9%	16%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	6%	13%	14%	15%	16%	8%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Idaho	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	12%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	10%	12%	12%	11%	9%	40%	0%	0%	100%
Indiana	4%	10%	14%	15%	13%	11%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Iowa	7%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Kentucky	7%	12%	14%	14%	12%	9%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	8%	16%	19%	18%	11%	7%	21%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	5%	9%	12%	16%	18%	11%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Maryland	5%	12%	15%	15%	12%	9%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	5%	11%	13%	16%	15%	10%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	5%	10%	11%	12%	11%	9%	42%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Mississippi	5%	12%	14%	14%	12%	9%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Missouri	6%	12%	15%	15%	14%	9%	26%	0%	3%	100%
Montana	7%	13%	15%	15%	14%	10%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	14%	13%	12%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	10%	13%	14%	14%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	4%	11%	15%	17%	17%	12%	24%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	11%	16%	15%	12%	9%	33%	1%	0%	100%
New Mexico	6%	12%	14%	14%	13%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
New York	5%	10%	12%	13%	12%	8%	38%	0%	0%	100%
North Carolina	4%	9%	12%	12%	12%	11%	40%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	9%	14%	16%	15%	12%	9%	24%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	3%	13%	11%	14%	12%	11%	35%	0%	1%	100%
Ohio	6%	11%	14%	14%	13%	10%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	8%	13%	15%	15%	12%	9%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	6%	11%	12%	12%	11%	10%	37%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	4%	10%	12%	13%	12%	10%	37%	1%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	7%	12%	16%	19%	17%	8%	21%	2%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	4%	9%	12%	13%	13%	10%	39%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	7%	14%	16%	16%	13%	9%	25%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	9%	13%	14%	14%	13%	11%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	7%	13%	15%	14%	12%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	7%	12%	14%	14%	12%	9%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Utah	5%	10%	12%	13%	12%	11%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	5%	10%	13%	15%	14%	11%	31%	1%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	5%	14%	18%	18%	19%	6%	20%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	5%	12%	16%	16%	14%	10%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Washington	5%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	11%	13%	14%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Wyoming	7%	12%	15%	14%	14%	10%	27%	0%	0%	100%
National	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Date as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

6. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.

Table 10
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2010)

State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Employment & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	70%	14%	4%	10%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	73%	6%	8%	10%	3%	0%	100%
American Samoa	89%	7%	3%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Arizona	56%	0%	11%	31%	1%	0%	100%
Arkansas	68%	17%	9%	5%	0%	0%	100%
California	80%	9%	6%	2%	3%	0%	100%
Colorado	78%	13%	6%	0%	2%	1%	100%
Connecticut	96%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	79%	9%	4%	3%	4%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	52%	36%	2%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Florida	60%	6%	8%	24%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	80%	11%	3%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	80%	9%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	79%	7%	12%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Idaho	72%	12%	15%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	85%	5%	2%	0%	8%	0%	100%
Indiana	74%	11%	10%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Iowa	82%	9%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%
Kansas	91%	5%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	83%	4%	8%	4%	0%	1%	100%
Louisiana	79%	7%	10%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	76%	7%	9%	5%	3%	0%	100%
Maryland	63%	22%	7%	0%	6%	3%	100%
Massachusetts	64%	13%	0%	17%	7%	0%	100%
Michigan	72%	15%	11%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Minnesota	75%	6%	16%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Mississippi	71%	22%	4%	2%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	44%	9%	41%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Montana	62%	15%	14%	9%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	72%	8%	4%	15%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	82%	6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	83%	9%	0%	7%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	78%	4%	4%	5%	9%	0%	100%
New Mexico	73%	16%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
New York	69%	16%	4%	1%	11%	0%	100%
North Carolina	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	78%	12%	7%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	94%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	79%	18%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	77%	2%	20%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	73%	11%	14%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Puerto Rico	77%	15%	3%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	66%	27%	1%	6%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	66%	11%	10%	13%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	36%	30%	33%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Texas	78%	16%	4%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Utah	82%	12%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Vermont	61%	15%	2%	13%	8%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	68%	26%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	78%	11%	7%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Washington	68%	22%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	77%	13%	9%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Wisconsin	91%	1%	5%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Wyoming	92%	8%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%
National	73%	12%	8%	4%	3%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month was directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

6. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.

7. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in Both Employment and Training/Education categories. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training/Education are Iowa, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, and Wyoming.

8. OCC has observed some issues with income reporting across most States to varying degrees. OCC is working with States to address and resolve internal inconsistencies between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (sources of income).

