Cognitive Control (Executive Functions) in Young Children: Relevance of what we know to what can be done to help children
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The “Executive Functions” (EF) that depend on prefrontal cortex include 3 core abilities:
(a) Inhibitory Control
(b) Working Memory
(c) Cognitive Flexibility
(a) INHIBITORY CONTROL...

is the ability to resist a strong inclination to do one thing and instead do what is most appropriate or needed
Being able to...

1) resist first impulse (perhaps a socially inappropriate remark or grabbing another’s toy) and give a more considered (polite) response instead

2) stay on task despite boredom or the temptation to go out and play
Being able to...

3) control your attention despite distraction (selective or sustained attention)

e.g., suppressing attention to what your neighbors are saying so that you can concentrate on what the teacher is saying

or screening out all but one voice at a cocktail party
Why is INHIBITION important?

The ability to inhibit a strong behavioral inclination helps make

discipline

and change possible,

as well as social politeness & focused atten.

Inhibition allows us a measure of control over our attention and our actions, rather than simply being controlled by external stimuli, our emotions, or engrained behavioral tendencies.
(b) WORKING MEMORY: 
Holding information in mind while mentally working with or updating it
such as

- relating one idea to another
- relating what you read earlier to what you are reading now
- doing mental arithmetic (e.g., adding or subtracting)
- remembering the order in which things need to be done
Why is WORKING MEMORY important?

WM makes it possible to
• consider things from different perspectives,
• understand a story – relating the beginning, middle, & end
• translate instructions into action plans.

It is critical to our ability to see connections between seemingly unconnected things, and hence for creativity, for the essence of creativity is to be able to disassemble and re-combine elements in new ways.
(c) COGNITIVE FLEXIBILITY

being able to flexibly switch perspectives or the focus of attention,

flexibly adjusting to changed demands or priorities.

Note that shifting mental sets involves both: activating the new set & de-activating the old one.
Why is COGNITIVE FLEXIBILITY important?

This is critical for creative problem-solving...

for considering something from a fresh or different perspective, and for ‘thinking outside the box.’
There is much overlap between EF, especially its inhibitory component, and self-regulation. But:

Historically, EF researchers have focused most on:
cognition,
in non-emotionally-charged situations,
using objective, behavioral measures.
Emotion seen as something to be controlled.

Historically, SR researchers have focused more on:
on social situations,
often with strong motivational components,
often relying on parent or teacher report.
Emotions need expression as well as controlled.
There’s **little** overlap between EF and what most traditional IQ tests assess

- most IQ tests assess “crystallized” intelligence e.g., memory of previously learned facts
- Patients in whom the frontal lobe has been removed usually score within the normal range on such IQ tests.

**BUT**, there is much overlap between EF and “fluid intelligence” (i.e., reasoning and problem-solving) which tests like Raven’s Progressive Matrices assess.
So, Executive Functions are required whenever going “on automatic” would not suffice or would be detrimental. such as when...

learning new or challenging material,
in a noisy or distracting environment,
or there are strong temptations to be undisciplined.
EF skills are important for school readiness.

They are more strongly associated with school readiness than IQ or entry-level reading or math.
# Prediction of Math in Kindergarten

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary in Pre-K</td>
<td>**.22</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raven in K</td>
<td>**.25</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Rating in Pre-K</td>
<td>**.27</td>
<td>*.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF in Pre-K</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>**.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF in K</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>**.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Blair & Razza (2007)  
*Child Development.*  
*p < .05, **p < .01*
EF is important for school success. Working memory and inhibitory control each independently predict both math & reading competence throughout the school years.

Discipline accounts for over twice as much variance in final grades as does IQ, even in college (Duckworth & Seligman, 2005).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WORKING MEMORY</th>
<th>INHIBITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VERY EARLY GRADES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Skills</td>
<td>Adams &amp; Gathercole, 1995; Blair &amp; Razza, 2007</td>
<td>Blair &amp; Razza, 2007; McClelland et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Skills</td>
<td>Blair &amp; Razza, 2007; Espy et al., 2004; Passolunghi et al., 2007</td>
<td>Blair &amp; Razza, 2007; Espy et al., 2004;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McClelland et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LATER GRADES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Skills</td>
<td>De Beni et al., 1998; Gathercole et al., 2004, 2005; Savage et al., 2006</td>
<td>De Beni et al., 1998; Fiebach et al., 2007;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Savage et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Skills</td>
<td>Barrouillet et al., 2005; Bull &amp; Scerif, 2001; Gathercole et al., 2004;</td>
<td>Bull &amp; Scerif, 2001; Shallice et al., 2002;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swanson &amp; Kim, 2007</td>
<td>Passolunghi &amp; Siegel, 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many children begin school lacking needed executive function skills.
Kindergarten children at risk because of economic disadvantage are disproportionately behind in EF relative to other cognitive skills and relative to children from middle-income homes.

