

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Performance Measures

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2012 Target	FY 2014 Target	FY 2014 Target +/- FY 2012 Target
<u>2A</u> : Maintain the proportion of children served through Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), and Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) child care funding as compared to the number of children in families with income equal to or less than 85 percent of State Median Income. ¹ (Outcome)	FY 2010: 18% ² Target: 17% (Target Exceeded)	18% ³	18% ⁴	Maintain
<u>2B</u> : Increase the number of states that implement Quality Rating and Improvement Systems (QRIS) that meet high quality benchmarks. ⁵ (Outcome)	FY 2012: 19 Target: 20 (Target Not Met but Improved)	20	27	+ 7
<u>2C</u> : Increase the number of states and territories with professional development systems that include core knowledge and competencies, career pathways, professional development capacity assessments, accessible professional development opportunities, and financial supports for child care practitioners. (Outcome)	FY 2011: 31 (Baseline)	N/A ⁶	N/A ⁷	N/A

¹ This measure estimates the average monthly number of children receiving child care subsidies from all federal sources (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Child Care and Development Fund, and Social Services Block Grant), compared on an annual basis to an estimate of the average monthly number of children eligible for child care subsidies. This measure has been revised to include all children eligible under federal statute (i.e., equal to or less than 85 percent of State Median Income); the prior measure reflected a smaller universe of eligible children (i.e., less than 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level). Under CCDF law, states have substantial flexibility to establish their own rules regarding eligibility for child care subsidies within broad federal guidelines. This estimate does not take into account state-specific eligibility thresholds and other requirements families must meet to receive child care subsidies.

² This is a preliminary estimate that is subject to change once final data is available.

³ The FY 2012 target for this measure is dependent on the funding level requested for FY 2012 in the President's Budget Request which was \$6.3 billion (\$2.9 billion in discretionary funding for CCDBG and \$3.4 billion for the Child Care Entitlement to the States). Actual appropriation for FY 2012 was \$5.2 billion (\$2.3 billion in discretionary funding for CCDBG and \$2.9 billion for the Child Care Entitlement to the States).

⁴ The FY 2014 target for this measure is dependent on the funding level requested for FY 2014 in the President's Budget Request.

⁵ This performance measure aligns with the HHS Priority Performance Goal "Quality of Early Childhood Education."

⁶ This measure is biennially reported due to constraints on data availability.

⁷ This measure is biennially reported due to constraints on data availability.

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Performance Measures

Measure	Most Recent Result	FY 2012 Target	FY 2014 Target	FY 2014 Target +/- FY 2012 Target
<u>2D</u> : Increase the number of states that have implemented state early learning guidelines for children ages birth to five that cover a range of domains across physical, cognitive, and social and emotional development, are incorporated into other parts of the child care system, and aligned with other education standards. ⁸ (Outcome)	FY 2011: 27 (Baseline)	N/A ⁹	N/A ¹⁰	N/A
<u>2E</u> : Increase the number or percentage of low-income children receiving CCDF subsidies who are enrolled in high quality care settings. (Developmental Outcome)	N/A	TBD	TBD	N/A

⁸ This measure has been updated with new language and data. The old measure was “Increase the number of states that have implemented state early learning guidelines in literacy, language, pre-reading, and numeracy for children ages three to five that align with state K-12 standards and are linked to the education and training of caregivers, preschool teachers and administrators.” Because of the revision to the measure, baseline and actuals associated with the old measure cannot be compared to new measure.

⁹ This measure is biennially reported due to constraints on data availability.

¹⁰ This measure is biennially reported due to constraints on data availability.