Table 11
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2010)

State	Native American / Alaska Native	Asian	Black/ African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	White	Multi- Racial	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	0%	78%	0%	21%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	9%	5%	11%	5%	45%	20%	5%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Arizona	5%	0%	15%	1%	75%	4%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	0%	55%	0%	41%	1%	3%	100%
California	2%	5%	20%	1%	70%	2%	0%	100%
Colorado	1%	0%	11%	0%	34%	4%	50%	100%
Connecticut	1%	1%	33%	0%	33%	7%	25%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	65%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	1%	0%	85%	0%	12%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	49%	0%	47%	3%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	79%	0%	17%	1%	2%	100%
Guam	0%	15%	0%	69%	0%	15%	0%	100%
Hawaii	0%	24%	1%	34%	11%	30%	0%	100%
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	96%	1%	0%	100%
Illinois	0%	1%	57%	0%	20%	3%	19%	100%
Indiana	0%	0%	48%	0%	43%	9%	0%	100%
Iowa	0%	1%	16%	0%	79%	4%	0%	100%
Kansas	1%	1%	27%	0%	62%	4%	5%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	57%	0%	12%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	75%	0%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	1%	1%	3%	0%	91%	4%	1%	100%
Maryland	1%	1%	76%	0%	20%	3%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	0%	2%	16%	0%	23%	2%	58%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	55%	0%	42%	2%	1%	100%
Minnesota	3%	3%	32%	0%	54%	8%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	89%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	56%	0%	35%	1%	8%	100%
Montana	13%	0%	2%	0%	79%	4%	1%	100%
Nebraska	3%	0%	26%	0%	54%	1%	15%	100%
Nevada	3%	2%	30%	1%	61%	2%	1%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	1%	5%	0%	93%	1%	1%	100%
New Jersey	0%	1%	53%	10%	32%	1%	3%	100%
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	85%	3%	1%	100%
New York	1%	1%	52%	3%	40%	4%	0%	100%
North Carolina	3%	1%	62%	1%	34%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	19%	0%	6%	0%	71%	3%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	1%	0%	97%	0%	0%	3%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	52%	0%	45%	3%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	7%	1%	30%	0%	60%	3%	0%	100%
Oregon	2%	1%	8%	1%	87%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	45%	0%	36%	3%	15%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	7%	0%	13%	1%	79%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	73%	0%	27%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	19%	0%	4%	0%	68%	8%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	71%	0%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	0%	0%	31%	0%	50%	1%	17%	100%
Utah	2%	2%	7%	1%	87%	1%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	1%	4%	0%	91%	4%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	4%	0%	95%	0%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Virginia	4%	0%	66%	0%	28%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	2%	3%	12%	19%	46%	0%	18%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	11%	0%	74%	12%	2%	100%
Wisconsin	1%	2%	36%	0%	35%	3%	22%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	80%	0%	13%	100%
National	1%	1%	44%	2%	43%	3%	7%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

6. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.

7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.

8. It appears that several States and Territories are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances, if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated.

Table 12
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2010)

State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	11%	87%	2%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	47%	53%	0%	100%
Arkansas	4%	96%	0%	100%
California	58%	42%	0%	100%
Colorado	31%	69%	0%	100%
Connecticut	37%	63%	0%	100%
Delaware	11%	89%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	14%	86%	0%	100%
Florida	25%	75%	0%	100%
Georgia	3%	97%	0%	100%
Guam	1%	99%	0%	100%
Hawaii	8%	92%	0%	100%
Idaho	14%	86%	0%	100%
Illinois	19%	79%	3%	100%
Indiana	10%	90%	0%	100%
Iowa	10%	90%	0%	100%
Kansas	14%	86%	0%	100%
Kentucky	5%	95%	0%	100%
Louisiana	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	97%	0%	100%
Maryland	4%	96%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	31%	69%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	7%	93%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	99%	0%	100%
Missouri	3%	90%	7%	100%
Montana	5%	94%	0%	100%
Nebraska	10%	87%	3%	100%
Nevada	34%	65%	1%	100%
New Hampshire	7%	93%	0%	100%
New Jersey	33%	67%	0%	100%
New Mexico	75%	25%	0%	100%
New York	31%	69%	0%	100%
North Carolina	6%	94%	0%	100%
North Dakota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	4%	96%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	11%	89%	0%	100%
Oregon	6%	94%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	13%	83%	4%	100%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	19%	81%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	46%	54%	0%	100%
Utah	17%	83%	0%	100%
Vermont	2%	98%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	9%	91%	0%	100%
Virginia	8%	92%	0%	100%
Washington	9%	82%	8%	100%
West Virginia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	10%	90%	0%	100%
Wyoming	12%	88%	0%	100%
National	20%	79%	1%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.
- The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.