(Farah et al., 2006; Noble et al., 2005, 2007; D'Angiulli et al., 2008; Neville & colleagues)
I predict that improving young children’s EF skills will improve their longterm acquisition of academic skills, their school success and retention, and can reduce the disparity in achievement between rich and poor.
How can we help young children develop these critical executive function / self-regulation abilities?
The Tools of the Mind early childhood program, based on theories of Vygotsky and Luria

Elena Bodrova & Deborah Leong

Foreword by Michael Cole
Tools of the Mind is grounded in the idea that social, emotional, and cognitive self-regulation is learned best by embedding training in this in all aspects of the school day.
Deb and Elena tried EF activities as a module, added onto a curriculum. They found that children improved on what they practiced in the module, but the benefits did not transfer to other contexts or other EF skills.
Vygotsky: Engagement in mature make-believe play is the major mechanism for developing self-regulation in preschoolers. It is emphasized in Tools.
During social pretend play, children must hold their own role and those of others in mind (working memory)

inhibit acting out of character (employ inhibitory control), and

flexibly adjust to twists and turns in the evolving plot (cognitive flexibility)

-- all three of the core executive functions thus get exercise.
Buddy Reading
Both conditions involved...

• new programs, instituted at the same time.
• the same books, classroom set-up, toys, & materials.
• the same amount of in-classroom coaching support, same # of professional development hours, and same teacher stipends for attending workshops.
• the same curricular content and covered the same topics.

Teachers & assistants were randomly assigned to condition by level of education (half of those w/ AA degrees & half w/ BAs were randomly assigned to each condition).
The conditions differed in some approaches to instruction but primarily in that the Tools condition included EF-promoting activities interwoven in all school activities throughout the day.
All children came from the same neighborhood and were randomly assigned to Tools or district-curriculum classrooms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 or 2 Yrs of District Curr.</th>
<th>1 Yr of Tools</th>
<th>2 Yrs of Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Hispanic</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Male</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% w/ family income &lt;$25,000/year</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg yrs of mother's ed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ns per group</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

all were poor & at risk. all tested in their 2\textsuperscript{nd} yr of presch.
For our two EF outcome measures we specifically chose activities completely different from anything any of the children had ever done before.

To see a difference by condition, the children would have to TRANSFER their training in EF to utterly new situations.
HEARTS & FLOWERS version of the DOTS task from the DIRECTIONAL STROOP BATTERY

**Congruent**

- Push Left

- Push Right

**Incongruent**

- Push Right

- Push Left
HEARTS - CONGRUENT

Each time you see a HEART, press with the thumb or forefinger on the SAME side as the stimulus.

For example, if the heart appears on the left, press with your left hand.

Remember:

PRESS ON THE SAME SIDE AS THE HEART
FLOWERS - INCONGRUENT

Now you’ll see a flower. Press on the side OPPOSITE the flower.

For example, if a flower appears on the left, press with your right hand.

(Here, you’ll need to inhibit on every trial the natural tendency to respond on the same side as the stimulus)

Remember:

PRESS ON THE SIDE OPPOSITE THE FLOWER
HEARTS & FLOWERS-MIXED: Now you will sometimes see a heart and sometimes a flower.

On only half the trials will you have to inhibit the tendency to press on the same side as the stimulus, BUT you’ll have to switch between the same-side and opposite-side rules.

The rules stay the same:

For HEARTS, press on the SAME side.

For FLOWERS, press on the OPPOSITE side.

HEARTS - SAME SIDE

FLOWERS - OPPOSITE SIDE
In all conditions, mental manipulation of the same/opposite rules is needed to instantiate them as L or R keypresses. Children who err typically remember which rule they should use (same or opposite) but impulsively respond before allowing themselves enough time to compute that means a R or L keypress.
Dots Conditions: Accuracy

Percent Correct

Congruent  Incongruent  Mixed

Stimuli presented for 2500 ms  Stimuli presented for 750 ms

Age in Years

Davidson et al., 2006
Adults have little difficulty exercising inhibition in steady-state in single-task blocks, but children of all ages demonstrated a cost in doing so, albeit a much lesser cost than in the mixed condition.
Increasing demands on inhibition are more difficult for children than increasing demands on how much information they must hold in mind (2 to 6 items).

The opposite is true for young adults: increasing memory load is disproportionately more difficult for adults than increasing inhibitory demands.

Adults may not appreciate how inordinately difficult inhibition is for young children because it is less taxing for us.
Percentage of Correct Responses on the Dots Task - Incongruent Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Correct</th>
<th>No Tools</th>
<th>1 Year Tools</th>
<th>2 Year Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graph shows the percentage of correct responses for the Dots Task across different conditions: No Tools, 1 Year Tools, and 2 Year Tools. The bars indicate an increase in correct responses with the use of tools over time.
Dots Task – Mixed Block

Percent of Children who Passed Criterion for Testing

Percent Passing


Over 2x as many in Tools passed practice.
PRESCHOOL PROGRAM IMPROVES COGNITIVE CONTROL
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Flanker Task
Percentage of Correct Responses on Standard Flanker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Tools</th>
<th>1 Year Tools</th>
<th>2 Year Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent Correct</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart shows the percentage of correct responses for Standard Flanker tasks with different tool durations.
Percentage of Correct Responses on Reverse Flanker

Whether children were in Tools or not accounted for more variance in EF than did age or gender.
Our finding of better performance on these objective, behavioral measures is consistent with findings that on questionnaire measures, parents and teachers rate children in Tools as higher on EF (Bartnett et al., 2007).
Academic outcomes were obtained independently by National Institute for Early Education Research (Steve Barnett).
The more demanding of EF was a condition of our tasks, the more highly that condition correlated with academic performance.
Correlation of Percentage of Correct Responses on the Dots Task and Academic Performance Measures

Note that the greater the degree of cognitive control (EF) required by the condition of the Dots task, the greater the size and number of significant relations with academic performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Congruent: No EF required</th>
<th>Incongruent: An Intermediate Level of EF Needed</th>
<th>Mixed: Most EF required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRSS (Social Skills Rating Scale) Externalizing subscale</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.456**</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRS Internalizing subscale</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPVT (Peabody Picture Vocabulary) raw score</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>.290*</td>
<td>.464**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEA Oral Language proficiency raw score</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>.390*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive (EOWPVT) raw score</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>.272*</td>
<td>.383**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPPSI raw score</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCJ (Woodcock Johnson) letter word raw score</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCJ applied problems raw score</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>.264*</td>
<td>.392**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Ready To Read raw score</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.315*</td>
<td>.423**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPVT standard score</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>.275*</td>
<td>.444**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive (EOWPVT) standard score</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>.289*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive standard score new (accts for floor effect)</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>.329**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCJ (Woodcock Johnson) letter word standard score</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCJ applied problems standard score</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>.359**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Superior academic performance in children who have been through Tools has been replicated in other Tools of the Mind programs with other children and other teachers, in other schools and states, and with different comparison conditions.
Take-home Message #1:

EF skills can be improved even in preschoolers.

This can be done in regular classrooms, with regular teachers, without special equipment.
EF skills are not immutable; they can be improved.

Many educators have wrongly assumed that while they can help children improve their academic skills, EF abilities are innate and immutable.
Even those who believed that EF can be improved, have doubted whether that could be done as early as preschool since EF depends on PFC, and PFC isn’t fully mature until young adulthood.

However, just because PFC isn’t fully functional, doesn’t mean that it isn’t functional at all.

Analogy with leg length at 2 years and walking and even running at age 2.
Environmental Influence on Executive Function Development

Exercise / use / practice can improve the functioning of a neural system (cognition) just as it does muscle function (motor skills).
Take-home Message #2: FUN
Part of why social dramatic play is important is that it exercises ALL of the 3 key executive functions.

But another reason why PLAY is important is that it is FUN.
Though often thought frivolous, play may be essential.

The children in Tools, who had more time to play, performed BETTER on academic outcome measures than the children who had more time in direct academic instruction.
BUT not all Play is Equal.
Take-home Message #3: Importance of Action (Doing) for Learning
a Chinese proverb:
I hear, and I forget.
I see, and I remember.
I do, and I understand.

If information is not relevant for action, we don’t pay attention in the same way (hence the difference in route memory for the driver, versus the passenger, of a car).
Consider:

Poor EF leads to problems paying attention in class, completing assignments, and inhibiting impulsive behaviors.

School is less fun because...
the teacher is always getting annoyed with you & compliance w/ school demands is very hard

Teachers come to expect poor self-regulation and poor work, and the children come see themselves as poor students.
Hence, children who begin school with poorer EF, would be expected to become increasingly resistant to school and schoolwork, put less effort and self-investment in school, and it is no surprise that they drop out at much higher rates.
On the other hand, children who have better EF are likely to be praised for good behavior, enjoy school more and want to spend more time at their lessons. Their teachers enjoy them and a self-reinforcing positive feedback loop is created.
I hypothesize therefore that the benefit from early EF training may INCREASE over time, and that helping at-risk children improve their EF skills early might be critical to closing the achievement gap and reducing societal inequalities.
The recent explosion in the diagnoses of ADHD might be due, in part, to some children never learning how to exercise self-regulation.
I predict that children who go through a preschool program that directly teaches and supports EF will be less likely to be diagnosed with disorders of EF (such as ADHD or conduct disorder) because the program will have taught them how to exercise self-control and emotion regulation.
Many issues are not simply Education issues or Health issues. They are both.

I would like to see a coalition between those in Education and those in Health.
For example, I’ve predicted (and am setting up to test) that preschool interventions that improve EFs will not only lead to better school outcomes but to better mental health outcomes (fewer ADHD diagnoses).