Table 13
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percentages of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Care Type (FFY 2010)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	4%	27%	6%	62%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	3%	23%	6%	67%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	3%	19%	5%	73%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	8%	29%	5%	59%	100%
13 years and older	17%	48%	5%	31%	100%
All Ages	5%	24%	5%	66%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
2. Nationally, 0.7% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
3. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
4. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
5. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
6. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.
7. The National values were determined by multiplying each State's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
8. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. Children in more than one setting category within the same month were counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example, if the child spent 70 hours in a center and 30 hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

Table 14
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2010)

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	144	151	140	155	152
1 to < 2 yrs	149	156	148	162	159
2 to < 3 yrs	152	160	153	164	162
3 to < 4 yrs	154	160	152	163	162
4 to < 5 yrs	149	156	148	159	157
5 to < 6 yrs	142	139	130	136	137
6 to < 13 yrs	126	123	104	106	112
13+ yrs	127	108	96	95	106
National	137	142	134	142	142

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
2. Nationally, 0.7% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
3. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
4. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
5. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
6. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.
7. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The National results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
8. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of service hours provided.

Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Preliminary Estimates Average Monthly Payment to Provider (Including Family CoPay) by Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2010)					
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$330	\$403	\$545	\$510	\$475
1 to < 2 yrs	\$337	\$423	\$584	\$513	\$488
2 to < 3 yrs	\$332	\$409	\$561	\$489	\$471
3 to < 4 yrs	\$324	\$395	\$528	\$467	\$452
4 to < 5 yrs	\$314	\$378	\$506	\$467	\$448
5 to < 6 yrs	\$299	\$347	\$455	\$396	\$384
6 to < 13 yrs	\$270	\$302	\$395	\$307	\$307
13+ yrs	\$274	\$270	\$387	\$303	\$286
National	\$295	\$357	\$493	\$423	\$405

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.
2. Nationally, 0.7% of the children served with CCDF funds were excluded from the above table because either their age was missing or invalid or their setting information was invalid, due to out-of-range or missing care type, hours, or payment.
3. Payment is defined as the total amount received by the provider. It is the sum of the State subsidy and the family copay.
4. Average payment per month is based on sums of payments per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
5. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
6. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
7. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.
8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The National results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results, where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
9. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized and/or dollars authorized rather than the actual number provided.

Table 16
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Percent of Families Reporting Income from TANF (FFY 2010)

State	TANF (% Yes)	TANF (% No)	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	23%	77%	0%	100%
Alaska	14%	86%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	23%	77%	0%	100%
Arkansas	24%	76%	0%	100%
California	11%	89%	0%	100%
Colorado	8%	92%	0%	100%
Connecticut	10%	90%	0%	100%
Delaware	21%	79%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	18%	82%	0%	100%
Florida	8%	90%	2%	100%
Georgia	4%	96%	0%	100%
Guam	1%	99%	0%	100%
Hawaii	18%	82%	0%	100%
Idaho	2%	98%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	94%	0%	100%
Indiana	17%	83%	0%	100%
Iowa	15%	85%	0%	100%
Kansas	9%	91%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	99%	0%	100%
Louisiana	8%	88%	4%	100%
Maine	6%	94%	0%	100%
Maryland	14%	86%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	28%	72%	0%	100%
Michigan	24%	76%	0%	100%
Minnesota	25%	75%	0%	100%
Mississippi	18%	82%	0%	100%
Missouri	17%	83%	0%	100%
Montana	17%	83%	0%	100%
Nebraska	27%	73%	0%	100%
Nevada	35%	65%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	32%	61%	7%	100%
New Jersey	16%	84%	0%	100%
New Mexico	19%	81%	0%	100%
New York	46%	54%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	95%	0%	100%
North Dakota	19%	81%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	17%	83%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	11%	89%	0%	100%
Oregon	30%	70%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	16%	84%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	0%	100%	0%	100%
Rhode Island	15%	85%	0%	100%
South Carolina	18%	82%	0%	100%
South Dakota	8%	92%	0%	100%
Tennessee	63%	37%	0%	100%
Texas	1%	99%	0%	100%
Utah	18%	82%	0%	100%
Vermont	9%	91%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	4%	96%	0%	100%
Virginia	32%	68%	0%	100%
Washington	21%	79%	0%	100%
West Virginia	9%	91%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	9%	91%	0%	100%
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	100%
National	17%	83%	0%	100%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-OCT-2011

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2010.

2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.

4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

5. At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.

Table 17
Child Care and Development Fund
Preliminary Estimates
Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2010)

State/Territories	Percent of Families				Mean CoPay as a Percent of Income	
	Families with \$0 Income; Headed by a Child; In Protective Services; Invalid CoPay or Income (Category A)	Families with \$0 CoPay (and not in Category A)	Families with CoPay > \$0 (and not in Category A)	Total of All Families	Including Families with \$0 CoPay	Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay
Alabama	18%	14%	69%	100%	6%	7%
Alaska	21%	7%	72%	100%	4%	5%
American Samoa	25%	75%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Arizona	34%	13%	53%	100%	4%	5%
Arkansas	27%	59%	14%	100%	2%	9%
California	4%	63%	33%	100%	1%	4%
Colorado	25%	9%	66%	100%	8%	10%
Connecticut	4%	5%	92%	100%	5%	5%
Delaware	10%	37%	53%	100%	5%	8%
District of Columbia	44%	11%	46%	100%	3%	4%
Florida	28%	0%	72%	100%	6%	6%
Georgia	10%	16%	74%	100%	7%	9%
Guam	3%	53%	43%	100%	4%	9%
Hawaii	4%	24%	72%	100%	8%	9%
Idaho	12%	0%	88%	100%	11%	11%
Illinois	4%	1%	94%	100%	5%	5%
Indiana	2%	76%	23%	100%	2%	7%
Iowa	14%	58%	29%	100%	1%	4%
Kansas	21%	37%	43%	100%	2%	4%
Kentucky	7%	19%	73%	100%	6%	7%
Louisiana	7%	5%	88%	100%	9%	10%
Maine	9%	4%	88%	100%	7%	8%
Maryland	16%	19%	66%	100%	8%	10%
Massachusetts	24%	32%	44%	100%	5%	9%
Michigan	26%	18%	57%	100%	2%	3%
Minnesota	2%	35%	64%	100%	2%	3%
Mississippi	22%	1%	77%	100%	5%	5%
Missouri	23%	18%	58%	100%	5%	7%
Montana	13%	0%	87%	100%	3%	3%
Nebraska	40%	48%	12%	100%	2%	8%
Nevada	16%	25%	60%	100%	3%	4%
New Hampshire	10%	1%	89%	100%	7%	7%
New Jersey	11%	40%	49%	100%	3%	5%
New Mexico	4%	14%	82%	100%	4%	5%
New York	3%	42%	55%	100%	3%	5%
North Carolina	16%	6%	78%	100%	8%	8%
North Dakota	28%	0%	72%	100%	21%	21%
Northern Mariana Islands	4%	0%	96%	100%	7%	7%
Ohio	9%	3%	88%	100%	5%	6%
Oklahoma	28%	19%	53%	100%	6%	8%
Oregon	24%	5%	71%	100%	8%	8%
Pennsylvania	60%	0%	40%	100%	5%	5%
Puerto Rico	39%	45%	16%	100%	1%	3%
Rhode Island	11%	33%	56%	100%	3%	4%
South Carolina	15%	0%	85%	100%	5%	5%
South Dakota	21%	41%	38%	100%	3%	7%
Tennessee	1%	95%	4%	100%	0%	1%
Texas	22%	3%	75%	100%	9%	9%
Utah	9%	32%	59%	100%	2%	2%
Vermont	33%	28%	40%	100%	3%	6%
Virgin Islands	17%	51%	32%	100%	0%	0%
Virginia	7%	30%	63%	100%	7%	10%
Washington	5%	0%	95%	100%	4%	4%
West Virginia	7%	14%	80%	100%	2%	2%
Wisconsin	18%	3%	79%	100%	6%	6%
Wyoming	15%	4%	81%	100%	6%	6%
National	17%	22%	61%	100%	5%	6%

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 23-DEC-2011

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2010.
- All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children, unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only (which includes Federal Discretionary, Mandatory, and Matching Funds; TANF transfers to CCDF; and State Matching and Maintenance of Effort Funds). The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by its pooling factor, as reported on the ACF-800. This report takes this factor into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All States provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children was obtained from the monthly numbers in the FFY, as reported on the ACF-801 summary (header) record.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, American Samoa had submitted five months of ACF-801 data for FFY 2010 and Northern Mariana Islands had submitted one month.
- The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.
- The column labeled as "Category A" includes: families with zero income; families in Protective Services or families headed by a child; and families with invalid income or copay.
- The "Families with \$0 CoPay ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100%.
- The results shown under "Mean Copay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data, i.e. the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)." Alternatively, the data used for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in Category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation.